

# STANISLAUS COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

## Meeting Minutes

Wednesday, August 10, 2011

Stanislaus County Probation Department – Training Room

### MEMBERS/DESIGNEES PRESENT

CHIEF JERRY POWERS, Chief Probation Officer, Probation Department

JILL SILVA, Assistant Chief Probation Officer, Probation Department

WILLIAM O'BRIEN, Board of Supervisors

BIRGIT FLADAGER, District Attorney

CHRISTINE APPEGATE, Director, Community Services Agency

LORI SCHUMACHER, Center for Human Services

CHIEF MICHAEL HARDEN, Modesto Police Department

JEFF ROWE, Director, Alliance WorkNet

MIKE HAMASAKI, Division Director, Probation Department

CAPT. WILLIAM DUNCAN, Sheriff's Department

STAN RISEN, Chief Executive Office

LT. RON LLOYD, Sheriff's Department

DEBRA BUCKLES, BHRS

REBECCA FLEMING, Superior Court of California

GAY MCDANIEL, Victim Services, District Attorney's Office

### MEMBERS ABSENT

THOMAS CHAGNON, Stanislaus County Office of Education

TIMOTHY P. BAZAR, Public Defender

#### 1. **CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS**

The meeting was called to order at 12:10 p.m. by Chief Jerry Powers. Members of the group introduced themselves.

#### 2. **PUBLIC COMMENT**

No members of the public were present.

#### 3. **ADOPTION OF MINUTES FROM AUGUST 3, 2011 MEETING**

MOTION: Christine Applegate. SECOND: Capt. William Duncan. The minutes of the August 3, 2011 meeting were approved unanimously.

#### 4. **UPDATES**

Chief Powers reviewed that the Sheriff's Office presented their proposal at the August 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting, summarizing that 150 beds would be re-opened at the Public Safety Center and along with expansion of alternative work programs and home detention, the total Phase I cost would be approximately \$3.1 million. He noted that Phase I would occur this fiscal year and build the foundation for Phase II to happen in Fiscal Year 2011-12 with the expansion of existing programs and add on programs as needed. Chief Powers emphasized that flexibility is important because of the need to be able to adapt quickly as the offender population increases or decreases in both in-custody facilities and out-of-custody programs.

## 5. PROBATION PLAN / SHERIFF UPDATE

Chief Powers reviewed the Probation Department's Realignment proposal for Phase I (copy attached to original of minutes). There are two components to this proposal:

- a. Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS): From October 2011 to June 2012, it is anticipated that approximately 500 inmates will be released from state prison to the county for supervision by the Probation Department. Ten supervision officers and one supervisor would be required to oversee this population with each officer having a caseload of 50 parolees. The Alternatives to Custody Program would require additional officers to handle the number of individuals that would be placed on either GPS or electronic monitoring. Two Legal Clerk III's would be required to handle the additional clerical work. Total cost for the development of this unit would be \$1,577,324 annually and \$1,182,993 for the first nine-month period.
- b. Day Reporting Center (DRC): Currently there are five officers who supervise probationers out of the Day Reporting Center with one officer assigned to work in the adult facilities conducting risk assessments for potential early release candidates. With the increased jail population and inability to sentence N3s, there will be a significant increase in the number of referrals to the DRC. It is anticipated that one Supervising Probation Officer, one DPO III, three DPO II's and one Legal Clerk III will be needed to handle the additional workload. The total costs for the DRC expansion would be \$708,849 on an annual basis and \$531,637 for the first nine-month period.
- c. Equipment: Various supplies and equipment will be needed for the additional staffing and supervision of the local parole population. These costs include GPS units, electronic monitoring units, and drug testing costs. The total ongoing equipment costs would be \$193,604 on an annual basis and \$145,330 for the first nine-month period.

Total Phase I costs are estimated at \$2,708,394 annually and \$2,031,296 for the first nine months.

Jeff Rowe, Alliance WorkNet, presented a draft proposal of Workforce Development Services for the population being paroled into the county. He noted that Workforce Investment Act funding is utilized for these programs, but that reductions are expected in this funding. The capacity is not available to supply these services to this additional population. Services are free of charge because they are available to the general public. He noted that any or all of these services can be offered separately or together.

Mr. Rowe reviewed the Workforce Development Services proposed (copy attached to original of minutes):

- a. Job Search, Resume, Interviewing Skills Workshops: These workshops would be offered every week in downtown Modesto.
- b. Career Resource Centers: These centers would be open Monday to Friday in Modesto, Turlock, Oakdale and Patterson locations.
- c. Basic Job Search Training: Offered in custody at the County Jail and at the Day Reporting Center. This would be offered to all participants at an annual cost of \$32,000.
- d. Interest and Aptitude Assessment: Two assessments offered along with explanation of results; 100 participants at an annual cost of \$3,500.
- e. Welding Training and Certification: 15 participants at an annual cost of \$77,500.
- f. Career Counseling, Work Readiness Training, Case Management, Job Development and Placement Assistance: 20 participants at an annual cost of \$76,000.

- g. Landscape Maintenance Paid Internships: One-month internship to help transition AWP participants to unsubsidized landscape maintenance jobs; 20 participants at an annual cost of \$39,000.
- h. Manufacturing Boot Camp and Paid Internships: One week boot camp which provides basic manufacturing and warehouse skills, including forklift certificate and one-month internship; 30 participants at an annual cost of \$85,500.

Mr. Rowe noted that the positions offered in both the landscape, welding and manufacturing areas are based on the demand in the labor market. Employers are solicited and asked for feedback on skills required and the employers are asked to address the group of participants. Some financial aid is available to participants from school facilities.

Chief Powers commented that employment is critical for those being released in order to reduce recidivism. He noted that an obstacle for some offenders is that they do not have a driver's license. Chief Powers questioned if there was a way to have Alliance WorkNet staff work on all parts of this proposal and work with DMV restrictions. Mr. Rowe advised that his staff can work 40 hours a week with the Sheriff's Office and Day Reporting Center to assist offenders with employment. He will develop costs for staff to do intensive case management working with participants on a one-on-one and group basis and present this information at the next meeting.

Debra Buckles, Behavioral Health and Recovery Services, presented information on capacity and costs for consideration by the group (copy attached to original of minutes):

- a. Capacity: The Alcohol and Other Drug (AOD) system is facing an approximate 40% budget reduction for fiscal year 2011/12. Capacity and services will be reduced. All programs are currently running close to or at capacity. Waiting lists were established and preference given to pregnant injecting drug users and substance abusers; IV drug users; high-risk co-occurring disorder; reunification with parent; court mandated; substance abusers with co-occurring disorders; and substance abusers who do not fall into the above categories.

For mental health individuals, while in-custody an individual's benefits are suspended. Most SMI individuals in the criminal justice system are also co-occurring AOD. If benefits have not been previously established, it is very difficult to establish once released. The only MH services available for the uninsured are mandated post-custody crisis assessment/psychiatric hospitalization and MHS funded programs. MHS programs are currently at capacity.

- b. Costs: 1 FTE Behavioral Health Specialist II = \$79,032  
 1 FTE Mental Health Clinician II = \$103,580  
 1 FTE Psychiatric RN = \$112,083  
 1 FTE Psychiatrist = \$300,000

AOD: One BHS can provide AOD intensive outpatient treatment/in-custody treatment to 25 individuals. Example given to double capacity at Drug Court would cost \$262,763. Example outlined to expand Detention Services for one BHS for in-custody treatment and one BHS for AOD treatment at the DRC could provide additional services for 50 individuals at an estimated cost of \$158,064.

For mental health, one BHS can provide Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) for 15 individuals. One MHC can provide assessments for both AOD and MH and provide clinical groups for 25 individuals. The example outlined of a mental health clinician providing AOD/MH assessments, in-custody and out-of-custody cognitive behavioral therapy groups and moral reasoning therapy and assist Correct Care Solution with linkages to out-of-custody options for on-going MH services would cost \$103,580. Another example of expanding the Integrated Forensic Team by one BHS to serve 15 individuals at an ACT level of care would cost \$79,032 for one FTE BHS and \$106,636 for psychiatry, RN and additional funds for housing, medications, etc.

National data estimates that 15% of the jail population as being seriously and persistently mentally ill. This calculates to 75 SMI individuals coming out of jail in the first year. Parole did have a medication clinic available for these individuals which might not be available and it is difficult to estimate the costs of providing medication services for this population. Rough estimates to provide service to these individuals would be \$108,042.

Total costs outlined would be: AOD at \$420,827 and MH at \$318,258 for a total of \$739,085.

Christine Applegate, Community Services Agency, reviewed Adopted Proposed Budget figures to fund two Social Workers and four Family Service Specialists at a cost of \$898,774. She noted that they will research the potential of Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) funding which would provide 50% Title XIX funding. If these funds are allowable, the amount required would be reduced to approximately \$450,000. Issues to be researched for Title XIX CSBG funding include conformity to the program code description, which includes casework activities that would benefit public assistance recipients, and time spent on activities to assist adult CSBG recipients who are Medi-Cal eligible to gain access to services covered under the State Medi-Cal plan.

Lori Schumacher, Center for Human Services, outlined services that could be expanded to provide support for the local parole population. These services would include:

- a. Counseling through Support Group Services: Mental Health Counseling for adults, couples and families; Support Groups/Group Counseling; and AOD Treatment.
- b. Family Resource Centers: One-stop regional sites which are already located in Oakdale, Ceres, Patterson and Newman, where a variety of family support services can be accessed.
- c. Welfare to Work: Provide bridging activities and support for Welfare to Work clients through a contract with Alliance WorkNet.

Ms. Schumacher reviewed a budget for providing one-on-one counseling and group services, a budget outlining group counseling costs, and a budget for Case Management. Any of the services outlined could be provided at the Day Reporting Center, in custody, or regionally at the Family Resource Center locations (copies of budgets attached to original of minutes). A summary of these budgets include:

- a. One-on-one counseling: Personnel to include a program director (.025 FTE), supervisor (0.1 FTE) and therapist (1 FTE) at a total personnel cost of \$65,266, operating expenses of \$4,380 and indirect costs of \$10,447. Total expenses for 12 months would be \$80,093.
- b. Drug and Alcohol groups: Personnel to include a program director (0.01 FTE), supervisor (0.03 FTE) and SAC Counselor (0.15 FTE) at a total personnel cost of \$10,072. Operating expenses total \$590 with indirect costs budgeted for \$1,600. Total expenses for 12 months for two alcohol/drug groups would be \$10,662.
- c. Family Resource Center: Total Revenue is \$55,000. Personnel to include one program director (.025 FTE), one program coordinator (0.5 FTE) and one case manager (1 FTE) at a cost of \$41,139. Direct operating costs total \$6,700. Administrative overhead totals \$7,161. Total expenses are \$55,000.

6. **THE NUMBERS - BUDGET**

Chief Powers summarized the Sheriff's Department and Probation Department figures for Phase I and Phase II:

<u>Phase I</u>		<u>Phase II</u>	
Sheriff	\$2,325,000	Sheriff	\$3,100,000
10% Admin	232,000		
Probation	\$2,032,000	Probation	\$3,706,000
S/O Parole		S/O Parole	
Bed Reimbursement	\$375,000	Bed Reimbursement	\$500,000
Treatment/Programming		Treatment/Programming	
Fugitive Task Force		Fugitive Task Force	
Contingency (10%) --			
for unforeseen expenses	\$600,000		
Equipment	\$412,000		
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$4,963,000</b>		

Chief Powers commented that the program will not be fully operational on October 1<sup>st</sup>, so some money will be available to roll over to Phase II. He explained that the Fugitive Task Force concept would be utilized for those parolees who do not comply with mandated requirements and at this time, numbers cannot be estimated. Chief Harden advised that two-thirds of the county is serviced by municipal law enforcement agencies and that these agencies will be impacted. Chief Powers noted that during the first nine months, it will be expected that local law enforcement agencies will need to be reimbursed for the time required to apprehend those who abscond in lieu of establishing a task force at this point.

Stan Risen, CEO's Office, discussed the possible impact on the 116-bed capacity at the jail facilities for medical services. He anticipated that in Fiscal Year 2012/13, this bed capacity could be impacted and further discussion would become necessary to determine future medical expenses.

Chief Powers reminded the CCP members to track time required for planning purposes since funding of \$150,000 is available.

7. **NEXT STEPS**

The proposals submitted from the Sheriff's Office, Probation Department, BHRS, Alliance WorkNet, Community Services Agency, and Center for Human Services will be reviewed. The group will prioritize services required for the first nine months and present a plan to the Board of Supervisors at their September 20<sup>th</sup> meeting.

8. **NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting date was set for **Thursday, August 18<sup>th</sup>**, from **11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.** Lunch will be provided. The meeting will take place at the Probation Department in the Training Room.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:40 p.m.