

STANISLAUS COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

Meeting Minutes

Thursday – March 30, 2015

Stanislaus County Probation Department – Training Room

MEMBERS/DESIGNEES PRESENT

JILL SILVA, Chief Probation Officer, Chair
ADAM CHRISTIANSON, Sheriff
BIRGIT FLADAGER, District Attorney
TIMOTHY BAZAR, Public Defender
MADELYN SCHLAEPFER, Director, Behavioral Health & Recovery Services
KATHRYN HARWELL, Director, Community Services Agency
WILLIAM O'BRIEN, Board of Supervisors
TERRY WITHROW, Board of Supervisors
STAN RISEN, CEO
DORIS FOSTER, CEO's Office
TRISHA BIRCHARD, Crime Analyst, Modesto Police Department
JANICE CREE, Crime Analyst, Modesto Police Department
DEBRA BUCKLES, Behavioral Health & Recovery Services
CHERYL TITUS, Victim's Services, District Attorney's Office
BAL SINDAL, Victim's Services, District Attorney's Office
MIKE HAMASAKI, Assistant Chief Probation Officer, Probation Department
DAVE CHAPMAN, Supervising Probation Officer, Probation Department
MARC ROGERS, Supervising Probation Officer, Probation Department
MARK FERRIERA, Supervising Probation Officer, Probation Department
ERIC MCLOUGHLIN, IT Manager, Probation Department
CHRISTY ALMEN, Community Services Agency

MEMBERS ABSENT

GALEN CARROLL, Chief of Police, Modesto Police Department
REBECCA FLEMING, Superior Court
CINDY DUENAS, Director, Center for Human Services
JEFF ROWE, Alliance WorkNet
THOMAS CHAGNON, Stanislaus County Office of Education

GUESTS PRESENT

PORIN RODRIGUEZ, El Concilio
TERESA GOMEZ, Mi Vida Empieza
PATRICIA BRIONES, El Concilio
BOB SMITH, Civil Grand Jury

1. **CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS**

The meeting was called to order at 11:35 a.m. by Chief Probation Officer Jill Silva. Members of the group introduced themselves. Representatives from El Concilio (Patricia Briones and Mr. Rodriguez), Mi Vida Empieza (Teresa Gomez), and the Civil Grand Jury (Bob Smith) were present.

2. **PUBLIC COMMENT**

No public comment received.

3. **ADOPTION OF MINUTES FROM FEBRUARY 20, 2015 MEETING**

MOTION: Sheriff Adam Christianson. SECOND: Public Defender Tim Bazar. The minutes of the February 20, 2015 meeting were approved unanimously.

4. **YEAR-FIVE PLAN**

Chief Silva reported that the CCP Executive Committee met on February 19, 2015 to discuss the Year-Five Plan. She reviewed the 2014-2015 Approved Budget/Actual Received and the Anticipated 2015-2016 Allocation figures (handout attached to original copy of minutes):

| | Approved Budget | Actual Received |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| FY2014-2015 Anticipated Allocation | \$14,427,383 | \$13,899,952 |
| FY2014-2015 Planning Funding | \$150,000 | \$150,000 |
| FY2012-2013 Growth Funding | <u>\$1,646,186</u> | <u>\$1,646,186</u> |
| TOTAL AVAILABLE PHASE 4 FUNDING | \$16,223,569 | <u>\$15,696,138</u> -\$527,431 |
| | | |
| AB900 Phase II Public Safety Center Expansion | \$1,000,000 | |
| Anticipated Reserve/Contingency | \$800,000 | |
| TOTAL FUND BALANCE | \$1,800,000 | |
| | | |
| | Initial | Most Recent |
| FY2015-2016 Anticipated Allocation | \$14,983,056 | \$17,030,067 |
| FY2015-2016 Planning Funding | \$150,000 | \$150,000 |
| FY2013-2014 Growth Funding | <u>\$1,316,000</u> | <u>\$1,316,071</u> |
| TOTAL AVAILABLE PHASE 5 FUNDING | \$16,449,056 | <u>\$18,496,138</u> |

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Anticipated 2014-2015 Growth Based on RAC Estimated Growth of \$151.8 M | \$1,258,517 |
| Governor's Proposed Budget Estimated Growth \$127.7M | |

Chief Silva noted that the \$800,000 anticipated reserve/contingency might not be completely utilized to cover the shortfalls in revenue since participating agencies are still submitting expenses at this time and some fund balances could occur. When the CCP Executive Committee met, the funding considered was the figures initially provided by the State outlined above in the Total Available Phase 5 Funding section. The Executive Committee discussed options for Phase 5 which included (1) status quo budget with no changes/ increases; (2) status quo budget with salary cost increases; (3) status quo for County agencies and RFP for CBOs equal amounts (\$145,000); and (4) status quo for County agencies and RFP for CBOs with additional funding (\$225,487). The Executive Committee decided to continue the status quo budget with no changes/increases, and, if additional funding becomes available going forward, the CCP can consider going back to the Board of Supervisors to propose an RFP process to expand treatment services. Chief Silva noted that since the Executive Committee met, the Department of Finance has indicated a base allocation of approximately \$17 million. Chief Silva proposed adopting the CCP Executive Committee recommendation to maintain the status quo budget with the possibility of reconvening to discuss utilization of additional funding if that is deemed necessary.

Supervisor O'Brien commented that when data collection is more available, it would be necessary to demonstrate that the AB109 population is being served by this budget. Chief Silva advised that the Executive Committee did emphasize that the service providers will be required to report on 2014 outcomes and their use of funding provided. The development of the CCP goals and objectives will be taken into account at a later date when considering additional services to be developed. CEO Stan Rise commented that the proposed \$16.4 million is a realistic amount to utilize, and while this funding is allocated to the County because of AB109, it is not restricted to only this population. There is a fair amount of discretion available in order to utilize these funds to strategically address the needs of the entire criminal justice population. Chief Silva advised that Prop 47 has made changes and sometimes it is difficult to distinguish between PRCS and 1170(h) offenders and measuring the true costs of AB109 is growing more challenging.

5. ESTABLISHING CCP GOALS AND OBJECTIVES – CONTINUATION OF DISCUSSION

Chief Silva reviewed that six priorities identified at the last meeting and noted that this meeting will be dedicated to further defining these priorities and establish goals within the priorities. Chief Silva emphasized that the plan is for the CCP to use these goals as a direction for future planning and funding decisions, and to establish goals that are broad in nature to be utilized over the next three to five years. Later on in this process, measurements will be established to measure these goals.

Christy Almen reviewed that at the previous meeting, the group discussed two questions: (1) Looking forward five years, what do you feel is the most desirable outcomes for improving the criminal justice system? In other words, what would you like to see the CCP accomplish with the Community Corrections Plan to improve the criminal justice system? (2) If funding was not an issue, what are three to five specific things that could be done to accomplish the desired outcomes? The groups developed lists of desired outcomes and how to accomplish these outcomes. From the lists developed, each individual then chose three items they determined to be most important to accomplish over the next three to five years. Six areas of focus or strategic priorities were identified: (1) prevention; (2) data assessment and evaluation; (3) housing; (4) evaluation/implementing effective programs; (5) staffing; and (6) increasing efficiency in the public safety system. Ms. Almen advised that when establishing goals and measures, ideally only three to five areas should be focused on. She noted that the last three priorities identified could be combined since they all address the subject of efficiency effectiveness. The group agreed to combine these priorities with the title "increased efficiency in the public safety system and implement effective programs and services."

Four groups were formed to discuss each priority with the following objectives: (1) Define more clearly the strategic area of focus or priority; and (2) develop two to four goals required to accomplish that priority in the next three to five years. The groups reported the following:

A. PREVENTION

- **Definition:** Invest in early intervention, education and prevention programs prior to criminal justice involvement and provide evidence-based programs to reduce recidivism.
- **Goals:**
 - (1) Early Intervention
 - Enhance prevention programs in schools regarding gangs and drugs.
 - Creating peer leadership programs in schools that model positive behaviors.
 - (2) Reduce Recidivism
 - Enhance evidence-based programs in our public safety institutions to change the criminal thought process.
 - Adding a family component to treatment services.
 - Stakeholders: What works, what support do they need, incentives.

B. DATA/ASSESSMENT

- **Definition:** Integrate existing data systems [justice/social services (health, mental health, CPS, CSA)] to improve data sharing and assessment.
- **Goals:**
 - (1) Identify specific data elements for analysis.
 - (2) Develop appropriate interfaces and applications.
 - (3) Develop reports that measure outcomes and costs.
 - (4) Share data to agencies, as appropriate.
 - (5) Establish an evaluation process (data, programs, etc.).

C. HOUSING

- **Definition:** Provide stable transitional environments to ensure successful treatment and programming outcomes.
- **Goals:**
 - (1) Create a continuum of services that focuses on services to aid offenders with re-entry into the community.
 - (2) Expand transitional and supportive housing opportunities to decrease the number of homeless offenders.
 - (3) Improve vocational skills/training to align with job opportunities.

D. INCREASED EFFICIENCY IN THE PUBLIC SAFETY SYSTEM AND IMPLEMENT EFFECTIVE PROGRAMS AND SERVICES

- **Definition:** Develop a more efficient public safety system with effective programs.
- **Goals:**
 - (1) Asset mapping of available programs and stakeholders, determining gaps, and benchmarking against other systems.
 - (2) Evaluate data to determine most effective programs/systems in order to reduce recidivism and identify effectiveness of programs/systems.
 - (3) Sharing data with stakeholders to develop in partnership most effective and cost efficient programs.

Ms. Almen advised that the next step would be to distribute the information defined in the four priorities, have the group members make suggestions, and determine how to measure goals to determine effectiveness. The members will be sent this information via e-mail by Gail Kittel, Probation Department, as a point of contact for their suggestions. Subsequently, the information obtained via e-mail will be compiled for discussion at a future CCP meeting.

CEO Stan Risen addressed the issue of the role of incarceration in the system and the costs associated with the new jail beds under construction. Chief Silva noted that incarceration can be fit in across each priority in the measurement area in a continuum manner from jail to release to reducing recidivism and re-entry with the DRC playing an integral part of this process. Measurements to be developed will provide more specific criteria to the goals established.

6. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting is scheduled for Friday, May 8, 2015 from 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

The meeting adjourned at 1:15 p.m.