



DRAFT

DATE: February 7, 2011

MEMO TO: North County Corridor TAC

FROM: Matt Machado

SUBJECT: North County Corridor Technical Advisory Committee (NCC TAC)
Meeting Minutes for February 1, 2011

In Attendance:

Dave Myers, City of Oakdale
Jeff Barnes, City of Modesto
Carlos Yamzon, StanCOG
Kris Balaji, Jacobs Engineering
Matt Machado, Stanislaus County

There were approximately a dozen public attendees. A sign up sheet was used.

1. The NCC TAC meeting began at 1:05 p.m. on February 1, 2011 in the Stanislaus County Conference Room 3555/3772, 3rd floor, 1010 10th St., Modesto, CA.
2. The January 4, 2011 minutes were approved. Motion by Yamzon, second by Myers. Unanimous approval.
3. There were no presentations.
4. Project Update - Kris Balaji provided an overview of the project update based upon the submitted staff report. Special attention was noted for the status of the "Permit To Enter" requests, the Community Focus Group Meeting Report from December 8, 2010, and the Purpose and Need Development Memo.

Following Kris' presentation Matt Machado provided a brief discussion on the initially screened alternatives. It was explained that this initial screening was to eliminate those alternatives that did not meet the Initial Screening Criteria. Fact sheets of each alternative, showing whether the alternative would be retained for further study or not had been emailed to stakeholders. Multiple members of the public had copies with them. It was summarized that of the 17 possible alternatives, nine (9) had been eliminated based on the initial screening analysis. The remaining eight (8) alternatives will be further considered in a second round of initial screening of analysis.

DRAFT

The goal is to reduce to a reasonable range of alternatives (three or so) for detailed analysis. Kris Balaji explained briefly the steps, areas of consideration, for the second level of analysis that would lead to PDT recommendation of the preferred alternative resulting from the environmental documentation.

Members of the public had considerable comment on this first level of consideration. Subsequently we went through each alternative.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 2:15.