

STANISLAUS COUNTY

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

Executive Committee Meeting Minutes

Friday – June 14, 2013

Stanislaus County Probation Department – Conference Room

MEMBERS/DESIGNEES PRESENT

JILL SILVA, Chief Probation Officer, Probation Department

BIRGIT FLADAGER, District Attorney

ADAM CHRISTIANSON, Sheriff

MADELYN SCHLAEPFER, Director, Behavioral Health & Recovery Services

TIMOTHY P. BAZAR, Public Defender

STEPHANIE KENNEDY, for Rebecca Fleming, Superior Court of California

MEMBERS ABSENT

CHIEF GALEN CARROLL, Modesto Police Department

GUESTS PRESENT

SONNY SANDHU, Public Defender's Office

LINDA DENLY, Department of Justice

1. **CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS**

The meeting was called to order at 11:05 a.m. by Chief Probation Officer Jill Silva. Guest Linda Denly, Bureau Chief with the Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, was introduced by Sheriff Christianson.

2. **PUBLIC COMMENT**

No members of the public were present.

3. **BRIEFING ON DATA SHARING: LINDA DENLY, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

Ms. Denly, DOJ Bureau Chief of the Bureau of Criminal Identification and Investigative Services advised that within this bureau is the supervise release file, which is the key data base to monitor and track those individuals under supervision with AB109. She reviewed that when AB109 was implemented, the CDCR created a working group and invited public safety partners to identify how best to track this population. She noted there are approximately 12 databases that comprise an offender's profile and AB109 has shown that, as a State, there is a need to share information. A working group was created who contacted CCP's in various counties to learn about the critical issues, challenges and successes being experienced. As a result, a consortium was formed with representation from all the public safety partners along with the Department of Finance. A meeting was held in January to determine the support statewide and an executive steering committee was formed with one representative from each of the organizations. The objective of this group was to be a bridge to inform memberships and obtain feedback. Ms. Denly noted that there are various subcommittees throughout the State and one critical group is the Law Enforcement Data Sharing Task Force.

The executive steering committee identified some of the high level capabilities a data sharing system will require. The initial focus will be on probation and law enforcement since the most immediate need and priority identified was the ability to track an offender moving from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, to track the mandatory supervision issues, and the PRCS in order to allow law enforcement access to the information required to make immediate decisions. The vision for this data sharing system is to connect all the public safety partners throughout the entire arrest and supervision life cycle. In addition, a request was made by the jail management teams and probation to identify the types of community supervision that is being administered to any offender so if an offender moves from area to area, educational programs or specific supervision aspects can be shared. The investigative piece was identified as being critical and executive dashboards were important for policy and operational decisions.

Based on all of the communications, critical functionalities were identified and a Request for Offer (RFO) was developed. Responses were received and the RFO was awarded to Palentier, a vendor with much experience with the Los Angeles Police Department as well as in Long Beach, Los Angeles County and New York City. One critical aspect emphasized by Ms. Denly is that this contract does not incur any costs to local jurisdictions. This project will be completely funded by the State. She noted there are many different systems utilized throughout the State and the system designed will be able to integrate with local systems, such as COPLINK. However, a standard model is required and this model has been identified as the National Information Exchange Model (NIEM).

The first phase of the project has been limited to probation and law enforcement. In this phase, there are four objectives: (1) create an offender profile; (2) an offender search utilizing one offender identifier, which will be their CII number given at the point of arrest; (3) create a probation work space; and (4) develop an executive dashboard to provide critical information to Chief Probation Officers and Sheriffs in order to perform analytics and comparisons.

Ms. Denly advised that the schedule for this project will be aggressive. Five counties have been selected for a pilot program, including Stanislaus County. Selection was based on the readiness of the county's probation department with submitting PRCS and mandatory supervision individuals associated with the CII number. DOJ technical and business teams will work with the business and technical teams at the counties to better understand environments and select a county for a pilot program. By the end of 2013, the pilot should be implemented with web services available to all 58 counties. Information available will be user-friendly in the presentation layer and the system will have adequate security levels in place for information to be shared electronically.

Ms. Denly also noted that a team will be formed to define the State's recidivism definition. The definition will include the data elements that are critical to agencies in order to provide this information in a meaningful manner. Consistency will be important so that appropriate programs can be developed.

4. CCP PLAN PHASE III – REVIEW PROPOSALS

Chief Silva referred to documents sent by e-mail prior to the meeting (documents attached to original copy of minutes). She advised that when the May revise of the State budget was made available, the growth funding amount for counties was decreased by 41%. Chief Silva noted she has received feedback urging a conservative approach and developing a long-term plan in the event CCP funding decreases. In particular, concerns have been expressed about cost exposure for the AB900 beds.

Chief Silva noted that CCP funds were allocated by the State for the housing, supervision and treatment of AB109 offenders and that District Attorneys, Public Defenders and the Courts were allocated separate funding for addressing parole violation hearings. As for parole violations, she advised that the CDCR has made a decision that while they are pending litigation over parole violations and due process, parole will not use flash incarcerations which means the pot of funds allocated by the state will be insufficient in covering costs for handling parole violations. The Executive Committee will need to determine if CCP funds are appropriate to subsidize the shortfall. CPOC has been advising the CDCR that an alternative to flash incarceration is needed and will be pressuring the CDCR to come up with an alternative.

Chief Silva pointed out that Year 4 is the stabilizing year and should be set as the baseline for subsequent years. Crime rate information was provided (reports attached to original copy of minutes) which indicates that crime rates have fluctuated. Crime rates may be stabilizing and are trending downward statewide.

Chief Silva advised that in developing the Phase 3 plan, the goal is to review and evaluate the proposals to best use the funding available and be able to sustain the programs going forward. This group must determine how to consider budgeting growth funding amounts which have not yet been determined.

5. **DEVELOP CCP PLAN AND BUDGET FOR PHASE III**

The following is a summary of the discussion for Phase 3 CCP funding and determination of funding proposed to be allocated for continuing and new programs:

PHASE 3 CCP FUNDING

AB109 Funding	\$14,509,023
Growth Funding	<u>\$662,340</u>
TOTAL	\$15,171,363

CONTINUING PROGRAMS

Second Chances	\$87,750
Sheriff	\$8,170,911
Daily Jail Reimbursement (\$7,635,000)	
Contract Services (\$400,000)	
3 rd BHRS Clinician (\$135,911)	
Probation	\$3,192,552
CEO (Jail Medical)	\$500,000
BHRS (IFT expansion)	\$1,203,402
CSA (Family Services Specialist)	<u>\$132,000</u>
Balance	\$1,884,748

NEW PROGRAMS

Sheriff – Director of Inmate Programs	\$75,600
Probation – CAD, DRC staff & Crime Analyst Software	\$699,965
BHRS – Software Developer Analyst	\$132,000
District Attorney’s Office	\$367,000
Public Defender’s Office	\$200,000
Indigent Defense Fund	<u>\$90,000</u>
Subtotal	\$1,564,565
Nirvana	\$45,000
El Concilio	<u>\$150,000</u>
Total for New Programs	\$1,759,565
Balance	\$125,183

There is a dedicated reserve fund (approximately \$4 million) set aside and the growth funding received above the \$662,340 anticipated, will be utilized to offset the cost of future jail beds costs from AB900 and continue operation of above programs if funding is decreased.

MOTION: Chief Silva. **SECOND:** PD Bazar. The recommended budget for CCP Plan Phase III was approved unanimously.

RAT operations were not included in the Probation Department’s proposal.

RAT Operations	<u>\$100,000</u>
Final Balance	\$25,183

The ICJIS Scope of Work is not yet determined and the CCP Executive Committee may have to return to the Board of Supervisors. However, this may not be necessary because of the pilot program with the State Department of Justice for data sharing.

MOTION: Chief Silva. **SECOND:** DA Fladager. The revised recommended budget (to include the RAT operation expense) for CCP Plan Phase III was approved unanimously.

The proposed date to present the CCP Plan Phase III to the Board of Supervisors is July 23, 2013.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:35 p.m.