### STANISLAUS COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

August 15, 2013

## STAFF REPORT

#### USE PERMIT APPLICATION NO. PLN2013-0032 R.A.M. FARMS, INC.

#### REQUEST: TO OPERATE VARIOUS SEASONAL ACTIVITIES (INCLUDING A CORN MAZE, HAY MAZE, PUMPKIN BOWLING, AND ICE SKATING) IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PERMITTED PRODUCE STAND, PUMPKIN PATCH, AND CHRISTMAS TREE LOT FROM SEPTEMBER THROUGH JANUARY. THE OPERATION WILL INCLUDE A CONCESSION STAND, A TEMPORARY OFFICE, AND TEMPORARY RESTROOMS.

#### **APPLICATION INFORMATION**

Owner: Applicant: Location:

Section, Township, Range: Supervisorial District: Assessor's Parcel: Referrals:

Area of Parcel(s): Water Supply: Sewage Disposal: Existing Zoning: General Plan Designation: Sphere of Influence: Community Plan Designation: Williamson Act Contract No.: Environmental Review: Present Land Use:

Surrounding Land Use:

Albert Warda Ron Macedo 716 N. Daubenberger Road, west of N. Verduga Road, in the City of Turlock Sphere of Influence 13-5-10 Two (Supervisor Chiesa) 051-005-002 See Exhibit I Environmental Review Referrals 21± acres Private Well Septic System A-2-40 (General Agriculture) Agriculture City of Turlock Not Applicable Not Applicable Mitigated Negative Declaration Produce stand, corn field, shed, and seasonal pumpkin patch and Christmas tree lot Land planted in corn, oats, and an almond orchard to the north; land planted in corn, oats, alfalfa, and an almond orchard to the east; land planted in corn, oats, and an almond orchard to the south; and a residential neighborhood and the City of Turlock to the west

#### RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Planning Commission approve this request based on the discussion below and on the whole of the record provided to us. If the Planning Commission decides to approve the project, Exhibit A provides an overview of all of the findings required for project approval which include use permit findings.

#### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

This application requests to operate a seasonal produce stand, pumpkin patch, corn maze, preschool hay maze, pumpkin bowling area, kiddie pedal tractor corral, and a sandbox (for toddlers and pre-schoolers) from the last weekend in September thru October 31<sup>st</sup>. The hours of operation will be: weekdays, 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.; and weekends, 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Field trips may be scheduled weekday mornings by appointment.

When the corn is planted in late June, approximately two acres of the northwest corner are left vacant. At the end of September, the operator will bring in pumpkins that are grown on a nearby parcel and set up in the display area and pumpkin patch. A one bale high hay maze, approximately 80' x 80' in size, will be built in the corner. The corn maze is cut using GPS technology and will have marked entrances and exits (emergency and non-emergency). Visitors will be provided with maps and check points for the corn maze. The corn will be chopped for silage on or around November 1<sup>st</sup> each year.

This application also requests to operate a Christmas tree lot, selling fresh Christmas trees and wreaths, and a 60' x 80' mechanically frozen ice skating rink, with lights, from the Friday after Thanksgiving through the second weekend of January. The hours of operation will be: weekdays, 12:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., with sessions at 12:00, 2:00, 4:00, and 6:00 p.m. (weather permitting); and weekends, 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., with sessions at 10:00 a.m., 12:00, 2:00, 4:00, 6:00, and 8:00 p.m. (weather permitting). Each year, after the second week in January, the ice rink will be dismantled, the portable/temporary buildings will be removed, and the site will be returned to its previous condition.

Operations will include a concession stand, a temporary office, and temporary restrooms, with a maximum of 10 employees per shift.

The operation was permitted in 2011 under Staff Approval Permit No. 2011-30 – R.A.M. Farms, Inc. as a produce stand, pumpkin patch, and a corn and hay maze. The permit was only good for October and November of 2011. In 2012, the applicant obtained an Outdoor Entertainment Activities Permit from the Stanislaus County Sherrif's Office for a corn and hay maze and produce stand. The permit was only good for the month of October 2012. Photos of the 2011 and 2012 corn mazes are attached. (See Exhibit B – *Maps and Photos.*)

Under a Staff Approval Permit, the use is only allowed if it is accessory to an approved produce stand or market and can only operate for 45 days per calendar year. Obtaining a Use Permit will allow the applicant greater flexibility in the dates the use can operate and will not be required to be accessory to a produce stand or market. A Use Permit will also eliminate the need for yearly permits and will allow flexibility in providing on-site food vendors.

#### SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located at 716 N. Daubenberger Road, west of N. Verduga Road, in the City of Turlock Sphere of Influence (SOI). The project site is zoned A-2-40 (General Agriculture) and is approximately 21 acres in size. The site contains a corn field and shed. There are no dwellings or other buildings on the property. The site is surrounded by land planted in oats, alfalfa, corn, and almond trees to the north, east, and south, with a residential neighborhood and the City of Turlock to the west across N. Daubenberger Road.

#### **ISSUES**

Due to the nature of the use, staff has included mitigation measures related to noise and parking. In addition, standard conditions of approval have been added to the project.

#### Noise

The project site is directly across the street from a residential neighborhood; however, no complaints have been reported for seasonal activities in the past. The project is not expected to generate excessive noise in the area; however, due to the nature of the use, a mitigation measure has been included to ensure that noise levels are in compliance with the City of Turlock's acceptable noise standards. The mitigation measure requires that, upon notice of noise violations, the source of the noise shall cease immediately.

#### Parking

The applicant is proposing 28 parking spaces with an additional overflow parking area. Chapter 21.76, Off Street Parking, of the Stanislaus County Zoning Ordinance does not specify a parking ratio for the proposed use. Section 21.76.210 of the Zoning Ordinance states, for uses not specified, the parking ration shall be determined by the Planning Commission based on the intensity of use by motor vehicles.

In order to ensure that all activities are kept on the property, staff has included a mitigation measure requiring that all parking is kept on-site. The mitigation measure requires that if parking demand exceeds the amount of parking proposed, additional on-site parking will be required. The mitigation measure also requires signage stating that parking shall not occur in the residential neighborhood on the west side of Daubenberger Road.

The applicant has used walnut shells in the past to address dust in the parking area and will continue to do so for the proposed project. Walnut shells will also cover the display area, hay maze, and ice skating rink areas.

Daubenberger Road is classified as a collector road by the City of Turlock which requires 72 feet of right of way. Stanislaus County classifies the road as a collector with 60 feet of right of way. With any project located within a City SOI, projects are required to adhere to City standards. Both the City of Turlock and Stanislaus County Department of Public Works have reviewed the project and are not requiring any dedication on Daubenberger Road at this time.

#### **GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY**

The site is currently designated "Agriculture" in the Stanislaus County General Plan and this designation is consistent with an A-2 (General Agriculture) zoning district. The agricultural

designation recognizes the value and importance of agriculture by acting to preclude incompatible urban development within agricultural areas.

The proposed project is addressed by the following goal, objectives, and policies of the Land Use and Agricultural Elements of the General Plan:

#### Land Use Element

**Goal Five:** Complement the general plans of the cities within the County.

**Policy 24:** Development, other than agricultural uses and churches, which requires discretionary approval and is within the sphere of influence of cities or in areas of specific designation created by agreement (e.g., Sperry Avenue and East Las Palmas Corridors), shall not be approved unless first approved by the city within whose sphere of influence it lies or by the city for which areas of specific designation were agreed. Development requests within the spheres of influence or areas of specific designation of any incorporated city shall not be approved unless the development is consistent with agreements with the cities which are in effect at the time of project consideration. Such development must meet the applicable development standards of the affected city as well as any public facilities fee collection agreement in effect at the time of project consideration. (Comment: This policy refers to those development standards that are transferable, such as street improvement standards, landscaping, or setbacks. It does not always apply to standards that require connection to a sanitary sewer system, for example, as that is not always feasible.)

Implementation Measure 2: The policies described in the section on SPHERES OF INFLUENCE for projects within a city's sphere of influence or areas of specific designation shall be followed.

SPHERES OF INFLUENCE: Development, other than agricultural uses and churches, which requires discretionary approval from incorporated cities shall be referred to that city for preliminary approval. The project shall not be approved by the County unless written communication is received from the city memorializing their approval. If approved by the city, the city should specify what conditions are necessary to ensure that development will comply with city development standards. Requested conditions for such things as sewer service in an area where none is available shall not be imposed. Approval from a city does not preclude the County decision-making body from exercising discretion, and it may either approve or deny the project.

The project has been referred to the City of Turlock for review because it is within the City's SOI. The City of Turlock has not raised any concerns related to the project and has provided conditions of approval mostly dealing with operations. (See Exhibit D – *Letter from Debra A. Whitmore, City of Turlock, dated May 7, 2013.*)

#### **Agricultural Element**

**Goal One:** Strengthen the agricultural sector of our economy.

**Objective No. 1.2:** Support the development of agriculture-related uses.

**Policy 1.4:** Limited visitor-serving commercial uses shall be permissible in agricultural areas if they promote agriculture and are secondary and incidental to the area's agricultural production.

**Objective No. 1.3:** Minimizing agricultural conflicts.

**Policy 1.10:** The County shall protect agricultural operations from conflicts with non-agricultural uses by requiring buffers between proposed non-agricultural uses and adjacent agricultural operations.

In response to Policy 1.10, Buffer and Setback Guidelines (Appendix A of the Agricultural Element) applicable to new or expanding uses approved in or adjacent to the A-2 (General Agriculture) zoning district have been adopted. Appendix A requires a 300 foot wide buffer setback for people intensive outdoor activities. An alternative buffer and setback plan may be proposed by a project applicant. The alternative is referred to the Stanislaus County Agriculture Commissioner as part of the planning review process. The Planning Commission shall consider the Agricultural Commissioner's referral response in making a determination on the proposed alternative. In order to approve a buffer alternative, the Planning Commission must find that the alternative buffer will provide equal or greater protection to surrounding agricultural uses.

In this case, the applicant is proposing a "no-buffer" alternative due to the use being temporary each year. The Agricultural Commissioner has reviewed the buffer alternative proposal and has stated that, although the corn maze design is unknown at this time, past designs placed the build of the trails towards the center of the property away from neighboring farms. The set-up is portable and temporary and the Agricultural Element allows walking trails within a buffer setback area provided that they do not have rest areas. Based on the information available, and allowances provided in the Agricultural Element, the Agricultural Commissioner believes that the proposed project is consistent with the Agricultural Element.

#### ZONING ORDINANCE CONSISTENCY

Corn mazes, hay mazes, and similar seasonal activities are classified under Section 21.20.030 as a Tier Three use. Tier Three uses consist of uses that are not directly related to agriculture but may be necessary to serve the A-2 District or may be difficult to locate in an urban area. Tier Three uses are generally required to be located within a LAFCO-approved SOI. In addition, Tier Three uses may only be allowed when the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

- The establishment, maintenance, and operation of the proposed use or building applied for is consistent with the General Plan designation of "Agriculture" and will not, under the circumstances of the particular case, be detrimental to the health, safety, and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of the use and that it will not be detrimental or injurious to property and improvements in the neighborhood or to the general welfare of the County; and
- 2. The use, as proposed, will not be substantially detrimental to or in conflict with agricultural use of other property in the vicinity; and
- 3. The parcel on which such use is requested is not located in one of the County's "most productive agricultural areas," as that term is used in the Agricultural Element of the General Plan; or the character of the use that is requested is such that the land may reasonably be returned to agricultural use in the future.

Section 21.20.030(c) of the zoning ordinance also states that "most productive agricultural areas" do not include any land within a LAFCO-approved SOI of a city or community services districts and sanitary districts serving unincorporated communities.

Due to the proposed project being seasonal, the location being within a City SOI, and because the project has been conditioned to ensure that all activity remains on the project site, staff believes that the required Use Permit findings can be made.

A Notice of Public Hearing and Notice of Intent to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration were sent to neighboring land owners. Staff received a phone call from a neighbor stating that he had no problem with the pumpkin patch and corn maze but did have concerns with the ice skating rink. He stated that he believes that the ice skating rink is not agriculturally related and could lead to further non-agricultural activities. He also mentioned concerns with safety along Daubenberger Road with children crossing the street and people parking along the road.

Staff has received two letters in support of the project, both from neighbors of the property. One letter mentions that the operation has run smoothly in the past. The second letter supports the family-oriented, seasonal activities stating that the use would continue to enhance the neighborhood and community. (See Exhibit E - Letters of Support.)

The specific findings required for approval of the proposed use permit are outlined in Exhibit A of this report. Staff believes that all of the findings necessary for approval of this request can be made.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the proposed project was circulated to all interested parties and responsible agencies for review and comment and no significant issues were raised. (See Exhibit I - *Environmental Review Referrals.*) A Mitigated Negative Declaration has been prepared for approval as the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. (See Exhibit G – *Mitigated Negative Declaration.*) Conditions of approval reflecting referral responses have been placed on the project. (See Exhibit C - *Conditions of Approval.*)

**Note:** Pursuant to California Fish and Game Code Section 711.4, all project applicants subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) shall pay a filing fee for each project; therefore, the applicant will further be required to pay <u>\$2,213.25</u> for the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (formerly the Department of Fish and Game) and the Clerk Recorder filing fees. The attached Conditions of Approval ensure that this will occur.

\*\*\*\*\*

Contact Person: Javier Camarena, Assistant Planner, (209) 525-6330

Attachments:

- Exhibit A Findings and Actions Required for Project Approval
- Exhibit B Maps and Photos
- Exhibit C Conditions of Approval
- Exhibit D Letter from Debra A. Whitmore, City of Turlock, dated May 7, 2013
- Exhibit E Letters of Support
- Exhibit F Initial Study
- Exhibit G Mitigated Negative Declaration
- Exhibit H Mitigation Monitoring Plan
- Exhibit I Environmental Review Referrals

(i:\planning\staff reports\up\2012\up pln2012-0007 - north ave. heinrich huller\staff report.doc)

#### Exhibit A Findings and Actions Required for Project Approval

- 1. Adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15074(b), by finding on the basis of the whole record, including the Initial Study and any comments received, that there is no substantial evidence the project will have a significant effect on the environment and that the Mitigated Negative Declaration reflects Stanislaus County's independent judgment and analysis.
- 2. Order the filing of a Notice of Determination with the Stanislaus County Clerk-Recorder pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15075.
- 3. Find that:
  - (a) The establishment, maintenance, and operation of the proposed use or building applied for is consistent with the General Plan designation of "Agriculture" and will not, under the circumstances of the particular case, be detrimental to the health, safety, and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of the use and that it will not be detrimental or injurious to property and improvements in the neighborhood or to the general welfare of the County; and
  - (b) The use as proposed will not be substantially detrimental to or in conflict with agricultural use of other property in the vicinity; and
  - (c) The parcel on which such use is requested is not located in one of the County's "most productive agricultural areas," as that term is used in the Agricultural Element of the General Plan; or the character of the use that is requested is such that the land may reasonably be returned to agricultural use in the future; and
  - (d) That the proposed alternative buffer is found to provide equal or greater protection to surrounding agricultural uses.
- 4. Approve Use Permit Application No. PLN2013-0032 R.A.M. Farms, Inc., subject to the attached conditions of approval.



















NOTE: Approval of this application is valid only if the following conditions are met. This permit shall expire unless activated within 18 months of the date of approval. In order to activate the permit, it must be signed by the applicant and one of the following actions must occur: (a) a valid building permit must be obtained to construct the necessary structures and appurtenances; or, (b) the property must be used for the purpose for which the permit is granted. (Stanislaus County Ordinance 21.104.030)

## **CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

#### USE PERMIT APPLICATION NO. PLN2013-0032 R.A.M. FARMS, INC.

#### Department of Planning and Community Development

- 1. Use(s) shall be conducted as described in the application and supporting information (including the plot plan) as approved by the Planning Commission and/or Board of Supervisors and in accordance with other laws and ordinances.
- 2. Pursuant to Section 711.4 of the California Fish and Game Code (effective January 1, 2013), the applicant is required to pay a California Department of Fish and Wildlife (formerly the Department of Fish and Game) fee at the time of filing a "Notice of Determination." Within five (5) days of approval of this project by the Planning Commission or Board of Supervisors, the applicant shall submit to the Department of Planning and Community Development a check for <u>\$2,213.25</u>, made payable to <u>Stanislaus County</u>, for the payment of California Department of Fish and Wildlife and Clerk Recorder filing fees.

Pursuant to Section 711.4 (e)(3) of the California Fish and Game Code, no project shall be operative, vested, or final, nor shall local government permits for the project be valid, until the filing fees required pursuant to this section are paid.

- 3. The applicant/owner is required to defend, indemnify, or hold harmless the County, its officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceedings against the County to set aside the approval of the project which is brought within the applicable statute of limitations. The County shall promptly notify the applicant of any claim, action, or proceeding to set aside the approval and shall cooperate fully in the defense.
- 4. All exterior lighting shall be designed (aimed down and toward the site) to provide adequate illumination without a glare effect. This shall include, but not be limited to, the use of shielded light fixtures to prevent sky glow (light spilling into the night sky) and the installation of shielded fixtures to prevent light trespass (glare and spill light that shines onto neighboring properties).
- 5. Should any archeological or human remains, significant or potentially unique, be found, all development activities in the area shall cease until the find can be evaluated by a qualified archeologist. (Public Resources Code Section 5097.98, California Government Code Section 27491, and Health & Safety Code Section 7050.5 provide for provisions for inadvertent discovery of human remains and mandate the processes to be followed in the event of a discovery of human remains in a project location other than a 'dedicated cemetery'.) Construction activities shall not resume in the area until an on-site archeological

mitigation program has been approved by a qualified archeologist. If the find is determined to be historically or culturally significant, appropriate mitigation measures to protect and preserve the resource shall be formulated and implemented. The Central California Information Center shall be notified if the find is deemed historically or culturally significant.

- 6. Pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, prior to construction, the developer shall be responsible for contacting the US Army Corps of Engineers to determine if any "wetlands," "waters of the United States," or other areas under the jurisdiction of the Corps of Engineers are present on the project site, and shall be responsible for obtaining all appropriate permits or authorizations from the Corps, including all necessary water quality certifications, if necessary.
- 7. Developer shall pay all Public Facilities Impact Fees and Fire Facilities Fees as adopted by Resolution of the Board of Supervisors. The fees shall be payable at the time of issuance of a building permit for any construction in the development project and shall be based on the rates in effect at the time of building permit issuance.
- 8. A sign plan for all proposed on-site signs indicating the location, height, area of the sign(s), and message must be approved by the Planning Director or appointed designee(s) prior to installation.
- 9. Pursuant to Sections 1600 and 1603 of the California Fish and Game Code, prior to construction, the developer shall be responsible for contacting the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (formerly the Department of Fish and Game) and shall be responsible for obtaining all appropriate stream-bed alteration agreements, permits, or authorizations, if necessary.
- 10. The Department of Planning and Community Development shall record a Notice of Administrative Conditions and Restrictions with the County Recorder's Office within 30 days of project approval. The Notice includes: Conditions of Approval/Development Standards and Schedule; any adopted Mitigation Measures; and a project area map.
- 11. Pursuant to State Water Resources Control Board Order 99-08-DWQ and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit No. CAS000002, prior to construction, the developer shall be responsible for contacting the California Regional Water Quality Control Board to determine if a "Notice of Intent" is necessary, and shall prepare all appropriate documentation, including a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). Once complete, and prior to construction, a copy of the SWPPP shall be submitted to the Stanislaus County Department of Public Works.
- 12. Pursuant to the federal and state Endangered Species Acts, prior to construction, the developer shall be responsible for contacting the US Fish and Wildlife Service and California Department of Fish and Wildlife (formerly the Department of Fish and Game) to determine if any special status plant or animal species are present on the project site, and shall be responsible for obtaining all appropriate permits or authorizations from these agencies, if necessary.

#### Department of Public Works

- 13. Public Works shall approve the location and width of any driveway approaches on Daubenberger Road. Asphalt driveways shall be installed from the edge of pavement to the property line, minimum, for the driveways on Daubenberger Road.
- 14. An encroachment permit shall be taken out before any work is done in the road right-ofway for Daubenberger Road.
- 15. A grading and drainage plan for the project site shall be submitted before any building permit for the site is issued. Public Works will review and approve the drainage calculations. The grading and drainage plan shall include the following information:
  - The plan shall contain enough information to verify that all runoff will be kept from going onto adjacent properties and Stanislaus County road right-of-way.
  - The grading and drainage plan shall comply with the current Stanislaus County National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit and Stanislaus County's MSF Phase 2 Storm Water Management Program.
  - The grading, drainage, and associated work shall be accepted by Stanislaus County Public Works prior to a final inspection or occupancy, as required by any building permit.

The applicant of the building permit shall pay the current Stanislaus County Public Works weighted labor rate for the plan review of the building and/or grading plan. The applicant of the building permit shall pay the current Stanislaus County Public Works weighted labor rate for all on-site inspections. The Public Works inspector shall be contacted 48 hours prior to the commencement of any grading or drainage work on-site.

- 16. Tracking from the site shall be minimized by the use of Best Management Practices. This may be done by use of nut shells, rock, or other approved methods wherever vehicular traffic will travel on site. The applicant shall monitor the tracking on Daubenberger and shall keep the road swept until they change or amend their Best Management Practices for tracking.
- 17. Prior to the seasonal operation of the produce stand, pictures of the project's edge of pavement shall be taken for comparison purposes. If any visible damage is present, the applicant shall repair the damage to meet or exceed the existing conditions. The pictures of the edge of pavement shall concentrate on any area seeing traffic crossing the edge of pavement into or out of the project site.

#### **Building Permits Division**

18. Building permits are required and must be obtained each year prior to events. Inspections must take place prior to each event to include pumpkin patch, ice rink, and removal of features.

#### Modesto Regional Fire Athority

- 19. Submit a detailed site plan to scale for each activity to the Modesto Regional Fire Authority for approval prior to any activity. The site plan shall:
  - Show the area of each activity;
  - Show the occupant load per the California Building Code;

UP PLN2013-0032 Conditions of Approval August 15, 2013 Page 4

• Show all exits and describe the exit door, gate, or other barrier as well as any locking devices;

DRAFT

- Show the location of all fire extinguishers;
- Describe how the hay bales will be flame retardant treated;
- Show required emergency vehicle access;
- Show the required on-site fire protection water supply.

#### **Department of Environmental Resources**

- 20. Bacteriological testing of the water supply must be done a month prior to operation. Water shall be tested by an approved laboratory and results submitted to DER for review.
- 21. The applicant shall contact the Department of Environmental Resources regarding appropriate permitting requirements for hazardous materials and/or wastes. Applicant and/or occupants handling hazardous materials or generating hazardous wastes must notify the Department of Environmental Resources relative to the following: Calif. H&S, Division 20)
  - A. Requirements for registering as a handler of hazardous materials in the County.
  - B. Submittal of hazardous materials Business Plans by handlers of materials in excess of 55 gallons or 500 pounds of hazardous material or of 200 cubic feet of compressed gas.
  - C. The handling of acutely hazardous materials may require the preparation of a Risk Management Prevention Program which must be implemented prior to operation of the facility. The list of acutely hazardous materials can be found in SARA, Title III, Section § 302.
  - D. Generators of hazardous waste must notify the Department relative to the: (1) quantities of waste generated; (2) plans for reducing wastes generated; and (3) proposed waste disposal practices.
  - E. Permits for the treatment of hazardous waste on-site will be required from the hazardous materials division.

#### Turlock Irrigation District

22. The owner/developer must apply for a facility change for any pole or electrical facility relocation. Facility changes are performed at developer's expense.

#### City of Turlock

- 23. All proposed uses shall be permitted as a temporary seasonal use only and shall be limited to operating during the period of the last weekend in September through the second weekend in January as described in the project description.
- 24. The 10' x 10' produce stand may operate throughout the year and the products sold shall be restricted to product grown on site or other properties owned by the operator, as proposed in the project application.
- 25. Retail sales shall be limited to: agricultural produce grown on site or on other properties owned by the operator, such as pumpkins; Christmas trees; and wreaths made from Christmas trees.

26. Only one food vendor shall be permitted on the site limited to the size depicted on the site plan and only during the operation of seasonal use from the last weekend in September through the second weekend in January each year on Friday, Saturday, and Sunday.

DRAFT

- 27. No expansion of the use, hours, or buildings beyond those described in the permit application shall be permitted without first obtaining approval of a permit from the County of Stanislaus that is reviewed by the City of Turlock.
- 28. Any portion of the site, including the produce stand, the Hay Maze, the Corn Maze, Ice Skating Rink, the Christmas Tree Lot, and the Pumpkin Patch without adequate lighting shall cease operation at dusk. The parking lot shall remain lit if any portion of the site is operating after dusk.
- 29. All proposed buildings, parking lot requirements, and uses shall be setback an adequate distance as to not interfere with the future expansion of Daubenberger Road in accordance with the Turlock General Plan. The parking lot shall be constructed to meet all required setbacks for Daubenberger Road. The City requests that the County provide the City Engineering and Planning Divisions the opportunity to review and approve building, grading, and encroachment permit plans, including the parking lot improvement plans, for conformance with this provision and City standards prior to the issuance of a permit by the County.
- 30. The operator shall ensure that no gravel or other material used in the construction of the parking lot shall be tracked on to City streets.
- 31. Fire extinguishers with a minimum rating of 2-A:10-B:C shall be provided such that no point in the building is further than 75-foot travel distance to an extinguisher. Extinguishers shall be mounted on the wall, in cabinets, and/or on a stationary fixture, such that the top of the extinguisher is no more than four (4') feet above floor level.
- 32. A 24-foot fire access lane constructed in accordance with the State Fire Code and the Turlock Municipal Code shall be required if the ice skating rink is located greater than 150 feet from the paved roadway surface. Building and/or improvements plans shall be submitted to the City of Turlock Fire Department for review and approval prior to the issuance of a permit by the County of Stanislaus.
- 33. The operator shall ensure that trash receptacles are placed throughout the site and that provisions are made for the collection and removal of waste from the site.
- 34. The property shall be maintained free of litter. Litter shall not be allowed to leave the premises. Hay bales shall be removed after the seasonal activities within two weeks of the end of the operating period for the use.
- 35. Horse drawn carriages, horse rides, and other such attractions shall be operated on the site only and shall not be allowed in the public right-of-way.
- 36. No live entertainment shall be permitted.
- 37. No alcohol shall be sold or served on the site.

UP PLN2013-0032 Conditions of Approval August 15, 2013 Page 6

- 38. All uses, construction, installation, and operation activities shall comply with the City of Turlock Noise Ordinance.
- 39. At least one security guard or security officer shall be provided on weekends during the operation of the facility. Security guards must be licensed through the State of California Department of Consumer Affairs Bureau of Security and Investigative Services.
- 40. No permanent signs shall be erected for the proposed use.
- 41. All temporary structures, trailers, lighting, display areas, and parking shall not be installed until the last weekend in September and shall be removed by January 31 each year, with the exception of the produce stand. These areas shall be returned to agricultural production each year.

#### **MITIGATION MEASURES**

(Pursuant to California Public Resources Code 15074.1: Prior to deleting and substituting for a mitigation measure, the lead agency shall do both of the following:

 Hold a public hearing to consider the project; and

# 2) Adopt a written finding that the new measure is equivalent or more effective in mitigating or avoiding potential significant effects and that it in itself will not cause any potentially significant effect on the environment.)

- 42. Low-volume seasonal background music may be provided for the ice skating rink area only provided that the sound does not exceed the City's Noise Ordinance standards for residential uses measured at the subject property line. No other amplified sound or music shall be permitted. No music shall be played between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. on any day of the week. Upon notice of a noise violation, the source of the noise shall cease immediately.
- 43. The parking lot shall be constructed to accommodate all of the parking generated by the seasonal activities as well as the year-round produce stand. In the event that parking demand exceeds the parking initially required by the permit, the operator shall construct additional on-site parking. The operator shall post signs in prominent locations on the property stating that parking shall not occur in the residential neighborhood on the west side of Daubenberger Road.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Please note: If Conditions of Approval/Development Standards are amended by the Planning Commission or Board of Supervisors, such amendments will be noted in the upper right-hand corner of the Conditions of Approval/Development Standards; new wording is in **bold**, and deleted wording will have a line through it.

(i:\planning\staff reports\up\2012\up pln2012-0007 - north ave. heinrich huller\staff report.doc)

21

**DRAFT** 



DEBRA A. WHITMORE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES dwhitmore@turlock.ca.us DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PLANNING DIVISION

156 S. BROADWAY, SUITE 120 | TURLOCK, CALIFORNIA 95380 | PHONE 209-668-5542 EXT 2218 | FAX 209-668-5107

May 7, 2013

Carole Maben Stanislaus County Planning Department 1010 Tenth Street, Suite 3400 Modesto, CA 95354



## SUBJECT: USE PERMIT PLN2013-32 - R.A.M. FARMS, INC., 716 N. Daubenberger Road (APN 051-005-002) (21.44 acres)

Dear Ms. Maben:

Thank you for providing the City of Turlock an opportunity to comment on the proposed project.

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The applicant is requesting a use permit to operate a seasonal produce stand, pumpkin patch, corn maze, pre-school hay maze, pumpkin bowling area, kiddie pedal tractor corral, and a sandbox (for toddlers and pre-schoolers) from the last weekend in September through October 31, and an ice rink and Christmas tree lot from the Friday after Thanksgiving to the second weekend in January each year.

The Corn Maze and Pumpkin Patch will be open only from September 30 to October 31 on weekdays (Monday through Friday) from noon to 6:00 PM and weekends (Saturday and Sunday) from 10:00 AM to 10:00 PM. Field trips may also be scheduled as early as 8:00 AM. The Corn Maze will be open for group events weeknights until 9:00 PM. The corn will be chopped for silage on November 1 each year. A one-bale high hay maze, approximately 80' x 80', will be built in the northwest corner of the property (denoted by the location of the ice skating rink on the site plan). Entrances, exits and emergency exits will be clearly marked and visitors will be provided a map to ensure safe entry and exiting of the maze.

Page 2 Letter to Carole Maben May 7, 2013

After November 1 of each year, a temporary 60' x 80' Ice Skating Rink with lights will be set up and Christmas Trees will be brought in for sale. The Ice Rink and Christmas will begin operation the Friday following Thanksgiving and will operate through the second weekend in January. The Ice Rink will be open weekdays, noon to 8:00 PM and weekends from 10:00 AM to 10:00 PM with sessions scheduled every 2 hours. Weather permitting, extended sessions may be offered as early at 8:00 AM. The Christmas Tree area will be approximately 70' x 170' in size (11,900 square feet).

Operations will include a concession stand, a temporary office and temporary restrooms with a maximum of 12 employees per shift. There is an existing 960 square foot storage shed on the property that will be used as part of the operation. The applicant proposes to install a temporary portable 528 square foot modular office (with handicapped restrooms), temporary portable restrooms (6' x 20' modular unit)), eight 25-foot light poles (covering the activity areas and parking lot), a temporary 25-space parking lot with hay bale barrier, a 24' x 40' display area next to the existing storage shed and a 10' x 10' produce stand. Two driveway access points are provided along Daubenberger, as depicted on the site plan, and delineated by hay bales. The parking lot surface will be created using compacted walnut shells. The portable office has handicapped restrooms. A food vendor will also be located on the site in the area depicted on the site plan. An existing septic tank and leach line will be updated to meet County standards for the proposed use. Potable water will be available on site. Fire extinguishers will be provided in the storage building, produce stand and office. Trash receptacles will be placed throughout the site.

The temporary structures and uses will be installed as shown on the submitted site plan. All temporary structures, trailers, lighting, display areas, and parking will be removed and the area returned to agricultural production.

#### **CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

The City of Turlock requests that the following conditions be added to the project:

- 1. All proposed uses shall be permitted as a temporary seasonal uses only and shall be limited to operating during the period of September 30 through the second weekend in January as described in the project application.
- 2. The 10' x 10' produce stand may operate throughout the year and the products sold shall be restricted to product grown on site or other properties owned by the operator, as proposed in the project application.

Page 3 Letter to Carole Maben May 7, 2013

- 3. Retail sales shall be limited to: agricultural produce grown on site or other properties owned by the operator, such as pumpkins; Christmas trees; and wreaths made from Christmas trees.
- 4. Only one food vendor shall be permitted on the site limited to the size depicted on the site plan and only during the operation of seasonal use from September 30 through the second weekend in January each year on Friday, Saturday and Sunday.
- 5. No expansion of the use, hours, or buildings beyond those described in the permit application shall be permitted without first obtaining approval of a permit from the County of Stanislaus that is reviewed by the City of Turlock.
- 6. Any portion of the site, including the produce stand, the Hay Maze, the Corn Maze, Ice Skating Rink, the Christmas Tree Lot, and the Pumpkin Patch without adequate lighting shall cease operation at dusk. The parking lot shall remained lit if any portion of the site is operating after dusk.
- 7. The parking lot shall be constructed to accommodate all of the parking generated by the seasonal activities as well as the year-round produce stand. In the event that parking demand exceeds the parking initially required by the permit, the operator shall construct additional on-site parking. The operator shall post signs in prominent locations on the property stating that parking shall not occur in the residential neighborhood on the west side of Daubenberger. Given the temporary nature of the proposed use, the City would support an all weather surface for the parking lot area; however, the driveway approaches should be paved to a minimum of 5 feet from the existing roadway to avoid damage to Daubenberger Road and to facilitate access and egress to Daubenberger Road.
- 8. All proposed buildings, parking lot improvements, and uses shall be setback an adequate distance as to not interfere with the future expansion of Daubenberger Road in accordance with the Turlock General Plan. The parking lot shall be constructed to meet all required setbacks for Daubenberger Road. As the site plan does not include dimensions or note where the property line is located relative to the existing roadway, the City is unable to provide specific comments as to whether the proposed site plan meets these requirements. In order to ensure that this requirement is met, the City requests that the County provide the City Engineering and Planning Divisions the opportunity to review and approve building, grading and encroachment permit plans, including the parking lot improvement plans, for conformance with this provision and City standards prior to the issuance of a permit by the County. Please consult with Mike Pitcock, City Engineer, at 668-5520 and Debbie Whitmore, Planning Manager, at 668-5640.

Page 4 Letter to Carole Maben May 7, 2013

- 9. The operator shall ensure that no gravel or other material used in the construction of the parking lot shall be tracked on to City streets.
- 10. Fire extinguishers with a minimum rating of 2-A:10-B:C shall be provided such that no point in the building is further than 75-foot travel distance to an extinguisher. Extinguishers shall be mounted on the wall, in cabinets, and/or on a stationary fixture, such that the top of the extinguisher is no more than four (4') feet above floor level.
- 11.A 24-foot fire access lane constructed in accordance with the State Fire Code and the Turlock Municipal Code shall be required if the ice skating rink is located greater than 150 feet from the paved roadway surface. Building and/or improvements plans shall be submitted to the City of Turlock Fire Department for review and approval prior to the issuance of a permit by the County of Stanislaus.
- 12. The operator shall ensure that trash receptacles are placed throughout the site and that provisions are made for the collection and removal of waste from the site.
- 13. The property shall be maintained free of litter. Litter shall not be allowed to leave the premises. Hay bales shall be removed after the seasonal activities within two weeks of the end of the operating period for the use.
- 14. Low-volume seasonal background music may be provided for the Ice Skating Rink area only provided that the sound does not exceed the City's Noise Ordinance standards for residential uses measured at the subject property line. No other amplified sound or music shall be permitted. No music shall be played during the hours of 10:00 PM and 8:00 AM on any day of the week.
- 15. Horse drawn carriages, horse rides, and other such attractions shall be operated on the site only and shall not be allowed in the public right-of-way.
- 16. No live entertainment shall be permitted.
- 17. No alcohol shall be sold or served on the site.
- 18.All uses, construction, installation and operation activities shall comply with the City of Turlock Noise Ordinance.
- 19. At least one security guard or security officer shall be provided on weekends during the operation of the facility. Security guards must be licensed through the State of California Department of Consumer Affairs Bureau of Security and Investigative Services.

Page 5 Letter to Carole Maben May 7, 2013

- 20. No permanent signs shall be erected for the proposed use.
- 21. All temporary structures, trailers, lighting, display areas, and parking shall not be installed until September 30 and shall be removed by January 31 each year, with the exception of the produce stand. These areas shall be returned to agricultural production each year.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding these comments at (209) 668-5542 x2218.

Sincerely,

Debra A. Whitmore Deputy Director of Development Services (Planning)

Department of Planning and Community Development

1010 10<sup>th</sup> St. Suite 3400

Modesto, CA 95354

Chairperson Ramos,

I received your July 8, 2013 notice about permit number PLN2013-032, R.A.M. Farms, Inc. and their request to operate a corn maze, hay maze, pumpkin bowling, Christmas tree lot and lastly, an ice skating rink from September through January. As you know, these activities will be held at 716 N. Daubenberger Rd.

I live at 640 Corello St. which is around the corner from R.A.M. Farms. They have been operating these activities for the last few years, and I believe the operation runs smoothly. Often my wife and I can hear laughter from the corn maze, and excited kids carrying home their first pumpkin. Also, some of our local schools use the pumpkin patch during the day. I am in strong support of R.A.M. Farms continuing to operate on this site.

Please feel free to share my letter with the Commission members, and I request it be added to your meeting minutes.

Sincerely,

Larry Rumbeck





EXHIBIT E

Javier Camarena - PLN2013-0032 - R.A.M. Farms, Inc

From:	"Pennie Rorex" <prorex@charter.net></prorex@charter.net>
To:	<pre><planning@co.stanislaus.ca.us></planning@co.stanislaus.ca.us></pre>
Date:	7/23/2013 6:08 PM
Subject:	PLN2013-0032 - R.A.M. Farms, Inc
CC:	<arorex@charter.net></arorex@charter.net>

Members of the Stanislaus County Planning Commission:

Re: Use Permit No. PLN2013-0032 – R.A.M. Farms, Inc.

It is with pleasure that we received notice of the proposed seasonal activities by R.A.M. Farms, up for consideration on August 15, 2013.

We are residents one street west of the proposed site. Having lived in this neighborhood for 13 years, we have had the distinct pleasure of watching the joy that families experience when visiting the annual R.A.M. Farms pumpkin patch the past few years. We drive by that site a minimum of two times a day. How wonderful it is to have a nearby location providing family-oriented fun, based on agriculture principles.

Based on our experiences of living near the pumpkin patch, and having visited the patch and found our way through the corn maze, we are in complete support of additional seasonal activities at this site. The addition of a Christmas tree lot and temporary ice skating rink would further the holiday cheer that R.A.M. Farms has extended to thousands of families during the Halloween season.

We have no personal or professional affiliation with R.A.M. Farms whatsoever. We are just neighbors who support the family-oriented, seasonal activities that would continue to enhance our neighborhood and the community.

Sincerely,

Allen & Pennie Rorex 585 Corello Street Turlock CA 95380 (209) 667-7024



## Stanislaus County Planning and Community Development

1010 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3400 Modesto, California 95354 Phone: (209) 525-6330 Fax: (209) 525-5911

## **CEQA INITIAL STUDY**

Adapted from CEQA Guidelines APPENDIX G Environmental Checklist Form, Final Text, December 30, 2009

1.	Project title:	Use Permit Application No. PLN2013-0032 - R.A.M. Farms, Inc.
2.	Lead agency name and address:	Stanislaus County 1010 10th Street, Suite 3400 Modesto, CA 95354
3.	Contact person and phone number:	Javier Camarena, Assistant Planner (209) 525-6330
4.	Project location:	716 N. Daubenberger Road, west of N. Verduga Road, in the City of Turlock Sphere of Influence. APN: 051-005-002
5.	Project sponsor's name and address:	Ron Macedo 4424 Silva Road Turlock, CA 95380
6.	General Plan designation:	Agriculture
7.	Zoning:	A-2-40 (General Agriculture)

#### 8. Description of project:

This is a request to operate a seasonal produce stand, pumpkin patch, corn maze, pre-school hay maze, pumpkin bowling area, kiddie pedal tractor corral, and a sandbox (for toddlers and pre-schoolers) from the last weekend in September thru October 31. The hours of operation will be: weekdays, 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.; and weekends, 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Field trips may be scheduled weekday mornings by appointment. This application also requests to operate a Christmas tree lot, selling fresh Christmas trees and wreaths, and a 60' x 80' mechanically frozen ice skating rink, with lights, from the Friday after Thanksgiving thru the second weekend of January. The hours of operation will be: weekdays, 12:00 p.m., with sessions at 12:00, 2:00, 4:00, and 6:00 p.m. (weather permitting); and weekends, 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., with sessions at 10:00 a.m., 12:00, 2:00, 4:00, 6:00, and 8:00 p.m. (weather permitting). Operations will include a concession stand, a temporary office, and temporary restrooms, with a maximum of 10 employees per shift. The operation has existed under a yearly permit for the past two years as a produce stand, pumpkin patch, and corn and hay maze. The proposed project will expand operations as mentioned. An extended project description is attached.

#### 9. Surrounding land uses and setting:

Land planted in corn, oats, and an almond orchard to the north; land planted in corn, oats, alfalfa, and an almond orchard to the east; land planted in corn, oats, and an almond orchard to the south; and a residential neighborhood and the City of Turlock to the west. 10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.):
 Department of Public Works Building Permits Division Denair Fire District Department of Environmental Resources City of Turlock

#### ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

□ Aesthetics	Agriculture & Forestry Resources	☐ Air Quality
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology /Soils
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	☐ Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology / Water Quality
Land Use / Planning	☐ Mineral Resources	Noise
□ Population / Housing	Public Services	□ Recreation
Transportation/Traffic	Utilities / Service Systems	□ Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency) On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
  - I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Javier Camarena, Assistant Planner Prepared By

June 19, 2013 Date

#### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).

2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.

3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.

4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross-referenced).

5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration.

Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:

a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.

b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.

c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.

8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.

9) The explanation of each issue should identify:

- a) the significant criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
- b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significant.

I. AESTHETICS Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			х	
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			x	
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			х	
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			х	

generally do not dictate the need or desire for architectural review of agricultural uses. The project site has been used as a produce stand, pumpkin patch, and corn and hay maze seasonally in the past. The proposed expanded seasonal uses will be temporary and removed for the off-season. There are eight (8) existing light poles on the project site: four are in the area which would contain the proposed ice skating rink, produce stand, office, and display area; four are in the parking area. To minimize any potential impacts, the Planning Department will incorporate a standard condition of approval requiring all exterior lighting to be designed (aimed down and toward the site) to provide adequate illumination without a glare effect.

Mitigation: None.

**References:** Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>.

II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. – Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?			х	
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?			х	

c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?		x
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?		х
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	x	

**Discussion:** The project site is approximately 21 acres in size, is not currently enrolled in a Williamson Act Contract, and has soils classified as mostly Prime Farmland with approximately 1.5 acres classified as Farmland of Statewide Importance by the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program. The 1957 Soil Survey classifies the project site as: 18.5 acres of DmA, Dinuba Fine Sandy Loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes, Storie Index of 86, Grade 1; 1.5 acres of DuA, Dinuba Sandy Loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes, Storie Index of 2, Grade 4; and 1 acre of Delhi Loamy Sandy, 0 to 3 percent slopes, Storie Index of 66, Grade of 2.

Within the A-2 (General Agriculture) zoning district, the County has determined that certain uses related to agricultural production are "necessary for a healthy agricultural economy." The County allows three tiers of related uses within the A-2 zone when it is found that the proposed use "will not be substantially detrimental to or in conflict with the agricultural use of other property in the vicinity." The proposed use falls under the Tier Three category for the A-2 zoning district. Tier Three uses, although not directly related to agriculture, may be necessary to serve the A-2 District or may be difficult to locate in an urban area. These types of uses are generally required to be located within Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) approved spheres of influence (SOI) of cities. The project site is within the City of Turlock SOI.

Since the proposed use is considered to be a "Tier Three Use" within the County's A-2 (General Agriculture) zoning district, and the use is considered to be people-intensive in nature, it is subject to the County's agricultural buffer requirements; however, the applicant has provided a statement that notes that the use is temporary and seasonal. The proposed hay bales, pumpkins, and Christmas trees will be part of seasonal decorations which will be removed and the property returned to its agricultural use at the end of each season. The corn maze will be harvested and the land will be planted in an interim crop. The operation will have staff directing individuals and monitoring all areas of the property in order to prevent patrons from going onto neighboring properties. The Stanislaus County Agriculture Commissioner has stated that, although the exact distances from the nearest corn maze trails to neighboring properties are unknown, past designs showed the bulk of the trails towards the center portion of the property. Upon viewing the corn maze designs used in 2011 and 2012, the distance appears to meet the minimum buffer setback requirement of 150 feet. The Agriculture Commissioner pointed out that the use is portable and temporary and believes that the applicant appears to be able to meet the requirements of the Agricultural Element. It will be up to the Planning Commission to take into consideration the applicant's statement and the Agricultural Element.

#### Mitigation: None.

**References:** Referral response from the Stanislaus County Agricultural Commissioner/Sealer, Milton O'Haire, dated June 17, 2013; Stanislaus Soil Survey (1957); California State Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program - Stanislaus County Farmland 2010; and the Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>.

III. AIR QUALITY Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			х	

Page 6

b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	x	
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	x	
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	x	
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	x	

**Discussion:** The project site is within the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin which has been classified as "severe nonattainment" for ozone and respirable particulate matter (PM-10) as defined by the Federal Clean Air Act. The San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) has been established by the State in an effort to control and minimize air pollution. As such, the District maintains permit authority over stationary sources of pollutants.

The primary source of air pollutants generated by this project would be classified as being generated from "mobile" sources. Mobile sources would generally include dust from roads, farming, and automobile exhausts. Mobile sources are generally regulated by the Air Resources Board of the California EPA which sets emissions for vehicles and acts on issues regarding cleaner burning fuels and alternative fuel technologies. As such, the district has addressed most criteria air pollutants through basin wide programs and policies to prevent cumulative deterioration of air quality within the Basin.

The project was referred to SJVAPCD and no comments have been received to date.

Mitigation: None.

**References:** Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>.

	-	-	-	
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			x	
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?			х	
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?			х	

d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	x	
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	x	
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	x	

**Discussion:** It does not appear this project will result in impacts to endangered species or habitats, locally designated species, or wildlife dispersal or mitigation corridors. This project site is currently improved with a corn field and a 1,000 square foot storage shed. The project site is also not within any adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan. This project was referred to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and no comments have been received to date.

#### Mitigation: None.

**References:** Application information; Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>; and the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB).

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?			x	
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?			x	
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?			х	
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?			x	

**Discussion:** It does not appear this project will result in significant impacts to any archaeological or cultural resources. The project site is currently improved with a corn field and a 1,000 square foot storage shed. A condition of approval will be placed on the project that if any resources are found, construction activities will halt at that time.

#### Mitigation: None.

**References:** Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>.
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
I) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				x
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				Х
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				х
iv) Landslides?				Х
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			x	
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?			x	
d) Be located on expansive soil creating substantial risks to life or property?			x	
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?			x	
<b>Discussion:</b> As contained in Chapter 5 of the General Plan Supplet o significant geologic hazard are located in the Diablo Range, west of all of Stanislaus County is located within a geologic hazard zone (Seis be required at building permit application. Results from the soils te present. If such soils are present, special engineering of the structure Any structures resulting from this project will be designed and built acc shaking for the area in which they are constructed. An addition of a swould require the approval of the Department of Environmental Resourt also takes soil type into consideration within the specific design required.	Interstate 5; h smic Design ( est will detern will be require cording to buil eptic tank or rces (DER) th	owever, as per C Category D, E, or nine if unstable ed to compensate ding standards a alternative waste	alifornia Buildi F) and a soils or expansive e for the soil de ppropriate to v water dispose	ng Code, test may soils are eficiency. withstand al system
Mitigation: None.				
<b>References:</b> California Building Code and the Stanislaus County Element <sup>1</sup> .	General Pla	n and Support D	ocumentation	- Safety
	1			
VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS – Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			x	

Page 10

	T			
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			x	
<b>Discussion:</b> This request, if approved, will provide a seasonal us of extended vehicle trips to outside areas for similar activities. In neighborhood across Daubenberger Road. These factors will help the Air Quality section of this report, mobile sources are generally re EPA which sets emissions for vehicles and acts on issues regarding c As such, the district has addressed most criteria air pollutants the cumulative deterioration of air quality within the Basin.	Many patrons reduce green gulated by th leaner burning	walk to the sit house gas emiss e Air Resources g fuels and altern	e from the re sions. As mer Board of the ( ative fuel tech	esidential itioned in California nologies.
Mitigation: None.				
References: Applicant information and the Stanislaus County Ge	eneral Plan ar	nd Support Docu	mentation <sup>1</sup> .	
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?			x	
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?			x	
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?			х	
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?			x	
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			x	
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			x	
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			x	

Page 11

h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?			x	
<b>Discussion:</b> No known hazardous materials are on site and the area. Pesticide exposure is a risk in agricultural areas. Sources of e consumed, and drift from spray applications. Application of sprays i and can only be accomplished after first obtaining permits. The responsible for overseeing Hazardous Materials for Stanislaus Count were received related to hazardous materials. This project is not with	xposure inclu s strictly contr Department y. The projec	de contaminated rolled by the Agr of Environmenta t was referred to	l groundwater, icultural Comn al Resources DER and no co	which is nissioner (DER) is omments
Mitigation: None.				
References: Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Docur	mentation <sup>1</sup> .			
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?			x	
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?			x	
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			x	
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off- site?			x	
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			x	
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			х	
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				x
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				х

I) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?		x
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?		х

**Discussion:** Areas subject to flooding have been identified in accordance with the Federal Emergency Management Act. This project site is designated as "X - Outside 0.2 percent of Annual Chance Flood Hazard" flood zone and, as such, flooding is not considered an issue with respect to this project. The Stanislaus County Department of Public Works is requiring a grading and drainage plan as part of their conditions of approval. The Stanislaus County Environmental Review Committee noted, in a referral response, that a bacteriological test of the water supply must be done a month prior to operation. This requirement will be placed as a condition of approval on this project.

## Mitigation: None.

**References:** Stanislaus County Geographic Information System (GIS) - Flood Zone layer; referral response from Angie Halverson, Stanislaus County Department of Public Works, dated April 22, 2013; referral response from Mark E. Loeser, on behalf of the Stanislaus County Environmental Review Committee, dated May 15, 2013; and the Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>.

X. LAND USE AND PLANNING Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Physically divide an established community?				Х
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				x
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				x

**Discussion:** This project is consistent with the Agriculture designation and A-2-40 (General Agriculture) zoning of the site. The features of this project will not physically divide an established community or conflict with any habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan. The proposed project is a seasonal use and will be returned to exclusive agriculture use during the off-season. This project is not known to conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of any agency with jurisdiction over the project.

The proposed project has been referred to the City of Turlock because it is within the City's SOI. The City of Turlock does not oppose the project and has provided conditions of approval mostly dealing with operations.

As discussed above within Section II - Agriculture and Forest Resources, any use of the property must be compatible with the County's General Agriculture (A-2) zoning district which limits the property to agricultural uses and uses incidental and accessory to the agricultural use of the property. Under the A-2 zoning district, Christmas tree sales lots and Halloween pumpkin sales lots are a permitted use. Corn mazes, hay mazes, and similar seasonal activities are allowed if a Use Permit is first obtained.

## Mitigation: None.

**References:** Referral response from Debra A. Whitmore, on behalf of the City of Turlock, dated May 7, 2013; Stanislaus County Zoning Ordinance; and the Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>.

XI. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				x
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				x
<b>Discussion:</b> The location of all commercially viable mineral reso State Division of Mines and Geology in Special Report 173. There				
Mitigation: None.				
References: Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Docu	mentation <sup>1</sup> .			
	-	_		_
XII. NOISE Would the project result in:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impac
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			х	
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			x	
			х	
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
			x	
the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the			х	x

been received for seasonal activities on site in the past. The project is not expected to generate excessive noise in the area; however, due to the nature of the use, a mitigation measure has been included to insure that noise levels are in compliance with acceptable noise standards. The mitigation measure requires that any verified noise complaint cease immediately. The project is not in the vicinity of an active airport.

## Stanislaus County Initial Study Checklist

#### Mitigation:

1. Low-volume seasonal background music may be provided for the ice skating rink area only provided that the sound does not exceed the City's Noise Ordinance standards for residential uses measured at the subject property line. No other amplified sound or music shall be permitted. No music shall be played between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. on any day of the week. Upon notice of a noise violation, the source of the noise shall cease immediately.

**References:** Referral response from Debra A. Whitmore, on behalf of the City of Turlock, dated May 7, 2013, and the Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>.

XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			х	
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			x	
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			х	

**Discussion:** The proposed use of the site will not create significant service extensions or new infrastructure which could be considered as growth inducing. No housing or persons will be displaced by this project.

Mitigation: None.

**References:** Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>.

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
Fire protection?			х	
Police protection?			х	
Schools?			х	

## Stanislaus County Initial Study Checklist

Parks?			Х
Other public facilities?		х	

**Discussion:** The County has adopted Public Facilities Fees, as well as one for the Fire Facility Fees on behalf of the appropriate fire district, to address impacts to public services. Such fees are required to be paid at the time of building permit issuance. A referral response from Kenneth Slamon, Deputy Fire Marshall, was received on behalf of the Denair Fire Protection District. The response provides standard conditions of approval related to fire safety and emergency access.

## Mitigation: None.

**References:** Referral response from Kenneth Slamon, Deputy Fire Marshal, on behalf of the Denair Fire Protection District, dated April 30, 2013, and the Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>.

XV. RECREATION	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				x
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				x

**Discussion:** The project will not have any impacts to parks and recreation. The project itself will be providing a recreational use for the community.

## Mitigation: None.

**References:** Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>.

XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?			x	
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?			x	
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?			x	

d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	x		
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?		х	
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?		х	

**Discussion:** A referral response from the Department of Public Works was received; however, it did not identify any traffic congestion issues associated with the proposed project. The request is for a seasonal use open only during the fall and early winter. After the season ends, the site will be returned to exclusive agricultural use; therefore, any increase in traffic will be temporary.

Public Works is requiring that asphalt driveways be installed from the edge of the pavement up to the property line and that any damage done to the project site's edge of pavement during the seasonal operation be repaired by the applicant/operator. Due to the nature of the project, Planning Staff is including a mitigation measure to ensure that all parking is kept on-site. The mitigation measure requires that if parking demand exceeds the amount of parking proposed, additional on-site parking will be required.

## Mitigation:

2. The parking lot shall be constructed to accommodate all of the parking generated by the seasonal activities as well as the year-round produce stand. In the event that parking demand exceeds the parking initially required by the permit, the operator shall construct additional on-site parking. The operator shall post signs in prominent locations on the property stating that parking shall not occur in the residential neighborhood on the west side of Daubenberger Road.

**References:** Referral response from Angie Halverson, Stanislaus County Department of Public Works, dated April 22, 2013; referral response from Debra A. Whitmore, on behalf of the City of Turlock, dated May 7, 2013; application information; and the Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation<sup>1</sup>.

XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?			x	
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				x
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			x	
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?			x	

e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				x
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				x
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				x
<b>Discussion:</b> Limitations on providing services have not been ider noting any issues with this proposed project. The site will be served Public Works is requiring a grading and drainage plan as part of their	by private we	ell, septic system		
Mitigation: None.				
<b>References:</b> Referral response from Angie Halverson, Stanislaus 2013, and the Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Docume		artment of Public	Works, dated	April 22
	-	Ī	ſ	
XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Included	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			x	
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?			x	
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?		x		
Discussion Deview of this preject has not indicated any factures	a which mish	t algoifiagoth i m		

**Discussion:** Review of this project has not indicated any features which might significantly impact the environmental quality of the site and/or the surrounding area. Any potential impacts have been mitigated to a level of less than significant.

I:\Planning\Staff Reports\UP\2013\UP PLN2013-0032 - R.A.M. Farms, Inc\CEQA - Initial Study Attachments\Initial Study.wpd

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup><u>Stanislaus County General Plan and Support Documentation</u> adopted in October 1994, as amended. Optional and updated elements of the General Plan and Support Documentation: *Agricultural Element* adopted on December 18, 2007; *Housing Element* adopted on April 20, 2010 and pending certification by the California Department of Housing and Community Development; *Circulation Element* and *Noise Element* adopted on April 18, 2006.

## MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

NAME OF PROJECT:	Use Permit Application No. PLN2013-0032 - R.A.M. Farms, Inc.
LOCATION OF PROJECT:	716 N. Daubenberger Road, west of N. Verduga Road, in the City of Turlock Sphere of Influence. APN: 051-005-002
PROJECT DEVELOPER:	Ron Macedo R.A.M. Farms, Inc. 4424 Silva Road Turlock, CA 95380

**DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:** This is a request to operate a seasonal produce stand, pumpkin patch, corn maze, pre-school hay maze, pumpkin bowling area, kiddie pedal tractor corral, and a sandbox from the last weekend in September thru October 31. The hours of operation will be: weekdays, 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.; and weekends, 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. This application also requests to operate a Christmas tree lot, selling fresh Christmas trees and wreaths, and a 60' x 80' mechanically frozen ice skating rink, with lights, from the Friday after Thanksgiving thru the second weekend of January. The hours of operation will be: weekdays, 12:00 p.m.; and weekends, 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Operations will include a concession stand, a temporary office, and temporary restrooms, with a maximum of 10 employees per shift. An extended project description is attached.

Based upon the Initial Study, dated <u>June 19, 2013</u>, the Environmental Coordinator finds as follows:

- 1. This project does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, nor to curtail the diversity of the environment.
- 2. This project will not have a detrimental effect upon either short-term or long-term environmental goals.
- 3. This project will not have impacts which are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- 4. This project will not have environmental impacts which will cause substantial adverse effects upon human beings, either directly or indirectly.

The aforementioned findings are contingent upon the following mitigation measures (if indicated) which shall be incorporated into this project:

- 1. Low-volume seasonal background music may be provided for the ice skating rink area only provided that the sound does not exceed the City's Noise Ordinance standards for residential uses measured at the subject property line. No other amplified sound or music shall be permitted. No music shall be played between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. on any day of the week. Upon notice of a noise violation, the source of the noise shall cease immediately.
- 2. The parking lot shall be constructed to accommodate all of the parking generated by the seasonal activities as well as the year-round produce stand. In the event that parking demand exceeds the parking initially required by the permit, the operator shall construct additional on-site parking. The operator shall post signs in prominent locations on the property stating that parking shall not occur in the residential neighborhood on the west side of Daubenberger Road.

The Initial Study and other environmental documents are available for public review at the Department of Planning and Community Development, 1010 10th Street, Suite 3400, Modesto, California.

Initial Study prepared by:	Javier Camarena, Assistant Planner
Submit comments to:	Stanislaus County Planning and Community Development Department 1010 10th Street, Suite 3400 Modesto, California 95354

. . .

. . . . .

(I:\Planning\Staff Reports\UP\2013\UP PLN2013-0032 - R.A.M. Farms, Inc\CEQA - Initial Study Attachments\MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION.wpd)

EXHIBIT G

# **Stanislaus County**

Planning and Community Development

1010 10th Street, Suite 3400 Modesto, CA 95354 Phone: (209) 525-6330 Fax: (209) 525-5911

## **Mitigation Monitoring Plan**

Adapted from CEQA Guidelines sec. 15097 Final Text, October 26, 1998

June 19, 2013

Ron Macedo R.A.M. Farms, Inc. 4424 Silva Road Turlock, CA 95380

1. Project title and location:

4. Contact person at County:

Use Permit Application No. PLN2013-0032 - R.A.M. Farms, Inc.

716 N. Daubenberger Road, west of N. Verduga Road, in the City of Turlock Sphere of Influence. APN: 051-005-002

- 2. Project Applicant name and address:
- 3. Person Responsible for Implementing Mitigation Program (Applicant Representative):

Javier Camarena - Assistant Planner (209) 525-6330

Ron Macedo - R.A.M. Farms, Inc.

## **MITIGATION MEASURES AND MONITORING PROGRAM:**

List all Mitigation Measures by topic as identified in the Mitigated Negative Declaration and complete the form for each measure.

#### XII. NOISE

No. <u>1</u> Mitigation Measure: Low-volume seasonal background music may be provided for the ice skating rink area only provided that the sound does not exceed the City's Noise Ordinance standards for residential uses measured at the subject property line. No other amplified sound or music shall be permitted. No music shall be played between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. on any day of the week. Upon notice of a noise violation, the source of the noise shall cease immediately.

Who Implements the Measure:	Applicant/Operator
When should the measure be implemented:	Ongoing
When should it be completed:	Ongoing
Who verifies compliance:	City of Turlock and/or Stanislaus County
Other Responsible Agencies:	Planning Department

### XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC

No. <u>2</u> Mitigation Measure: The parking lot shall be constructed to accommodate all of the parking generated by the seasonal activities as well as the year-round produce stand. In the event that parking demand exceeds the parking initially required by the permit, the operator shall construct additional on-site parking. The operator shall post signs in prominent locations on the property stating that parking shall not occur in the residential neighborhood on the west side of Daubenberger Road.

Who Implements the Measure:	Applicant/Operator
When should the measure be implemented:	Prior to beginning operations and ongoing
When should it be completed:	Prior to beginning operations and ongoing
Who verifies compliance:	City of Turlock and Stanislaus County
Other Responsible Agencies:	Stanislaus County Department of Public Works

I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I understand and agree to be responsible for implementing the Mitigation Program for the above listed project.

Signature on file. Person Responsible for Implementing Mitigation Program June 20, 2013

Date

(I:\Planning\Staff Reports\UP\2013\UP PLN2013-0032 - R.A.M. Farms, Inc\CEQA - Initial Study Attachments\Mitigation Monitoring Plan.wpd)

## SUMMARY OF RESPONSES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REFERRALS

## PROJECT: USE PERMIT APPLICATION NO. PLN2013-0032 - R.A.M. FARMS, INC

REFERRED TO:		RESPONDED		RESPONSE			MITIGATION MEASURES		CONDITIONS			
	2 WK	30 DAY	PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE	YES	ON	WILL NOT HAVE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	MAY HAVE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO COMMENT NON CEQA	YES	ON	YES	ON
CA DEPT OF FISH & WILDLIFE	Х	Х	Х		Х							
CA DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION DIST 10	Х	Х	Х		Х							
CA OPR STATE CLEARINGHOUSE	Х	Х	Х		Х							
CA RWQCB CENTRAL VALLEY REGION	Х	Х	Х		Х							
CITY OF: TURLOCK	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х				Х	Х	
COOPERATIVE EXTENSION	Х	Х			Х							
FIRE PROTECTION DIST: DENAIR	Х	Х	Х		Х							
IRRIGATION DISTRICT: TURLOCK	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х				Х	Х	
MODESTO REGIONAL FIRE AUTHORITY	X	Х		Х		Х				Х	Х	
MOSQUITO DISTRICT: TURLOCK	Х	Х	Х		Х							
MT VALLEY EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Х	Х	Х		Х							
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC	Х	Х	Х		Х							
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY APCD	Х	Х	Х		Х							
SCHOOL DISTRICT 1: TURLOCK	Х	Х	Х		Х							
STAN CO AG COMMISSIONER	Х	Х		Х				х		Х		Х
STAN CO BUILDING PERMITS DIVISION	Х	Х		Х		х				Х	Х	
STAN CO CEO	Х	Х			Х							
STAN CO DER	Х	Х		Х		х				Х	Х	
STAN CO ERC	Х	Х		Х		х				Х		Х
STAN CO FARM BUREAU	Х	Х	Х		Х							
STAN CO HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	Х	Х		Х		Х				Х	Х	
STAN CO PUBLIC WORKS	Х	Х		Х		х				Х	Х	
STAN CO SHERIFF	Х	Х			Х							
STAN CO SUPERVISOR DIST 2: CHIESA	Х	Х			Х							
STAN COUNTY COUNSEL	X	Х			Х							
StanCOG	Х	Х			Х							
STANISLAUS LAFCO	Х	Х	Х		Х							
SURROUNDING LAND OWNERS			Х		Х							
TELEPHONE COMPANY: AT&T	Х	Х	Х		Х							
US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	Х	Х	Х		Х							
US FISH & WILDLIFE	Х	Х	Х		Х							