



From: [REDACTED]
To: Planning
Cc: Kristin Doud; Shante Ruiz; Serena Baca; Patricia Sanchez; Emily DeAnda; [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: March 05, 2026 Opposition Attachments: Stanislaus County Planning Commission Agenda
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 5:03:52 PM

*** WARNING: This message originated from outside of Stanislaus County. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe ***

Good evening,

I would like to submit additional attachments for tonight's meeting referenced above for review & inclusion for consideration in opposition to appeal. I would like to respectfully request denial of the proposal to not allow cell tower installation due to many factors discovered upon further research via Environmental Health Trust website as well as internet research.

Most notably a current Supreme Court Order to FCC to provide an update of current studies showing safe Radiation Frequency levels. Please see attachments and links of evidence that is the Supreme Court based their court order., FCC is a noncompliance with the order. Therefore I request consideration to deny the towers, LLC proposal and appeal until this court order is resolved. This court order affects the termination. Also the United States shows higher rates of unsafe frequency levels than many other countries in the world has indicated on the charts in the attached files and links.

In addition, there are many aspects that affect agriculture areas, including the life of bees that we need desperately to pollinate elements that feed our world in addition to contribute to our economic well-being.

There are many health effects as well as that I can continue to provide additional information.

In addition, I would like to address the concern of dragging this process out until the 11th hour of the 150 day shot clock. I would like to bring this to your attention to please look into this factor, and how it affects local governance and making decisions involving our local community and our input.

Thank you for considering these factors in your decision-making.

Sincerely, DENISE HUERTA, concerned resident of Newman California.

Attachments:

March 5, 2026, Appeal Hearing - SAA #: PLN2025-0126: NEWMAN CELL TOWER - Additional Opposition Attachments

1. Request: Withdrawal or denial of Proposed Cell Tower

Attachments for reference:

- 1A. General CURRENT FEDERAL LAWSUIT – JUDGMENT & Related Files to Pause all Cell Tower installations in USA
- 1B. Data researched regarding effects of radiation
2. 150 Day Federal Automatic Approval Concern overriding Local Government Review Process Timeline allowance & Decision. **Mention 150-day timeframe and auto approval beyond that concern.**

Hi Shante,

Since your email shows that you are out of the office until March 10, 2026, I am addressing and including this email to the other staff in your department to request this information be included in the March 5, 2026 public hearing review and hearing for consideration.

Information:

RF safety levels challenged; Supreme Court Order & Mandate – EHT v FCC - Current

1. I've researched and discovered that FCC radiation frequency safety levels have been and are currently being addressed in court as being outdated. It has been proven that there are many validated reports to cause concern of unsafe radiation frequency (RF) exposure to the public on many levels. Please see the attachments as reference. *The Supreme Court ordered & mandated FCC (Federal Communications Commission) to update this information and is currently in non-compliance.* I have provided some information as referenced below and respectfully submit them for the Planning Commission's review and consideration when reviewing Towers LLC proposal to install their cell tower and how this can bring harm to Newman, CA.

150 Day Review Process & Default Approval

Discovering upon research that this fast pace 5G rollout has been initiated from a Federal level affecting and aiming to reduce local community input and local government's ability to govern their unique communities concerning cell towers, particularly in rural areas. There is a 150 day or less review process. If the local review & determination process is not completed within the 150 days, it will automatically be approved overruling the local government. See the reference and hyperlinks below for "Build America: Eliminating Barriers to Wireless". My concern is of potentially Towers, LLC stretching out the review process too close to or beyond the 150 days with *research, meetings and/or hearing continuances* disabling the localities to thoroughly research appropriate alternatives within the 150-day timeframe. If that happens, it would make the proposal an automatic approval by default of the 150-day review Federal rule. Unsure, if this is still a proposed new rule or if it has been approved as a new rule.

FCC = Federal Communications Commission

EHT= Environmental Health Trust

Newman related concerns: Farmland Growth; Bees – Pollinators, Trees – Orchards, Plants – Agriculture; Cattle, Location and of course people.

<https://ehtrust.org/wp-content/uploads/Radiofrequency-FMFs-and-Effects-to-Wildlife-EHT-Flyer.pdf>

<https://www.wildlifeandwireless.org/science#tab-1>

<p>Industry & FCC v Local re: rollouts</p> <p>Re: Build America: Eliminating Barriers to Wireless Deployments, WT Docket No. 25-276</p>	<p>https://ehtrust.org/whatever-industry-wants-how-the-fcc-is-steamrolling-locals-in-the-cell-tower-rollout/</p> <p>https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/1222109106344/1</p> <p>Follow up letter from EHT to Marlene H. Dortsch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 45 L Street NE Washington, DC 20554</p>	<p>Jan 5, 2026</p>	<p>5 pages</p>
<p>Build America: Eliminating Barriers to Wireless Deployments, WT Docket No. 25-276:</p>	<p>https://www.bing.com/search?q=Build+America%3A+Eliminating+Barriers+to+Wireless+Deployments%3C+WT+Docket+No.+25-276&gs_lcrp=FrRIZGdlKpYIABBFGDkyBgpAEFUyOTIHCAEQ6wrcYQKpCALACAA&FORM=ANCM59&PC=U531</p>	<p>December 22, 2025</p>	<p>155 pages</p>
<p>EHT v FCC Factsheet</p>	<p>https://ehtrust.org/wp-content/uploads/Cell-Tower-Radiation-5G-Health-Effects-EHT-v-FCC-FactSheet.pdf</p>	<p>Aug 13, 2021</p>	<p>4 pages</p>
<p>EHT v FCC update on Supreme Court Judgement Compliance</p>	<p>https://ehtrust.org/eh-challenges-fcc-inertia/</p> <p>Click on Read Full Letter link on bottom to open Jan 28 2026 letter with important links.</p>	<p>Feb 2, 2026</p>	
<p>EHT v FCC Court Petition filed</p>	<p>https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/10806965028484/1</p>		<p>77 pages</p>
<p>Attachments to EHT v FCC Court Petition filed.</p>	<p>https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/10806965028484/2</p> <p>includes chart showing USA has highest RF exposure to show additional cause of concern to update safety information etc. Cause for withdrawal and/or pause on cell tower installations etc.</p>		<p>72 pages 4 pages</p>
<p>Executive Summary of EHT Petition Demanding FCC Compliance with Federal Court Mandate Judgement ordered on Wireless Radiation Safety</p>	<p>https://ehtrust.org/eh-petition-demanding-fcc-comply-with-federal-court-mandate-on-wireless-radiation-safety/</p> <p>Many issues; Highlights of interest & more include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequacy of FCC RF Radiation Testing • Impacts on Children • Health Implications of Long-Term RF Radiation Exposure Unrelated to Cancer • Environmental Impacts • Bees, Insects, Birds, Trees • Plants & Trees <p>Issues highlighted in bold are due to agriculture and orchard impact in Newman, West Side of our County and impact of growth. This could impact our region and city's ability to sustain global contribution of feeding our world. One example, Stewart and Jasper Co. grows almonds in Newman. Their orchards, factory, and headquarters is located here and provides their almonds to local, national, and international markets. See the Environmental reports on these links affecting pollination of bees. We have seen dead bees on the ground lately. Why? We have 2 huge cell towers already behind Dollar General. Could this be contributing? If we continually add more cell towers, this could increase the effect on bees etc.</p>	<p>Aug 19, 2025</p>	<p>5 pages</p>

	It is also reported to affect the health of trees. How is this affecting our orchards? Now and Long term?		
Outline document of Petition 8/13/21 With hyperlinks	https://prod.cdn.everyaction.com/emails/van/EA/EA016/1/99476/AZ1F1cZaz-PpXi50FbHsURRFjBLWGY6Ag8OAgYaXd3H_archive EHT Files Petition Demanding FCC Comply with 2021 Federal Court Mandate on Wireless Radiation Safety		3 pages
Re: Build America: Eliminating Barriers to Wireless Deployments, WT Docket No. 25-276	https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/1222109106344/1 Follow up letter from EHT to Marlene H. Dortsch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 45 L Street NE Washington, DC 20554 Build America: Eliminating Barriers to Wireless Deployments, WT Docket No. 25-276: https://www.bing.com/search?q=Build+America%3A+Eliminating+Barriers+to+Wireless+Deployments%2C+WT+Docket+No.+25-276&qs_jcrp=FgRIZGdIKgYIABBFgDkyBggAFEUyOTIHCAEO6wcYQKgcALACAA&FORM=ANCM59&PC=U531	December 22, 2025	155 pages

More info can be added to review & submitted at a later date, if necessary

On Fri, Feb 27, 2026 at 4:35 PM Planning <planning@stancounty.com> wrote:

Good afternoon,

You are being notified that the **Appeal of Staff's Denial of Staff Approval Application (SAA) No. PLN2025-0126 – The Towers, LLC** is on the March 05, 2026, Stanislaus County Planning Commission Agenda.

The Planning Commission will consider continuance to the May 7, 2026 meeting.

To view the agenda please visit the Planning Commission 2026 Agendas & Minutes webpage:

<https://www.stancounty.com/planning/agenda/>

Patricia Sanchez

Staff Services Technician

Stanislaus County

Department of Planning and Community Development

Community Development Division

(209)525-6330