

Posting Requested By:

City of Turlock
Planning Division
156 S. Broadway, Suite 120
Turlock, CA 95380-5456
Phone: (209) 668-5640

FILED

2018 AUG -7 PM 4:09

STANISLAUS CO. CLERK-RECORDER

Adam Loera

When Posted Mail To:

Same as above

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR CLERK'S USE ONLY

August 3, 2018

**CITY OF TURLOCK
NOTICE OF DETERMINATION
 Mitigated Negative Declaration**

SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code.

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER (if applicable): 2018062033

PROJECT TITLE: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT 2018-03

PROJECT APPLICANT: Ventana Design & Development – La Quinta Inns & Suites

PROJECT LOCATION: The proposed project is located at 4475 N. Golden State Boulevard, Turlock, CA (Stanislaus County APN 087-001-068)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The proposed project is the construction of an 85-room, 4-story hotel on a 1.85-acre parcel. The 45,139 square foot hotel measures 61'6" from grade to the highest point and includes an indoor pool. A total of 93 onsite-parking spaces are proposed. Additional onsite improvements include paving, parking lot striping, onsite lighting, and landscaping; off-site improvements including curb, gutter, and sidewalk are also proposed. The applicant is also requesting an exception to the 35-foot height limit established in the Northwest Triangle Specific Plan for the Heavy Commercial (CH) zoning District.

FINDINGS:

Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080(c)(2) and CEQA Guidelines Section 15168(c)(1), the City of Turlock, as lead agency for the proposed project, has prepared an initial study to make the following findings:

1. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15162, the proposed activity is adequately described and is within the scope of the General Plan EIR.
2. All feasible mitigation measures developed in the General Plan EIR have been incorporated into the project.
3. The analyses of cumulative impacts, growth inducing impacts, and irreversible significant effects on the environment contained in the General Plan EIR are adequate for this subsequent project.
4. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15093, a Statement of Overriding Considerations was adopted for the General Plan EIR (City Council Resolution 2012-156). As identified in the Turlock General Plan EIR, development in the project area would result in significant, and unavoidable, impacts in the areas of noise, regional air quality, and the eventual loss of agricultural land. The magnitude of these impacts can be reduced, but not eliminated by the mitigation measures referenced in the initial study prepared for this project and General Plan EIR. Therefore, mitigation measures identified in the General Plan EIR, and its respective Statements of Overriding Considerations, are adequate to mitigate the impacts from the proposed project where feasible, and are hereby incorporated by reference.

-
5. Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21157.6(a), having reviewed the General Plan EIR, the City of Turlock finds and determines that:
 - a. no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the General Plan EIR was certified, and
 - b. that there is no new available information which was not and could not have been known at the time the General Plan EIR was certified.
 6. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15162, having reviewed the General Plan EIR, the City of Turlock finds and determines that, based on substantial evidence in the light of the whole record, that new information of substantial importance shows that significant environmental effects have been identified, but that feasible mitigation measures have been incorporated to revise the proposed subsequent project to avoid or mitigate the identified effects to a point where clearly no significant effects would occur.
 7. The City has further determined, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15070(b) that:
 - a. Revisions to the project plans or proposals made by, or agreed to by the applicant before a proposed mitigated negative declaration and initial study are released for public review, would avoid the effects or mitigate the effect to a point where clearly no significant effects would occur; and
 - b. There is no substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before the agency, that the project as revised may have a significant effect on the environment.
 8. Whereas, on June 13, 2017, the City of Turlock adopted minor changes, deletions, and additions to the project described in the Northwest Triangle Specific Plan Amendment 2017 and certified an Addendum to the Mitigated Negative Declaration demonstrating that the preparation of a Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was not required, pursuant to Section 15162 of the California Environmental Quality Act, because none of the following findings could be made:
 - (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;
 - (2) Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or
 - (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete, shows any of the following:
 - (A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR;
 - (B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;
 - (C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or
 - (D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

PROJECT APPROVAL DATE: August 2, 2018
APPROVAL: Conditional Use Permit 2018-3 (Resolution 2018-16)

DETERMINATION: This is to advise that the City of Turlock has approved and has made the following determinations regarding the project as described above.

1. The project **will not** have a significant effect on the environment.
2. An Environmental Impact Report **was not** prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
3. A Mitigated Negative Declaration **was**, prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
4. Mitigation measures **were** made a condition of the approval of the project.
5. A mitigation monitoring plan/program **was** adopted for this project.
6. A statement of Overriding Considerations **was not** adopted for this project.
7. Findings were made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that documentation for the **Mitigated Negative Declaration** Final Environmental Impact Report with comments and responses and record of project approval is available to the general public at:

City of Turlock - Planning Division
City Hall, 156 S. Broadway, Suite 120
Turlock, CA 95380-5456
TELEPHONE: (209) 668-5640

This documentation can also be found on our website at
<http://ci.turlock.ca.us/buildinginturlock/planninglandusepermitting/planningprojects/activeprojects.asp>

BY: 
KACIE QUINTERO
Principal Planner/Interim Planning Manager
Environmental Review

Date Received for filing at OPR: _____



State of California - Department of Fish and Wildlife

2018 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT

DFW 753.5a (Rev. 01/03/18) Previously DFG 753.5a

RECEIPT NUMBER: 50 — 2018 — 094
STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER (If applicable) 2018062033

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.

LEAD AGENCY CITY OF TURLOCK PLANNING DIV	LEAD AGENCY EMAIL	DATE 08/07/2018
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING Stanislaus	DOCUMENT NUMBER 50-2018-094	

PROJECT TITLE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT 2018-03				
PROJECT APPLICANT NAME VENTANA DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT-LA QUINTA INNS & SUITES		PROJECT APPLICANT EMAIL		PHONE NUMBER (209) 668-5640
PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS 156 S. BROADWAYSUITE 120		CITY TURLOCK	STATE CA	ZIP CODE 95380

PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)

- Local Public Agency
 School District
 Other Special District
 State Agency
 Private Entity

CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:

- | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----|----------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Impact Report (EIR) | \$3,168.00 | \$ | 0.00 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND) | \$2,280.75 | \$ | 2,280.75 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Regulatory Program document (CRP) | \$1,077.00 | \$ | 0.00 |

- Exempt from fee

 Notice of Exemption (attach)

 CDFW No Effect Determination (attach)

 Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy)

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----|-------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources Control Board only) | \$850.00 | \$ | 0.00 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> County documentary handling fee | | \$ | 57.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | \$ | |

PAYMENT METHOD:

- Cash
 Credit
 Check
 Other

TOTAL RECEIVED \$ 2,337.75

SIGNATURE 	AGENCY OF FILING PRINTED NAME AND TITLE ADAM LOERA - LEGAL CLERK
---------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------