

## FLOODPLAIN EVALUATION REPORT SUMMARY

Dist. 10 Co. STA Rte. 108 P.M. SR-108 [PM 27.5/44.5], SR-219 [PM 3.7/4.8], SR-120 [PM 6.9-11.6]


Project No.: EA: 10-0S8000 / Project ID: 1000000263 Bridge No. N/A

Limits: The project is located in northern Stanislaus County between Tully Road SR-219 at the western terminus, to SR-108/SR-120 at the eastern terminus.

Floodplain Description: There is no floodplain in the project area.

- |   | No       | Yes |
|---|----------|-----|
| 1. Is the proposed action a longitudinal encroachment of the base floodplain?   | <u>X</u> | ___ |
| 2. Are the risks associated with the implementation of the proposed action significant?   | <u>X</u> | ___ |
| 3. Will the proposed action support probable incompatible floodplain development?   | <u>X</u> | ___ |
| 4. Are there any significant impacts on natural and beneficial floodplain values?   | <u>X</u> | ___ |
| 5. Routine construction procedures are required to minimize impacts on the floodplain. Are there any special mitigation measures necessary to minimize impacts or restore and preserve natural and beneficial floodplain values? If yes, explain. | <u>X</u> | ___ |
| 6. Does the proposed action constitute a significant floodplain encroachment as defined in 23 CFR, Section 650.105(q).  | <u>X</u> | ___ |
| 7. Are Location Hydraulic Studies that document the above answers on file? If not explain. <i>The project does not encroach on a floodplain, therefore a Location Hydraulic Study was not required.</i>   | <u>X</u> | ___ |

PREPARED BY:

  
Hydraulic Engineer, PE

12/18/15  
Date

CONCURRED BY:

\_\_\_\_\_  
District Hydraulic Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
District Environmental Branch Chief

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
District Project Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date