

STANISLAUS COUNTY

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

Executive Committee Meeting Minutes

Thursday – June 17, 2014

Stanislaus County Probation Department – Conference Room

MEMBERS/DESIGNEES PRESENT

JILL SILVA, Chief Probation Officer, Probation Department

BIRGIT FLADAGER, District Attorney

ADAM CHRISTIANSON, Sheriff

CPT. JOEL BROUMAS for Galen Carroll, Chief of Police, Modesto Police Department

MADELYN SCHLAEPFER, Director, Behavioral Health & Recovery Services

TIMOTHY BAZAR, Public Defender

STEPHANIE KENNEDY for Rebecca Fleming, Superior Court of California

GUESTS PRESENT

CEO STAN RISEN

1. CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS

The meeting was called to order at 2:00 p.m. by Chief Probation Officer Jill Silva.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

No members of the public were present.

3. ADOPTION OF MINUTES FROM JUNE 5, 2014 MEETING

MOTION: Sheriff Adam Christianson. SECOND: DA Birgit Fladager. The minutes of the June 5, 2014 meeting were approved unanimously.

4. COMMENTS FROM CEO STAN RISEN

Chief Silva noted that CEO Stan Risen was invited to attend this CCP Executive Committee meeting because of concerns expressed by members of the Board of Supervisors regarding the CCP Phase IV budget proposal. She advised that the budget proposal was pulled from the Board of Supervisors meeting agenda last week and the CCP Executive Committee has been reconvened to reassess the CCP budget. In an effort to bring expenditures down and closer to the estimated revenue, County agencies were asked to recalculate salary costs using different assumptions for salary restoration and retirement/health care costs so that these revised estimates would be available for today's discussion.

Mr. Risen noted that the State legislature set up that the CCP budget must first be approved by the CCP Executive Committee and then must have 4/5 vote from the Board of Supervisors to reject the plan. He advised that the Board had concerns about the CCP Phase IV budget proposal since this proposal was not a balanced budget. He reviewed the Board's comments from last year's budget submittal meeting and advised that Board members had reservations then about the budget not being balanced and expressed concerns about program levels and jail expansion costs. After reviewing the notes from last year, it was clear that this year a balanced budget had to be submitted.

Mr. Risen reviewed the budget proposal noting that if the \$1.8 million fund balance and \$1 million set aside for the jail expansion were removed, the budget was \$600,000-\$700,000 out of balance. He stressed that it is important to look at the jail expansion issue as not just adding beds, but training and programs to be offered to reduce recidivism need to be included as this is an integral part of the AB900 model. At full capacity, approximately \$13 million will be required and the general fund does not have this capacity. He explained that the County will commit to a two to one match with CCP funding. He advised that a five-year plan should be developed for the funding of additional jail beds. The question is how much realignment funding will be received from the State. FY2014-15 funding has a 6% decrease, a 7.9% increase is anticipated in FY2015-16, and a 17% growth statewide is projected for FY2016-17.

Mr. Risen summarized three issues the Executive Committee should consider when developing the CCP budget:

- a. A balanced budget of revenue to expenditures for FY2014-15.
- b. Develop a five-year model of projected revenues and establish priorities.
- c. Establish criteria for all programs to clearly evidence the impacts caused by AB109. For programs to reduce recidivism, definitive outcomes must be outlined to demonstrate their effectiveness and link to AB109 impact.

The question was raised regarding a possible savings because of the closure of the downtown jail facility. Mr. Risen reviewed that AB900 will provide for new jail beds with training and programming space. SB1022 will provide an additional \$40 million for 288 beds, which will be replacement beds for those closed at the downtown jail facility. However, some of the downtown facility will continue to be utilized for court holdings, and when the new Courthouse is built in FY2017-18, transportation costs of approximately \$1 million will be incurred; therefore, no net savings are anticipated when the downtown jail facility is partially closed. He also reviewed that the RAC committee established the year-one formula based on ADP. The year two formula was based more on population and funding decreased dramatically for this county. It is hoped that the new formula being developed by RAC will increase funding in FY2016-17. Variables having an impact on crime, such as poverty and unemployment, are being considered, which should have a positive impact on the funding formula for this county. Chief Silva pointed out that growth funding will not be added to the base until a permanent formula is set by RAC. She also discussed the tensions being experienced between the Bay area and the Central Valley counties over the funding formula.

5. REVIEW AND REVISE CCP PHASE IV BUDGET

Chief Silva reviewed the Phase 4 Funding Requests spreadsheet (attached to original copy of minutes):

- | | |
|---|-----------------------|
| • Anticipated allocation (last year's allocation) | \$14.5 million |
| • FY14-15 Planning Funding | \$150,000 |
| • FY12-13 Growth Funding | \$1.6 million |
| • Allocation for FY14-15 | \$16.3 million |

The revised FY14-15 expenditures amount is \$16 million after the Probation Department, BHRS, Sheriff's Office and District Attorney's office made adjustments. She referred to Plan B and Plan C noted which would indicate an additional reduction in expenses of \$870,541 or \$435,271, respectively, in the event the base goes down.

The \$1.8 million in anticipated carry over would be utilized for AB900 beds and programming (\$1 million) and reserves for six months of continuing the CCP programs (\$800,000) in the event AB109 funding is decreased.

The Executive Committee reviewed the reduction in expenses and Chief Silva emphasized that CCP funding was not intended for prosecution and defense; it was intended for housing, supervision and treatment. If an additional \$870,000 must be decreased, the core programs need to be continued and she could not support continuing to fund the District Attorney and Public Defender. However, it is recognized that these two areas are impacted by AB109 with larger caseloads.

The committee decided to submit the balanced budget indicated above and, if necessary, return to the Board of Supervisors at final budget time with revisions if the RAC indicates a reduced allocation amount.

6. FINAL APPROVAL OF CCP BUDGET FOR PHASE IV

MOTION: Chief Jill Silva. Second: Sheriff Adam Christianson. To revise FY14-15 expenses to \$16,091,569 and adjust the anticipated allocation to indicate a balanced budget; and to return to the Board of Supervisors at final budget time with revised expenses if a reduced allocation amount is indicated from RAC, was approved unanimously.

The Committee discussed the \$1.8 million fund balance and the proposal that \$1 million be set aside for AB900 treatment as previously approved. However, because the County will only match operational costs and not treatment or programs, Chief Silva proposed to provide for operational costs in order to receive the County's funding match. She also proposed to move these funds into a separate account in order to show these funds are committed for AB900 uses.

MOTION: Chief Jill Silva. Second: Sheriff Adam Christianson. To set aside \$1 million for AB900 operational costs, with the County match of this funding on a two-to-one basis, was approved unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 3:10 p.m.

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, it was realized that the \$132,000 for CSA was not included in the budget amounts. Since this amount was previously approved for funding by the CCP Executive Committee and the only changes to the budget were to reduce the Probation Department, Sheriff's Office, and BHRS, we have included the \$132,000 adjustment in the Board agenda item and CCP Plan. The 2014-2015 allocation has been adjusted for this amount and, therefore, we are still taking a balanced budget to the Board of Supervisors.