# THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS BOARD ACTION SUMMARY

DEPT:	Planning and Community Development	BOARD AGENDA	#: 9:05 a.m.
		AGENDA DATE:	September 26, 2017
SUBJEC	CT:		
2017 Cd	learing to Consider the Adoption of the Sta onsolidated Annual Performance and Evalua ment Block Grant (CDBG) Program and Eme	tion Report (CAPE	R) for the Community
BOARD	ACTION AS FOLLOWS:		
		No	. 2017-556
On motio	on of Supervisor Monteith , Sec	onded by Supervisor	Olsen
and appr	oved by the following vote,		
	pervisors: Olsen, Withrow, Monteith, DeMartini, and pervisors: None		
<b>Excused</b>	or Absent: Supervisors: None ng: Supervisor: None		
	Approved as recommended		
2)			
3)	_ Approved as amended		
4)	_ Other:		
MOTION:			

ELIZABETH A. KING, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

File No.

# THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS **AGENDA ITEM**

DEPT: Planning and Community Development

BOARD AGENDA #:

9:05 a.m.

Urgent O

Routine

AGENDA DATE: September 26, 2017

CEO CONCURRENCE:

4/5 Vote Required: Yes ○

No 💿

#### SUBJECT:

Public Hearing to Consider the Adoption of the Stanislaus Urban County Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program and Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. Close the Public Review Period for the Stanislaus Urban County Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program and Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program and conduct a public hearing.
- 2. Adopt the Stanislaus Urban County Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program and Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program and authorize staff to submit the CAPER to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

#### **DISCUSSION:**

On August 15, 2017, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors authorized the release of the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Draft Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) to the public for a 15-day public review period.

Stanislaus County, along with the cities of Ceres, Hughson, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, and Waterford, form what is known as the Stanislaus County Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Urban County (hereafter referred to as the "Urban County"). Whenever a jurisdiction participates in the CDBG or Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) programs, a Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) must be prepared after the end of each fiscal year and made available for a minimum 15-day public review period and submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). As part of the CAPER citizen participation process, federal regulations require a public hearing be held to solicit comments relative to the CAPER.

The CAPER is a report required of HUD grant recipients on the accomplishments and progress in their implementation of projects and programs listed and described in the corresponding Annual Action Plan (AAP) and the goals outlined in the Consolidated Plan (Con Plan). Specifically, this CAPER reports on the outcomes, progress and expenditures of the CDBG, ESG, and Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) programs conducted during Fiscal Year 2016-2017, by the members participating in the Urban County, as well as a

summary of accomplishments for the non-profit agencies that were awarded public services grants from both the CDBG and ESG programs. The CAPER also includes an assessment of the five-year objectives of the Urban County's 2015-2020 Consolidated Plan (Con Plan). Attachment 1 consists of the Urban County's Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Draft CAPER.

The Urban County received \$2,171,255 in Fiscal Year 2016-2017 for CDBG and \$197,836 for ESG activities. The chart below includes the distribution of the allocations. All funds were allocated to specific projects and/or activities.

Urban County Member	Activities	Administration*	Total
Ceres	\$242,040	\$15,027	\$257,067
Hughson	121,058	15,027	136,085
Newman	137,473	15,027	152,500
Oakdale	151,683	15,027	166,710
Patterson	137,321	15,027	152,348
Waterford	126,074	15,027	141,101
Stanislaus County	604,229	319,089	923,318
Public Services	217,126		217,126
Fair Housing		25,000	25,000
CDBG Subtotal	\$1,737,004	\$434,251	\$2,171,255
ESG	182,999	14,837	197,836
Total	\$1,920,003	\$449,088	\$2,369,091

<sup>(\*</sup> Note: Per HUD Regulations the maximum of 20% of a grant allocation award can be allocated to pay for administrative costs. Allocation amounts above reflect an 18.33% of the total CDBG amount allocated for administrative costs. As the lead entity, the County's portion of the total administrative costs is 73.48%, with the remainder allocated to the urban cities and fair housing.)

The following is a summary of HUD funded activities undertaken by individual Urban County members as well as County-wide activities/programs undertaken during Fiscal Year 2016-2017, which have been reported in the CAPER:

# **Stanislaus County**

## Program Administration

As the "lead entity" of the Urban County, Stanislaus County is responsible for the overall administration of HUD programs which includes, but is not limited to the preparation, adoption, and implementation of program plans (i.e. Con Plan, AAP, and CAPER); monitoring the program and project files of each of the participating Urban County cities and each organization receiving funding; and enforcement of all applicable federal requirements.

In terms of program monitoring, Stanislaus County follows a HUD approved monitoring plan. As part of the monitoring plan, staff conducts program and project monitoring of all program activities on a quarterly basis to ensure statutory and regulatory requirements are met by the sub-recipients (sub-recipients include Urban County cities, along with the locally funded non-profits), and that the information submitted to the County is accurate and complete.

Agreements are executed with all sub-recipients which clearly state the project scope of work, performance measurement standards, reporting requirements, draw-down requirements, and all applicable federal requirements. The monitoring process consists of on-site field visits, desk audits, open communication and technical assistance to sub-recipients to create an acceptable data collection and reporting system.

# Income Survey Requirement

Proposed projects in the cities of Hughson, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson and a portion of Ceres are not located within a Low-Moderate Area (LMA) as reflected in HUD's Census Block Group data and, as such, do not meet the LMA benefit requirements adding additional planning time for projects to proceed with a requirement of individual door-to-door income surveys that must be conducted by Urban County staff and certified by HUD. An income survey is needed to verify that at least 51% of the households in the project area qualify as low-income, meeting the CDBG income eligibility thresholds. As the lead entity, Stanislaus County is responsible for developing the income surveys, working with the cities to conduct the surveys, and submitting the surveys to HUD for certification before projects may proceed. The surveys for the projects underway or completed were conducted by County and city staff during March — May, 2016, and have been approved by HUD to proceed. The income certification for the project areas is valid for up to 5 years.

# Empire Storm Drain Infrastructure Project

On October 25, 2016, the Board of Supervisors approved a Substantial Amendment to the Con Plan and Fiscal Year 2016-2017 AAP, canceling the Empire Storm Drain Infrastructure Project and reallocating CDBG funds to the Airport Neighborhood Sewer Project. Planning staff is working through project close-out with HUD. Close-out activities include a discussion involving \$87,649 in design and engineering costs undertaken for the construction of the project's Phase 1B, which HUD has found not to be an eligible cost, since construction of the project is no longer financially feasible due to the loss of redevelopment funds and no alternative funding being available to leverage project costs. Staff is working on reimbursement options and, if necessary, a separate item will be presented to the Board of Supervisors requesting authorization for the appropriate reimbursement amount to finalize project close–out. Phase

1A of the project was constructed in 2010, serving 80 parcels, using both CDBG and redevelopment funds.

## Airport Sewer Infrastructure Project

Once completed, Phase II of the Airport Sewer Infrastructure Project will provide sewer service to approximately 390 parcels and will include the installation of approximately 19,900 linear feet of a gravity sewer system. The State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) approved the County's application for up to \$8 million towards the project in Fiscal Year 2016-2017. The remainder of the cost will be leveraged with CDBG funds. An additional \$1,207,958 in CDBG funding was authorized by the Board of Supervisors to be transferred from the Empire Storm Drain Infrastructure Project to be utilized for this project on October 25, 2016 as part of a Substantial Amendment to the Fiscal Year 2015-2020 Con Plan and Fiscal Year 2016-2017 AAP.

The total project cost is budgeted to be \$9,683,979. A total of \$2,154,397 in CDBG funds was budgeted for the completion of the project and \$2,036,023 was expended in Fiscal Year 2016-2017. Any remaining funds for this project will be reallocated to the County's next CDBG infrastructure project, West Modesto Area Sewer Infrastructure projects, as outlined in the Fiscal Year 2017-2018 AAP that is pending approval by HUD.

## City of Ceres

Nadine Avenue and Evans Road Infrastructure Project

The City of Ceres completed Phase II of the Nadine Avenue and Evans Road Infrastructure Project in January 2017. The project consisted of the installation of curb, gutter, sidewalk infill and storm drainage improvements. The project area is located along Nadine Avenue between Bystrum Road and Richland Avenue. An income survey of the project area was not required.

## City of Hughson

2<sup>nd</sup> Street Infrastructure Project

The City of Hughson placed this project on hold during Fiscal Year 2016-2017 due to the lack of sufficient funding for the project. The project is part of a multi-year effort to complete sidewalk infill projects to improve connectivity, mobility and access for non-motorized users of the City. The project will include the installation of curb, gutter and sidewalk and pedestrian and ADA improvements. The project area is located along 2<sup>nd</sup> Street between Walker Lane and Fox Road.

## City of Newman

Q Street and Invo Avenue Infrastructure Project

As a result of pending income survey results and overall project costs, the Inyo Avenue Infrastructure Project was placed on hold in Fiscal Year 2016-2017. An income survey has been completed and was approved by HUD. The project consists of the installation of curb, gutter and sidewalk on the north side of Inyo Avenue from R Street to Merced Street and Q Street between Merced on the north and Stanislaus Street to the south. In anticipation of

Fiscal Year 2017-2018 CDBG funding, the project is scheduled to have planning and engineering started in early October 2017.

# City of Oakdale

Wood Basin Project

This City of Oakdale placed this project on hold during Fiscal Year 2016-2017 due to the lack of sufficient funding for the project. The project will provide improved flood control measures for the areas of West H Street, West I Street, South Wood Street, Wanda Way and Hinkley Avenue.

# City of Patterson

4th and 5th Street Infrastructure Project

The project was delayed in Fiscal Year 2016-2017 due to lack of sufficient funding for the next phase of project. The project will be phased over the next two fiscal years and will include 2,825 linear feet of water main replacement along the 5<sup>th</sup> Street alley.

# City of Waterford

South Becky Way Infrastructure Project

The City of Waterford completed construction of the South Becky Way Infrastructure in May 2017. The project placed a positive storm drain system in the area to replace an inadequate existing rock/dry well drain system. Street paving was done to repair digging and trenching caused by the addition to these improvements. The project area is located on South Becky Way from Pecan Avenue to the end of the cul-de-sac. This project was certified eligible under an income survey conducted in 2012.

#### Economic Development Program

In Fiscal Years 2012-2013 and 2013-2014, this program provided grants to five businesses located in the City of Waterford's City Council adopted commercial blighted area, to allow for business improvements and expansion. As a result of the funding, these businesses were able to make needed upgrades to their operations, including the ability to hire new employees. In Fiscal Year 2014-2015, the program was placed on hold pending an update to the City's Economic Development Plan. There was no program activity in Fiscal Year 2016-2017 and the remaining program funding was reallocated to complete the South Becky Way Infrastructure Project to avoid timeliness issues. Continuation of this program is pending the City's submittal of an Economic Development Plan to the County for a program eligibility determination, which will include a review by HUD.

# Fair Housing Program

Fair Housing activities were included in the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Public Services Grant competitive cycle in an effort to solicit proposals from fair housing service providers. Project Sentinel submitted a proposal that included fair housing and tenant landlord services for the Urban County. Stanislaus County, on behalf of the Urban County, contracted with Project Sentinel to provide comprehensive fair housing services and tenant landlord services that

enabled and empowered members of the community to become aware of housing rights and to overcome housing discrimination. The main objective of the agency is to raise the level of awareness of fair housing rights and responsibilities among home seekers, owners, managers, and the general public, as well as to enforce fair housing laws via investigation of housing discrimination claims. The provision of these services helps to create and maintain healthy communities and assist Stanislaus County in meeting the federal mandate to affirmatively further fair housing.

Specific fair housing activity accomplishments are identified in the Summary of CDBG Program Accomplishments section of the CAPER.

# **Economic Development Program**

The Stanislaus Micro-Enterprise Assistance Program (SMEAP) was a program that was funded with Fiscal Year 2012-2013 CDBG funds and was designed to provide technical assistance to small businesses in the Urban County in partnership with the Small Business Development Center (SBDC). The SMEAP program provided technical assistance to 23 small businesses throughout Fiscal Year 2016-2017.

# Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP)

The Urban County continues to implement use of NSP program income. There is approximately \$3,022,726 available in NSP1, Fiscal Year 2008-2009, and \$64,352 in NSP3, Fiscal Year 2010-2011 grant funding. During Fiscal Year 2016-2017, no additional foreclosed homes were acquired or sold. The remaining inventory of homes purchased with NSP funds are held by the Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus as rentals. Among the inventory of property held by the Housing Authority are three vacant parcels that the agency has worked to develop site plans and architectural plans for development with construction anticipated to occur in Fiscal Year 2017-2018. Stanislaus Urban County member, the City of Oakdale intends to use a 3-acre NSP acquired property to develop a 56-unit multi-family affordable housing complex. The project plans to serve families that are income eligible (below 80% of the area median income). The procurement of additional development financing (tax credit financing) and land use entitlement approval will be completed by spring 2018, with construction starting in the summer of 2018.

Use of NSP program income was authorized in May, 2014, for the demolition of vacant and abandoned and dangerous buildings (ADB) undertaken in conjunction with established ADB programs administered by Urban County members. During the current reporting period, no ADB program activity has been completed; however, program activity consisting of removing at least two blighted structures, is anticipated for completion in Fiscal Year 2017-2018.

## **CDBG Public Services Program**

The Urban County set-aside \$217,126, approximately 10% of its Fiscal Year 2016-2017 CDBG Entitlement funds for the CDBG Public Services Grant Program. Under the program, Urban County public service grants are awarded to non-profit organizations that provide new or expanded services to eligible Urban County area residents. The activities funded must be

targeted for addressing one of the following CDBG national objectives: directly benefit low income individuals; elimination of blighting conditions; or, response to economic distress and dislocation.

During Fiscal Year 2016-2017, the Urban County provided funding to seven non-profit service providers for providing 12 different programs. Non-profits and service providers applied for the grants through a competitive process, with a maximum grant amount award of \$20,000. Over 4,051 Urban County residents received services from the seven non-profit service providers awarded. Services received included emergency food assistance, utility assistance, respite child care, case management, shelter services, education classes, and resource referrals.

Specific CDBG activity accomplishments may be found in the CAPER (Attachment 1) in section CR-05.

# **Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program**

The Urban County was awarded \$197,836 in Fiscal Year 2016-2017 ESG program funds. Homeless service providers were competitively awarded ESG funding through the Public Services competitive application process. The ESG program provides funding for emergency and transitional shelters, and for homeless prevention and rapid re-housing assistance to homeless persons, or persons at-risk of homelessness. To be eligible for ESG assistance, an ESG project must serve either homeless persons or extremely-low-income households, defined as those at or below 30% of the area median income.

During Fiscal Year 2016-2017, the Urban County provided funding to 4 service providers for 6 different programs. A total of 57.61% of the grant awards went towards emergency and transitional shelter programs, 27.39% went toward homeless prevention and rapid re-housing program, 7.5% went towards funding data entry for the Homeless Management and Information System (HMIS), and the remaining 7.5% was utilized to administer ESG. Over 1,030 homeless persons, or persons at-risk of becoming homeless, were assisted with overnight shelter, emergency food, and housing stability assistance throughout Fiscal Year 2016-2017.

Specific ESG activity accomplishments may be found in the CAPER (Attachment 1) in section CR-65.

# **Partnerships**

The Con Plan outlines the need for jurisdictions and other agencies to form partnerships to achieve the communities' objectives. HUD requires community outreach and engagement be done in accordance with the local Continuum of Care (CoC) for homeless prevention efforts and issues. Homeless services, workforce development and neighborhood revitalization strategies are issues that have been identified in the Con Plan and addressed in community meetings. The Urban County has ongoing partnerships with other local jurisdictions, service providers, and community groups throughout the County. These partnerships include focused

efforts to improve homeless service through the identification of service gaps, communication of upcoming events, and uniform data collection and reporting.

The Urban County continued its commitment to the development and implementation of the Focus on Prevention platform through its CDBG public services and ESG program efforts. Staff continues to integrate the work that comes out of this effort, to the extent feasible, into future funding decisions presented to the Board of Supervisors for consideration.

## **Public Review**

As required by program regulations, public hearing notices were published on August 27, 2017, and September 10, 2017 defining the CAPER review process and how persons, agencies, and interested groups may participate; as well as instructions on how to submit written comments. As part of the public review process, the Draft CAPER was distributed to the Stanislaus Community System of Care Collaborative (also known as the Continuum of Care).

All of the County's Municipal Advisory Councils (MACs) received invitations to have the Planning Department present the Draft CAPER. In response to the invitation, staff presented an overview of the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Draft CAPER to the Denair MAC on September 5, 2017, at their regularly scheduled meeting. There were no comments provided by the MAC members. Two residents in attendance reported the presence of three persons in the Denair community that appear to be homeless. No other MAC requested a presentation.

A community meeting was held at Tenth Street Place, 1010 10<sup>th</sup> Street in Modesto, on August 28, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. to solicit feedback on the CAPER and no comments were received. In addition, each of the Urban County cities also presented the Draft CAPER to their respective city councils at a public meeting.

All comments received will be incorporated in the Citizen Participation section of the CAPER, including those received at the public hearing to consider adoption of the CAPER. Following adoption, the CAPER will be submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as required.

Attachment 1 consists of the final version of the CAPER being considered for adoption. Minor changes to the content of the CAPER were made including grammar and punctuation corrections, an update to the HMIS data tables under the section "Persons Served (CR-65)" and overall project updates to reflect the number of persons served under the CDBG and ESG program up until the end of Fiscal Year 2016-2017.

### **POLICY ISSUE:**

The programs and projects represented in the CAPER are consistent with the goals and objectives of the Stanislaus Urban County Regional 2015-2020 Consolidated Plan, and the General Plans, specifically the Housing Elements, of all respective Urban County members.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

General administration costs for the preparation and distribution of the Stanislaus Urban County Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report are paid for by the Planning - Special Revenue Grants budget. There is no fiscal impact to the County General Fund. The Stanislaus Urban County received an allocation of \$2,171,255 in Fiscal Year 2016-2017 for Community Development Block Grant and \$197,836 for Emergency Solutions Grants Program activities. The period of time in which these funds may be used varies by project activity. Any funds not utilized within their contracted term will be reallocated to other eligible Urban County projects in accordance with Board of Supervisors authorization.

#### **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PRIORITY:**

The recommended actions are consistent with the Board's priorities of A Safe Community, A Healthy Community, Effective Partnerships, A Well-Planned Infrastructure System, and Effective Delivery of Public Services by providing the community with the necessary funds to implement needed programs and projects.

# **STAFFING IMPACT:**

Administrative oversight of all Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program, and Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) funds allocated to the Stanislaus Urban County will be assumed by existing Planning and Community Development staff.

#### **CONTACT PERSON:**

Angela Freitas, Director of Planning & Community Development Telephone: 209-525-6330

# ATTACHMENT(S):

- 1. Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)
- \*\* The CAPER (Attachment 1) document format is provided by HUD and is generated through the Integrated Disbursement Information System (IDIS) system

# Attachment 1

# **Stanislaus Urban County**





# Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Fiscal Year 2016-2017

for CDBG and ESG Programs

September 2017

**Prepared by:** 

Stanislaus County
Planning and Community
Development Department
1010 10th Street, Suite 3400
Modesto, CA 95354

# Stanislaus County - Board of Supervisors

District 1 Kristin Olsen

District 2 Vito Chiesa, Chairman

District 3 Terry Withrow

District 4 Dick Monteith

District 5 Jim DeMartini, Vice-Chairman

# City of Ceres - City Council

Mayor Chris Vierra

Vice-Mayor Mike Kline

Councilmember Bret Durossette

Councilmember Ken Lane

Councilmember Linda Ryno

# City of Hughson - City Council

Mayor Jeramy Young

Mayor Pro Tem George Carr

Councilmember Harold Hill

Councilmember Mark Fontana

Councilmember Ramon Bawanan

# City of Newman - City Council

Mayor Robert Martina

Councilmember Murray Day

Councilmember Nicholas Candea

Councilmember Casey Graham

Councilmember Laroy McDonald

# City of Oakdale - City Council

Mayor Pat Paul

Mayor Pro Tem Tom Dunlop

Councilmember J.R. McCarty

Councilmember Cherilyn Bairos

Councilmember Richard Murdoch

# City of Patterson - City Council

Mayor Deborah Novelli

Councilmember Dominic Farinha

Councilmember Joshua Naranjo

Councilmember Dennis McCord

Councilmember Alfred Parham

# City of Waterford - City Council

Mayor Michael Van Winkle

Vice-Mayor Jose Aldaco

Councilmember Joshua Whitfield

Councilmember Ken Krause

Councilmember Thomas Powell















# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

GENERAL	
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
PERFORMANCE & EXPERIENCE	
CR-05- Goals and Outcomes	
CR-10- Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted	
CR-15- Resources and Investments 91.520 (a)	
Leveraging	13
Matching	14
CR-20- Affordable Housing 91.520 (b)	
CR-25- Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220 (d, e); 91.520 (c)	17
CR-30- Public Housing 91.220 (h); 91.320 (j)	19
CR-35- Other Actions 91.220 (j); 91.320- (j)	
CR-40- Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230	
Citizen Participation Plan	
CR-45- CDBG 91.520 (c )	
CR-60- ESG 91.520 (g )	
CR-65- Persons Assisted	
CR-70- ESG 91.520 (g) Assistance Provided and Outcomes	31
CR-75- Expenditures	
on to Experience of	
TABLES	
Table 1- Accomplishments- Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date	9
Table 2- Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of fu	ınds10
Table 2- Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of full Table 3- Resources made available	
Table 3- Resources made available	11
Table 3- Resources made available	11 12
Table 3- Resources made available  Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments  Table 5- Number of Households	11 12 14
Table 3- Resources made available  Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments  Table 5- Number of Households  Table 6- Number of Households Supported	11 12 14
Table 3- Resources made available  Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments  Table 5- Number of Households  Table 6- Number of Households Supported  Table 7- Number of Persons Served	11 12 14 14
Table 3- Resources made available  Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments  Table 5- Number of Households  Table 6- Number of Households Supported  Table 7- Number of Persons Served  Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities	11 12 14 16 29
Table 3- Resources made available  Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments  Table 5- Number of Households  Table 6- Number of Households Supported  Table 7- Number of Persons Served  Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities  Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities	1112141629
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information	111414162929
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach	
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach Table 12- Household information for Persons Served with ESG	
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach Table 12- Household information for Persons Served with ESG Table 13- Gender information	
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach Table 12- Household information for Persons Served with ESG Table 13- Gender information Table 14- Age information	
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach Table 12- Household information for Persons Served with ESG Table 13- Gender information Table 14- Age information Table 15- Special Population Served	
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach Table 12- Household information for Persons Served with ESG Table 13- Gender information Table 14- Age information Table 15- Special Population Served Table 16- Shelter Capacity	
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach Table 12- Household information for Persons Served with ESG Table 13- Gender information Table 14- Age information Table 15- Special Population Served Table 16- Shelter Capacity Table 17- ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention	
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach Table 12- Household information for Persons Served with ESG Table 13- Gender information Table 14- Age information Table 15- Special Population Served Table 16- Shelter Capacity Table 17- ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention Table 18- ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing	
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach Table 12- Household information for Persons Served with ESG Table 13- Gender information Table 14- Age information Table 15- Special Population Served Table 16- Shelter Capacity Table 17- ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention Table 18- ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing Table 19- ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter	
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach Table 12- Household information for Persons Served with ESG Table 13- Gender information Table 14- Age information Table 15- Special Population Served Table 16- Shelter Capacity Table 17- ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention Table 18- ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing Table 19- ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter Table 20- Other Grant Expenditures	
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach Table 12- Household information for Persons Served with ESG Table 13- Gender information Table 14- Age information Table 15- Special Population Served Table 16- Shelter Capacity Table 17- ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention Table 18- ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing Table 19- ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter Table 20- Other Grant Expenditures Table 21- Total ESG Funds Expended	
Table 3- Resources made available Table 4- Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments Table 5- Number of Households Table 6- Number of Households Supported Table 7- Number of Persons Served Table 8- Household information for Homeless Prevention Activities Table 9- Household information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities Table 10- Shelter information Table 11- Household information for Street Outreach Table 12- Household information for Persons Served with ESG Table 13- Gender information Table 14- Age information Table 15- Special Population Served Table 16- Shelter Capacity Table 17- ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention Table 18- ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing Table 19- ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter Table 20- Other Grant Expenditures	



# Second Program Year CP 15-20 2016-2017 Stanislaus Urban County Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

# **Executive Summary**

Stanislaus County, recognized as an eligible Urban County by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), annually receives Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds and Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program funds. In 2002, Stanislaus County formed the Stanislaus County Community Development Block Grant Consortium, which includes Stanislaus County unincorporated communities and the Cities of Ceres, Hughson, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, and Waterford, collectively hereafter referred to as the "Stanislaus Urban County".

As an application for these funds, HUD requires Stanislaus County to prepare and submit either a three or five-year Consolidated Plan (Con Plan) and Annual Action Plans. At the end of each fiscal year, Stanislaus County is also required to prepare annually the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) to report the progress made in accomplishing the goals set forth in the Con Plan and Annual Action Plan (AAP) for the CDBG and the ESG Programs. This CAPER is for Fiscal Year 2016-2017, beginning July 1, 2016, and ending June 30, 2017.

In Fiscal Year 2016-2017, the Stanislaus Urban County continued its focus on effectively administering and implementing CDBG and ESG programs as well as the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) program.

The Fiscal Year 2016-2017 grant allocation amounts for each respective entitlement program were:

CDBG \$ 2,171,255 ESG \$ 197,836 Total: \$2,369,091

The Stanislaus Urban County members are also members in the City of Turlock/Stanislaus County HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) Consortium (hereafter referred to as the "HOME Consortium"). The Fiscal Year 2016-2017 HOME allocation amount for the Stanislaus Urban County members was \$953,712.

As the lead agency, the City of Turlock administers the HOME program for the Stanislaus Urban County and reports comprehensive HOME activity for the partnering jurisdictions in the HOME Consortium CAPER. The HOME Consortium CAPER may be accessed by contacting the City of Turlock's Housing Program Services Division. Although the City of Turlock is responsible for reporting HOME activity to HUD, this document includes some of Stanislaus Urban County members' HOME housing activity accomplishments.

CDBG, ESG, and HOME funds are designed to primarily serve the low-income community as defined by the Area Median Income (AMI) limits for Stanislaus County, determined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The AMI's are broken down into the following categories (AMI limits in place during Fiscal Year 2016-2017):

Median Income	Income Limit Category	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	<u>4</u> Person	<u>5</u> Person	<u>6</u> Person	<u>7</u> Person	<u>8</u> Person
	Extremely Low (30%)	\$12,400	\$16,020	\$20,160	\$24,300	\$28,440	\$32,580	\$36,550	\$38,900
\$56,800	Very Low (50%)	\$20,650	\$23,600	\$26,550	\$29,450	\$31,850	\$34,200	\$36,550	\$38,900
	Low (80%)	\$33,000	\$37,700	\$42,400	\$47,100	\$50,900	\$54,650	\$58,450	\$62,200

Source: HUD March 2016

The above listed funding sources assisted the Stanislaus Urban County to address the challenges within the Stanislaus Urban County communities, including but not limited to continuing the efforts to provide opportunities for safe, decent affordable housing through housing rehabilitation, down payment assistance, homeless prevention, and rapid re-housing opportunities, as well as essential public services for the low-income community.

These programs have also had an impact on the local workforce and economy as they have created job opportunities for those in the construction and social service industries.

The Stanislaus Urban County continued to collaborate with the Stanislaus Community System of Care (CSoC), the local Continuum of Care (CoC) on homeless services and programs. At the local level, this collaborative provides the most comprehensive analysis of the homeless population and service availability in Stanislaus County. This collaborative is comprised of the Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus (HACS), Community Housing and Shelter Services, Behavioral Health and Recovery Services, Center for Human Services, We Care Program, Children's Crisis Center, the City of Turlock, the City of Modesto, faith-based organizations, and over a dozen service providers.

One purpose of the CoC is to fund projects that assist homeless persons in self-sufficiency and assist towards permanent housing. CoC funds are allocated through a competitive process and used for the Supportive Housing Program (SHP) and Shelter Plus Care Program. The CoC System consists of these components:

- 1) Emergency shelter/assessment effort which provides immediate shelter and can identify an individual's needs; or,
- Offering referrals to housing and necessary social services. Such services include substance abuse treatment, short-term mental health services, independent living skills, job training; or,
- 3) Providing permanent supportive housing arrangements. ESG funded projects are related to the CoC System as they provide emergency shelter while working on identifying needs of the homeless, offered transitional housing and necessary social services, and provide permanent supportive housing arrangements.

Specific Fiscal Year 2016-2017 program accomplishments are identified in the CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes section of this document.

The format of this document is designed after a HUD document template that includes a series of questions in relation to specific funding programs (CDBG, ESG, HOME, and NSP). Responses to those questions are provided directly below each question.

#### **CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes**

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The priorities identified in the Con Plan are public infrastructure, economic development, affordable housing and public services. In many neighborhoods and communities of the planning area, public infrastructure is minimal or non-existent, causing this to be a high priority need. Infrastructure such as sewer, water, curb, gutter, sidewalk, and storm drainage are typical development standards in newer neighborhoods, but are non-existent in older neighborhoods. The cities of Ceres, Hughson, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, Waterford, as well as Stanislaus County utilized CDBG funds for infrastructure improvement related projects. As a result of these improvements, residents of the surrounding project areas enjoy an improved quality of life. In addition to infrastructure projects a small business technical assistance program was developed and implemented in partnership with the Alliance SBDC in January 2015 and continued through June 30, 2017.

Through the CDBG Public Services Program, the Stanislaus Urban County sets aside approximately 10% of its annual CDBG allocation for programs that provide services to low to moderate-income families or individuals. In Fiscal Year 2016-2017, a total of 12 public service programs were awarded \$217,126. Over 4,051 individuals received a form of service through the funded agencies. Funded services ranged from meal and shelter for low-income children to emergency food assistance programs. The services provided through the funded programs positively impact the lives of the individuals served.

On October 28, 2014, the Board of Supervisors adopted a plan called Focus on Prevention 2015, which is a strategy for community transformation in four areas critical to the quality of life in Stanislaus County (homelessness, strengthening families, youth early intervention, and reducing recidivism). The goal of the Focus on Prevention Initiative is to bring all sectors of the community together to provide an opportunity for cross-sector development of community-wide prevention strategies. The Initiative reinforced the collaboration efforts and commitment between the CoC and the community to work towards resolving homelessness. A Coordinated Entry System (CES) in conjunction with the existing Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) system was designed this last fiscal year and is in the development process. The Stanislaus Urban County is working with the CoC and other entitlements jurisdictions to be in compliance and have an operating CES system by January 28, 2017. Throughout Fiscal Year 2016-2017, the Stanislaus Urban County and the CoC worked together on strengthening efforts to address and collaborate on homeless issues.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected  - Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected - Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Access to public services for low income household	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$217,126	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	14350	6781	47.30%	2870	3021	105.02%
Administration	Administration	CDBG: \$319,089 ESG: \$14,837	Other	Other	1	1	100.00%	1	1	100%
Fair Housing and Tenant/Landlord Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$0	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fair Housing and Tenant/Landlord Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$25,000	Other	Other	1150	67	5.8%	230	37	16%

Homeless Prevention	Homeless	ESG: \$19,336.00	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	175	57	32.57%	35	31	88.57%
Homeless Services Data Collection	Homeless	ESG: \$14,837.00	Other	Other	1	1	100.00%	1	1	100.00%
Improve Accessibility	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	CDBG: \$0	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	10	0	0.00%			
Improve Infrastructure in Iow-income neighborhoods	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$0	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	7136	5533	77.54%			
Improve Infrastructure in Iow-income neighborhoods***	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$1,804,654.72	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0		686	5533	806.56%

Rapid Re-Housing for Homeless Persons*	Homeless	ESG: \$23,945.22	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	40	52	130%	80	52	32.5%
Shelter for Homeless Persons*	Homeless	ESG: \$157,364.74	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	2700	1677	62.11%	540	1152	213.3%
Technical Assistance for Small Businesses**	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	CDBG: \$9,977.11	Businesses Assisted	Businesses Assisted	20	23	115%	4	23	575%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

<sup>\*</sup>Includes FY 2015 ESG funds (Year 2)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes FY 2012 CDBG funding

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Includes FY 2012-2016 CDBG funding

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

All CDBG, HOME, ESG, and NSP funded programs contribute to the improvement of neighborhoods & communities and address community problems. CDBG, HOME, and ESG funded programs and projects address the objective of benefiting low and moderate income persons. Without the assistance, many individuals and families would lack access to valuable services that address some of their basic needs. As a result, these services provide them with a safer and more suitable living environment. The improved living environment via the provision of public services, infrastructure improvements in low income neighborhoods, and housing programs have the potential to provide improved educational and economic opportunities that in the long term, may lead to improving the lives of individuals and the community as a whole.

As the lead agency, Stanislaus County staff supports Stanislaus Urban County members on their path towards the goals identified by the community during the Con Plan process. Those goals included infrastructure improvements, public services, and affordable housing programs considered the most important for the community as a whole. With that framework in mind, the Stanislaus Urban County and the public service review panel (made up of representatives of the Stanislaus Urban County and the CoC) assure progress towards meeting the Con Plan priorities and addressing community needs .

# CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

# Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	ESG
White	2,230	1,005
Black or African American	124	119
Asian	53	15
American Indian or American Native	145	39
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	21	41
Other	448	16
Total	3,021	1,235
Hispanic	1,620	282
Not Hispanic	217	603

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

#### **NARRATIVE**

The numbers in CR-10 chart above reflect the CDBG Public Services Grants Program and the ESG Program for Fiscal Year 2016-2017. County program reporting requirements include additional race and ethnicity data. Through CDBG Public Services Grant (PSG) funds there were several agencies that addressed the needs of special populations in the Stanislaus Urban County. Approximately 2,418

individuals with disabilities were assisted with emergency food and or utility assistance. In Fiscal Year 2016-2017, a total of 93 seniors were assisted through agencies funded with PSG funds for non-homeless special needs. These programs also assisted a total of 2,177 low-income families with children throughout the fiscal year.

Under the ESG Program, the Stanislaus Urban County partnered with CHSS, Family Promise, We Care Program, and the Children's Crisis Center in efforts to help homeless persons and families make a transition to permanent housing and independent living. With the use of ESG grant funds, both the chronically homeless populations and temporarily homeless households were provided the opportunity to be placed into permanent housing. While enrolled in these programs, case managers work with each household to set goals and work on a housing action plan in order to identify and connect with any needed services such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), Food Stamps, Veteran's Benefits, future employment opportunities, etc. Throughout Fiscal Year 2016-2017 ESG homeless prevention and rapid re-housing funds placed 57 homeless individuals, made up of 19 households, into rental housing. At the end of the fiscal year, 52 of those individuals (46 households) were stably housed.

The Children's Crisis Center, Family Promise, The Salvation Army, and We Care Program also utilized ESG funds to provide emergency and transitional shelter to homeless individuals and households. Clients that showed progress and motivation toward self-sufficiency receive extended case management in conjunction with Rapid Re-Housing assistance funds to assist in the placement of job and permanent housing placement.

The numbers in the chart do not reflect multi-races, other, and those individuals that refused to respond. The attached charts present the overall racial/ethnicity breakdown for all of the Stanislaus Urban County's CDBG and ESG funded programs and projects for Fiscal Year 2016-2017 as collected in the PR 23 IDIS report.

# CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

#### Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made	Amount Expended	
		Available	<b>During Program Year</b>	
CDBG	Federal, State and Private funds	7,193,808	1,226,415	
	Federal, State, Private funds and			
ESG	In-kind	797,900	230,320	

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

#### **NARRATIVE**

Collectively, these resources assist the Stanislaus Urban County in addressing its affordable housing needs and to provide services to the most vulnerable of our community. When other resources are available, Stanislaus Urban County members undertake public infrastructure projects by leveraging CDBG funds with other local, state or federal funds. The elimination of redevelopment agencies forced jurisdictions to re-strategize the implementation of infrastructure projects which include carrying out smaller scale projects as well as conducting projects in several phases, which have affected project duration.

Federal resources also leveraged other state and local resources in the provision of affordable housing programs such as DPA, housing rehabilitation, homeless prevention, and rapid re-housing. Without the availability of these funds, individuals may not have been able to purchase their first home, maintain a decent living environment, or keep a roof over their head.

#### Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage	Actual Percentage	Narrative Description
	of Allocation	of Allocation	
			Infrastructure, Public Services and
Airport Neighborhood	15	30	Economic Development
			Infrastructure, Public Services and
Ceres	13	13	Economic Development
			Infrastructure, Public Services and
Empire	15	0	Economic Development
			Infrastructure, Public Services and
Hughson	7	7	Economic Development
			Infrastructure, Public Services and
Newman	8	8	Economic Development
			Infrastructure, Public Services and
Oakdale	9	9	Economic Development
			Infrastructure, Public Services and
Patterson	8	8	Economic Development
Unincorporated Stanislaus			Infrastructure, Public Services and
County	18	18	Economic Development
			Infrastructure, Public Services and
Waterford	7	7	Economic Development

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

#### **NARRATIVE**

In many neighborhoods and communities of the planning area, public infrastructure is minimal or non-existent, causing this to be a high priority need. Infrastructure such as sewer, water, curb, gutter,

sidewalk, and storm drainage are typical development standards in newer neighborhoods, but are non-existent in older neighborhoods. The cities of Ceres, Hughson, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, Waterford, as well as Stanislaus County utilized CDBG funds for infrastructure improvement related projects. As a result of these improvements, residents of the surrounding project area enjoy an improved quality of life.

#### Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

#### **Leveraging Resources**

The Stanislaus Urban County continued efforts to further affordable housing by offering a first time homebuyer down payment assistance and housing rehabilitation programs. These programs have proven to be effective tools for leveraging other public resources to address affordable housing needs within the Stanislaus Urban County member service areas.

Stanislaus County continues to provide first time homebuyers down payment assistance with program income from its CalHome grant obtained in Fiscal Year 2010-2011 through the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), and housing rehabilitation with a grant obtained in Fiscal Year 2008-2009. The City of Oakdale continued to leverage HOME funds with CalHome funds to provide DPA to a first time homebuyer.

Whenever possible, the County collaborates with affordable housing developers by deferring the collection of Public Facilities Fees. If affordability agreements are violated, payment is due immediately and enforced via the utilization of recorded deeds of trust and promissory notes.

The County obtained funds from the California State Water Resources Control Board (WRB) to complete the remaining phase of the Airport Neighborhood Sewer project. During the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 the infrastructure project began construction and it scheduled to be completed in the Fall of 2017.

Under the NSP program, the Stanislaus Urban County can mitigate the negative effects of high foreclosure rates. The program design takes foreclosed, vacant homes that are deteriorating due to neglect, and in turn rehabilitates the homes utilizing local workers and material suppliers. The homes are then resold to qualified first time homebuyers.

As a result of the decline in foreclosures and program income resulting from the NSP Program, a substantial amendment was conducted in May of 2014. The substantial amendment allows the use of NSP funds for the demolition of vacant and abandoned dangerous buildings (ADB). The program income available for this program consists of a total of \$3,022,726.30 from NSP1 activities and \$64,352.33 from NSP3 activities.

Collectively, these resources assist the Stanislaus Urban County in addressing its affordable housing needs and to provide services to the most vulnerable of our community. When other resources are available, the Stanislaus Urban County members undertake public infrastructure projects by leveraging

CDBG funds with other local, state or federal funds. The elimination of redevelopment agency funding forced jurisdictions to re-strategize the implementation of infrastructure projects which include carrying out smaller scale projects as well as conducting projects in several phases which have affected project duration.

#### Matching

Under the ESG program, 50% of the costs related to the projects are reimbursed as the remainder of the costs paid by non-ESG match funding sources (i.e. - local unrestricted donations). In this manner, the subrecipient in turn commits their dollar-to-dollar match by paying the remainder of the expenses from non-ESG sources. County staff reviews quarterly ESG statistical tables, narratives, request for funds forms, and budget printouts that identify the total funds used/requested by each grantee during that reporting period. County staff verifies and cross-references the information on the quarterly budget activity reports. Monitoring visits are also scheduled quarterly by county staff for each grantee to ensure appropriate expenditure of funds. As part of the ESG monitoring process, invoices and accompanying receipts were reviewed for reimbursement eligibility.

# CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	1
Number of Special-Needs households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	0	1

**Table 5- Number of Households** 

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through		
Rental Assistance	0	26
Number of households supported through		
The Production of New Units	0	0
Number of households supported through		
Rehab of Existing Units	0	0
Number of households supported through		
Acquisition of Existing Units	0	1
Total	0	27

**Table 6 - Number of Households Supported** 

# Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Generally, the lack of sufficient funding and available housing units to meet the needs of providing and ensuring affordable housing at all levels, pose great challenges in meeting community needs. The Stanislaus Urban County as a whole assisted 1 household in Oakdale to purchase their first home and obtain affordable housing. Services were provided to 26 clients that were assisted in obtaining affordable rental housing through the rapid re-housing program through federal ESG funds.

The elimination of redevelopment agencies in California has remained a challenge to all Stanislaus Urban County participants' ability to carry out important projects and community development programs. In the year 2011, the California Supreme Court's decision to eliminate Redevelopment via ABx1 26 (Redevelopment Agency Dissolution Act), greatly reduced available local funding source match opportunities for both HOME and CDBG. The ability to implement programs and develop projects with HOME funds has become a challenge for the Stanislaus Urban County. The match requirement along with the lack of adequate funds to complete a project has become an additional barrier to create more affordable housing.

#### Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Many of the participating Stanislaus Urban County jurisdictions have limited themselves in providing and/or acquiring funding to continue the provision of affordable housing programs and/or projects such as housing rehabilitation programs, and Down Payment Assistance (DPA) programs. Currently, only Stanislaus County provides public facility fee deferrals to assist with providing affordable housing. The elimination of Redevelopment Agencies by the State of California impacted the ability of all jurisdictions to maintain housing programs. The Cities of Ceres, Hughson and Waterford currently do not have staff on hand to administer housing assistance programs. This may change in the future if resources and partnerships within the HOME Consortium become available and are implemented.

Funding through the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) continued to provide affordable housing opportunities to first time homebuyers. The Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) program assisted those at risk of losing their rental housing and allowed others to obtain stable affordable rental housing where otherwise they would have ended up homeless. These programs assisted in the stabilization of neighborhoods that were severely impacted by the recent economic recession. The Stanislaus Urban County will continue to coordinate at a regional level with its participating cities and neighboring jurisdictions (Modesto, Turlock and Riverbank) to address affordable housing issues as well as homeless prevention strategies.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	1975	0
Low-income	981	1
Moderate-income	65	0
Total	3,021	1

Table 7 - Number of Persons Served

#### **Narrative Information**

The Stanislaus Urban County members are also members in the City of Turlock/Stanislaus County HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) Consortium (hereafter referred to as the "HOME Consortium"). The Fiscal Year 2016-2017 HOME allocation amount for the Stanislaus Urban County members was \$953,712.

As the lead agency, the City of Turlock administers the HOME program for the Stanislaus Urban County and reports comprehensive HOME activity for the partnering jurisdictions in the HOME Consortium CAPER. The HOME Consortium CAPER may be accessed by contacting the City of Turlock's Housing Program Services Division. Although the City of Turlock is responsible for reporting HOME activity to HUD, this document includes some of Stanislaus Urban County members' HOME housing activity accomplishments. CDBG, ESG, and HOME funds are designed to primarily serve the low-income community as defined by the Area Median Income (AMI) limits for Stanislaus County, determined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

The above listed funding sources assisted the Stanislaus Urban County to address the challenges within the Stanislaus Urban County communities, including but not limited to continuing the efforts to stabilize the troubled housing market through acquisition, rehabilitation, down payment assistance, energy efficiency improvements, homeless prevention, and rapid re-housing opportunities, as well as essential public services for the low-income community.

The Stanislaus Urban County does not use CDBG funds directly for housing development and services; instead HOME, NSP, CalHome and ESG funds are used to assist in accomplishing our housing goals. For example this last fiscal year, a total of 12 clients with special needs were assisted in obtaining housing through the ESG rental assistances programs. These programs have also had an impact on the local workforce and economy as they have created job opportunities for those in the construction, real estate, and social service industries.

A total of 57 individuals were assisted with ESG funds in finding affordable rental housing and 4 individuals were assisted with HOME funds. Due to a lack of eligible applicants, the County was unable to assist any households with HOME funds. The City of Turlock is the lead agency for the HOME Consortia and manages the planning, distribution; reporting and monitoring of HOME funded programs and projects. They use a formula method of allocation based on the % population below the poverty level and % of population in each participating jurisdiction.

The County also works with the Housing Authority and the County's Health Services Agency (HSA) in addressing Lead Based Paint issues in the community.

The County's Health Services Agency works from referrals from schools and other agencies to test for lead based paint hazards. During the Program Year (PY 2016-2017, approximately 62 homes were tested and 47 were found to have contamination. If a housing unit is found to have lead based paint then its occupants are explained the abatement process and consequences of living in a home that is contaminated. The County Planning Department provides Lead Based Paint information to all residents that participate in the down payment assistance program.

# CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

# Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

In order to better serve the homeless population outreach is strongly encouraged to service providers funded through the CDBG Public Services and ESG programs. The Stanislaus Urban County awarded grants to non-profit homeless service providers that among other services, provided education and outreach to the general public, homeless population, and public agencies. The Stanislaus Urban County is supportive of both CDBG and ESG funded agencies to participate in the Focus on Prevention regional efforts that includes coordinated outreach and engagement efforts to the homeless population. Stanislaus Urban County staff is involved in the development and planning of the local CoC's outreach and engagement efforts to identify needs and gaps in services for the homeless. A total of 1,152 individuals were sheltered through ESG funded programs and 57 individuals obtained housing in the ESG program. All County ESG funded agencies maintain client information in the HMIS system and track the programs of the clients while in the programs. It is difficult to access if the clients once out of the programs have maintained their housing since follow up by agencies is not available due to funding.

#### Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The Stanislaus Urban County partnered with the We Care Program, the Children's Crisis Center, Community Housing and Shelter Services (CHSS) and Family Promise to address emergency and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families. A number of these agency's clients subsequently received rapid re-housing assistance and became stably housed.

Stanislaus County has been working in collaboration with the Stanislaus Housing and Supportive Services Collaborative (CoC) for over 15 years to improve services for the homeless and those at risk of homelessness. This collaborative is comprised of the Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus (HACS), the City of Turlock, the City of Modesto, the County's Behavioral Health and Recovery Services, the Community Services Agency, shelter providers, housing and housing counseling services providers, faith-based organizations, and over a dozen housing and supportive service providers.

The Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Sub-committee, of the CoC, has worked diligently throughout the fiscal year to improve the data quality of the current HMIS system. Through ESG funding from Stanislaus County, Community Housing and Shelter Services has committed to have non-HUD funded homeless service providers entered into the HMIS system. These funds are provided as match funding for the HACS as the administrator of the HMIS system to apply for CSoC funding for

the HMIS. This data allows the CoC's homeless data collection to act as a much more valuable tool for tracking individuals patterns into and out of homelessness. Improving data quality will allow funding to be prioritized based on trends of homeless populations within Stanislaus County.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs.

Representatives from Behavioral Health and Recovery Services (BHRS) and the Community Services Agency (CSA) regularly attend the monthly CSoC Housing Collaborative meetings and are active participants in program planning for homeless fund utilization throughout Stanislaus County. The Health Services Agency (HSA) was contacted about recent and upcoming health care reform legislation, some of which encourages incorporating rental assistance and case management into discharge planning. Because Stanislaus County does not have a public hospital, private hospitals will ultimately need to be consulted in the future as to which health care reform measures they will be implementing. On October 1, 2011, California passed a corrections realignment plan, which shifts responsibility from the state to counties for the custody, treatment, and supervision of individuals convicted of specified nonviolent, non-serious, non-sex crimes. Stanislaus Urban County and the CoC collaborate with Stanislaus County Sherriff's and the Probation Department to discuss services available for recently discharged parolees to help prevent homelessness among that population.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again.

The Stanislaus Urban County partnered with CHSS, Family Promise, We Care Program, and the Children's Crisis Center in efforts to help homeless persons and families make a transition to permanent housing and independent living. With the use of ESG grant funds, both the chronically homeless populations and temporarily homeless households were provided the opportunity to be placed into permanent housing. While enrolled in these programs, case managers work with each household to set goals and work on a housing action plan in order to identify and connect with any needed services such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), Food Stamps, Veteran's Benefits, future employment opportunities, etc. Throughout Fiscal Year 2016-2017, ESG homeless prevention and rapid re-housing funds placed 57 homeless individuals, made up of 19 households, into rental housing. At the end of the fiscal year, 52 of those individuals (17 households) were stably housed.

The Children's Crisis Center, Family Promise, and the We Care Program also utilized ESG funds to provide emergency and transitional shelter to homeless individuals and households. Clients that showed progress and motivation toward self-sufficiency receive extended case management in conjunction with Rapid Re-Housing assistance funds to assist in the placement of job and permanent housing placement.

# CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

## Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus (HACS) and Stanislaus County have a strong relationship and continue to work towards furthering decent, safe, and affordable housing throughout the County. The Stanislaus Urban County actively partners with HACS and the CoC in all activities related to improving public housing and resident initiatives. If an opportunity arises, the Stanislaus Urban County provides resources such as in-kind match, technical assistance and referrals to CDBG and ESG funded programs to the residents in public housing.

The HACS is the largest property manager of multi-family and single household public housing units for the lower income population of Stanislaus County. The HACS is committed to provide decent affordable housing to its residents and in doing so; the HACS keeps public housing units in favorable conditions so that its residents have a safe and healthy living environment.

The Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus currently has 4,751 vouchers allocated - 4,200 for Stanislaus County, the remainder for the other 7 counties which are Amador, Alpine, Mono, Inyo, Tuolumne, Calaveras, and Mariposa.

Within the 4,751 vouchers, 151 are Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) specifically for homeless veterans, 230 vouchers specifically for reunifying families with children removed from the home, and referred through the local child welfare agency who are homeless or living in substandard housing, 12 are project based VASH vouchers in Amador County, and 11 are project based vouchers for a senior complex in Patterson, CA in Stanislaus County.

# Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The HACS has a Resident Advisory Board which is comprised on Public Housing Authority (PHA) and Voucher program participants. Any time there are substantial policy changes (such as prioritization of the wait list, program termination changes, areas where there is flexibility in establishing program guidelines), this board must be notified and given an opportunity to respond by either supporting and/or rejected policy changes. Then this information goes to the Housing Authority Board of Commissioners (BOC) for consideration of whether to support or reject. In addition, the HACS is required to have program participants sit on their BOC per regulations.

In addition, the Stanislaus Urban County works with the HACS to provide homeownership opportunities through the homeownership, budgeting and credit classes. Down Payment Assistance Programs are also explained and offered to eligible residents.

#### Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

The Stanislaus Urban County has no PHAs that are troubled and/or that have requested assistance.

# CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i).

Stanislaus County is committed to the provision of affordable housing for residents of all income groups. The County's commitment is evidenced by its continued efforts to install and/or improve infrastructure in existing unincorporated communities, to rehabilitate housing owned or occupied by lower-income households, and to help lower-income families purchase homes. The County facilitates second units, mobile homes, and farmworker housing. Duplexes may be allowed on corner lots in R-A and R-1 zones and on any lot in other residential zones. Mobile homes and second dwelling units are allowed in any residential zone. Stanislaus County Development Standards do not contain any unduly restrictive provisions. Building height, setbacks, lot areas, and parking are generally within the range of other similar sized jurisdictions in the state. Stanislaus County does not have any established caps on building permits or residential development. In general, the County does not consider its zoning and development standards to be a constraint to development because they represent minimum standards necessary to protect the public health, ensure compatibility between adjacent land uses, and maintain and enhance the livability of Stanislaus County. In addition, there is the opportunity to modify such standards through the use of Planned Development (P-D) zoning.

#### Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

As a next step to incorporate the Focus on Prevention initiative into the Community Development Block Grant and Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Programs, the scoring guidelines were updated to include an emphasis on prevention-focused proposals. Throughout the fiscal year community stakeholder, groups that resulted from the Initiative met and developed working sub-committees to address the priorities identified by community residents. Stanislaus Urban County staff will be working with these sub-committees to incorporate, as appropriate, more Focus on Prevention strategies as the process unfolds.

For Fiscal Year 2016-2017, a total of \$217,126 in Public Services funds were allocated based on a competitive grant process to which public service providers had the opportunity to apply for grants up to \$20,000. A total of 12 grants were awarded to non-profits to provide services such as food assistance, emergency shelter, counseling, utility assistance, and tutoring to underserved areas and individuals.

During the Fiscal Year 2016-2017, Stanislaus Urban County was awarded \$197,836 for the Emergency Solutions Grants Program. Funds were set aside to allow non-profits and service providers to apply through a competitive grant process for ESG grants. Funds must be utilized to assist eligible Stanislaus Urban County residents within the framework of HUD approved ESG activities. Two emergency homeless shelter facilities, one transitional shelter facility, and two homeless prevention and rapid re-

housing assistance providers, received funds during the fiscal year to provide ESG services throughout the Stanislaus Urban County.

#### Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The County's Health Services Agency works from referrals from schools and other agencies to test for lead based paint hazards. During the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 approximately 62 homes were tested and 47 were found to have contamination. If a housing unit is found to have lead based paint, the abatement process and consequences of living in a home that is contaminated, is explained to the residents. The County Planning Department provides Lead Based Paint information to all residents that participate in the down payment assistance and housing rehabilitation program.

## Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

To reduce the number of persons living under poverty level, Stanislaus County has continued its partnership with the CoC in support of activities such as "point in time counts" as well as assists with applications for HUD's Super NOFA funding opportunities to offset the outstanding need for homeless shelter and services within the community. As well as, provide a portion of CDBG and ESG funding through a competitive process to eligible non-profits that have a proven track record of assisting the homeless on their path towards toward work and full time housing.

On March 30, 2016, the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) designated Stanislaus County as an Administrative Entity (AE) and was awarded \$583,175 in CA ESG Program funds to be administered in Fiscal Years 2016-2017 and 2017-2018. HCD re-designed its ESG Program to establish a dedicated Continuum of Care Allocation available to all jurisdictions, including entitlement jurisdictions receiving ESG Program funds directly from HUD, designated by HCD as an AE to provide services to the homeless and those at risk of becoming homeless within a Continuum of Care Service area. For Stanislaus County, the Service Area consists of the entire unincorporated area and all nine cities. The County worked with the CoC and local service providers to identify gaps in services and community needs. Two agencies were awarded grant funds to provide an emergency shelter program and a Rapid Re Housing program.

#### Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

One of the strategies of the Con Plan is to take actions to overcome any gaps that may exist in the institutional structures and enhance coordination within Stanislaus County network of public service providers as well as other public agencies that deliver essential public and social services.

For instance, during the program's monitoring reviews, agency-to-agency referrals are reviewed to verify that participants receiving services do not experience any gaps as they strive to reach their goal of independence from the need of public services within the community. Thus, the development of the Stanislaus Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) also has the potential to actively refer consumers of homeless services in a way that greatly reduces, if not eliminates, the needs of those transitioning from the streets towards permanent housing. Formal approval for agency-to-agency referral information via the HMIS system has been approved through the CoC's HMIS/ESG Subcommittee.

The CoC has been reorganizing its organizational structure and is emerging as a Community System of Care (CSoC), a strong network of service providers, consumers and government agencies that identify where gaps exist and how they can be best addressed. As a result of the Focus on Prevention Initiative, the CSoC is improving coordination among service providers and has gained a solid base of community support. County staff in collaboration with the CSoC continued working on developing a coordinated access system that will assist service providers to track and better respond to the needs of individuals that seek services. To this date, only a limited number of service providers utilize the HMIS system as a coordinated access system to track clients and report program accomplishments.

Another network is the Stanislaus County Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Stakeholder Steering Committee collaborative whose purpose is to expand and develop innovative, integrated services for children, adults and older adults. California's voters passed MHSA in November 2004.

Building the capacity of local non-profits continues to be an important issue for the Stanislaus Urban County and other entitlement cities. The Stanislaus Urban County also has an excellent working relationship with both the cities of Turlock and Modesto, which are separate CDBG entitlement jurisdictions, to strategically prioritize projects and programs more efficiently and effectively for the region. The Stanislaus Urban County is able to: a) effectively strategize to fill in institutional gaps; b) continue to work and build cooperatively and collaboratively; c) provide technical assistance to the non-profit community; and, d) provided seed funds via the public service cycle to expand their capacity to provide a greater variety of housing related services for the population they directly serve.

# Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Stanislaus Urban County recognizes it cannot work alone in achieving the goals outlined in the Con Plan. Therefore, the Stanislaus Urban County is a member of and participates with various collaboratives throughout the County. The Stanislaus Urban County participates in the following in order to better serve and coordinate the needs of the community:

#### AIRPORT NEIGHBORHOOD COLLABORATIVE

Stanislaus County is a member of the Airport Neighborhood Collaborative. Created by community organizers and residents, the Airport Neighborhood Collaborative is a monthly forum for local agencies, elected officials, non-governmental organizations, and non-profits to share resources and work towards the common goal of making the Airport Neighborhood a healthier place. The Collaborative allows organizational stakeholders, both public and private, to coordinate and potentially leverage resources to more effectively deliver their respective services to residents.

#### **TURLOCK COMMUNITY COLLABORATIVE**

Stanislaus County is a member of the Turlock Community Collaborative. This collaborative was formed initially to deal with homeless issues facing Turlock. A group of concerned community members, faith-based groups, and government agencies developed the collaborative to effectively deal with current and future issues concerning the homeless and the community.

#### **COMMUNITY SYSTEM OF CARE (CSOC)**

Stanislaus Urban County jurisdictions are members of the Community System of Care (CSoC), which is the local Continuum of Care (CoC). The CSoC consists of homeless and public service providers, law enforcement, affordable housing developers, government agencies, and community advocates. This collaborative has developed a homeless and consumer survey that is distributed by member agencies on an annual basis. The information is then collected and shared among the agencies for efficient service delivery, as well as for purposes of resource identification and development. During Fiscal Year 2016-2017, County staff has played a key role in improving the functionality of the countywide Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) that was implemented in October 2004. The Planning Department along with other county departments, and HACS have worked throughout the fiscal year to ensure the operation of a HMIS for the CSoC in order to meet HUD's mandate that all ESG program participants are part of, and actively enter the pertinent universal data elements into the HMIS. In addition to the monthly CSoC meetings, County staff serves on several subcommittees of the CSoC such as HMIS, Grant Review, & ESG Sub-committees.

#### HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS (HACS)

The Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus and Stanislaus County have a strong relationship and continue to work towards furthering decent, safe, and affordable housing throughout the County. The County funds several programs such as the Housing Rehabilitation Program and Emergency Sewer Lateral Connection program that HACS administers. HACS also serves on several housing and community development related committees for the County.

# Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a).

Fair Housing Program - The County contracts with Project Sentinel to provide fair housing services in the Stanislaus Urban County area. Project Sentinel assisted a total of 596 calls for fair housing information within the Stanislaus Urban County area in the last fiscal year. A total of 15 fair housing cases and 22 tenant/landlord cases were handled by the agency this year. Project Sentinel conducted no fair housing tests within the Stanislaus Urban County in Fiscal Year 2016-2017.

#### Additional Actions Taken to Address Obstacles to Meeting Underserved Needs

Some non-profits and community organizations are not familiar with the HUD grant process or other funding resources that may be available to them. As a result, many organizations and non-profits have not used HUD funds and other types of financial assistance. Stanislaus County staff and those agencies familiar with the HUD process share information with others.

A yearly Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) is announced for the release of CDBG & ESG funds for our Public Service Grant cycle. The NOFA includes an announcement of a Grant Technical Workshop and timeline for the upcoming grant cycle. The NOFA is advertised in the local newspaper, The Modesto Bee in English and Spanish. An email is sent out to all past and current grantees and applicants that have applied in the last 5 years. County staff also announces the NOFA at the local CoC meeting and distributes a reminder, and emails the local CoC agencies a NOFA announcement. County staff coordinates with its Stanislaus Urban County members and has them distribute amongst their

communities the NOFA announcement, to service providers in their area, to guarantee coverage in our outlining communities.

The County holds a Grant Technical Workshop to release the upcoming grant application and explain the grant requirements. County Staff is available via phone, in person and by email to answer questions at the workshop and throughout the application process. The County also requires sub-grantees to attend a technical workshop prior to receiving their agreements. In the workshop, the policies, procedures, program regulations, requirements and obligations of the grant are explained. All sub-grantees receive a CD containing the information covered in the workshop.

Technical assistance is provided at every opportunity, staff responds to inquiries, educational and support materials are provided upon request, either via access at our local office, or via the internet. The Stanislaus Urban County is constantly looking for ways to encourage public and private partnerships and joint ventures between non-profit and profit housing developers. The Focus on Prevention Initiative has assisted in identifying new potential partnerships in the area of not only addressing homelessness, but affordable housing development.

# CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements.

A coordinated monitoring process has been established to verify and confirm that grant funds have been used in an eligible and appropriate manner for each of the following programs:

#### STANISLAUS URBAN COUNTY INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

Stanislaus County staff reviews quarterly project progress reports, Request for Funds reports and Oracle budget printouts, which identify the total funds used by all jurisdictions during a given month. Stanislaus County staff verifies and cross-references the information on monthly departmental budget Oracle reports. Monitoring visits are also held quarterly by Stanislaus County staff for each jurisdiction to track expenditure of funds as well as to ensure compliance with applicable federal requirements such as labor standards enforcement (i.e. certified payroll and worker interviews), Section 3 requirements and any other applicable federal requirements. Section 3 is a provisionary requirement of the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Act of 1968 that is intended to ensure that when a public project utilizing HUD funds has a contracting opportunity, and if the awarded contractor requires additional workers or sub-contractors, the awarded contractor must give preference to qualified low- and very low-income persons or businesses residing in the project site's community for hiring consideration.

#### STANISLAUS URBAN COUNTY PUBLIC SERVICES GRANTS PROGRAM

Stanislaus County staff reviews quarterly CDBG statistical data, narratives, Requests for Funds forms and Oracle budget printouts which identify the total funds used/requested by each grantee during that reporting period. Stanislaus County staff verifies and cross-references the information on the quarterly budget activity reports and data tables. Quarterly monitoring visits are also scheduled by Stanislaus County program staff for each grantee to ensure appropriate expenditure of funds. Non-profits that

reach a certain performance threshold become eligible for bi-annual monitoring reviews in place of the quarterly visits.

#### STANISLAUS URBAN COUNTY EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANTS (ESG) PROGRAM

Under the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program, Stanislaus County staff reviews quarterly ESG statistical tables, narratives, Request for Funds forms and budget printouts, which identify the total funds used/requested by each grantee during that reporting period. In Fiscal Year 2016-2017, ESG funded agencies received training and continued submitting their program accomplishments through the HMIS system. Stanislaus County staff verifies and cross-references the information received on the quarterly budget Oracle reports and the HMIS system. Monitoring visits are also scheduled quarterly by Stanislaus County staff for each grantee to ensure appropriate expenditure of funds. As part of the ESG monitoring process invoices and accompanying receipts are reviewed for reimbursement eligibility. Once eligibility is confirmed, 50% of the costs related to the project are reimbursed, as the remainder of the costs is paid by non-ESG match funding sources (i.e. - local unrestricted donations). In this manner, the subrecipient in turn ensures that dollar to dollar matching requirements are satisfied by paying the remainder of the expenses from non-ESG sources.

#### Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The Stanislaus Urban County followed its citizen participation plan by releasing the Draft CAPER on August 15, 2017, with a 15 day public review period that began September 10 and ended on September 25, 2017. A public hearing notice was published in both English and Spanish in The Modesto Bee on August 27, 2017, and September 10, 2017 defining the CAPER review process and how persons, agencies, and interested groups may participate; as well as instructions on how to submit written comments. As part of the public review process, the Draft CAPER was distributed to the Stanislaus Community System of Care Collaborative (also known as the Continuum of Care).

Also, the Draft CAPER was made available on August 15, 2017, for public review and input, via the internet, on the County's Planning and Community Development Department website and copies of the Draft CAPER was made available for review at the Planning Departments of all the Stanislaus Urban County participants and the Stanislaus County Main Library. A final public hearing to consider approval of the CAPER will be held September 26, 2017, before the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors.

# CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

No changes in the Stanislaus Urban County's program objectives occurred during Fiscal Year 2016-2017.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

## CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

## ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*For Paperwork Reduction Act

#### 1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

#### **Basic Grant Information**

Recipient Name STANISLAUS COUNTY

Organizational DUNS Number 073136772

EIN/TIN Number 946000540

Identify the Field Office San Francisco

Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance

Turlock/Modesto/Stanislaus County CoC

#### **ESG Contact Name**

PrefixMrs.First NameAngela

Middle Name -

Last Name Freitas

Suffix

Title Planning and Community Development Director

#### **ESG Contact Address**

Street Address 1 1010 10th Street
Street Address 2 Suite 3400
City Modesto
State CA
ZIP Code 95354
Phone Number 209-525-6330

Extension -

Fax Number 209-525-5911

Email Address Angela@stancounty.com

#### **ESG Secondary Contact**

Prefix Mrs.
First Name Ana

Last Name San Nicolas

Suffix -

**Title** Associate Planner

**Phone Number** 209-525-6330

Extension -

Email Address Sannicolasa@stancounty.com

#### 2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 07/01/2016 Program Year End Date 06/30/2017

#### 3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name: STANISLAUS COUNTY** 

City: Modesto State: CA

**Zip Code:** 95354-0859 **DUNS Number:** 073136772

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Unit of Government **ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$14,837

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: COMMUNITY HOUSING AND SHELTER SERVICES

City: Modesto State: CA

**Zip Code:** 95354-3436 **DUNS Number:** 835658782

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$19,336

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WE CARE PROGRAM -TURLOCK

City: Turlock State: CA

**Zip Code:** 95380-5413 **DUNS Number:** 179569772

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$27,500

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: COMMUNITY HOUSING AND SHELTER SERVICES

City: Modesto State: CA

**Zip Code:** 95354-3436 **DUNS Number:** 835658782

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$14,837

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY** 

City: Modesto State: CA

**Zip Code:** 95354-2225 **DUNS Number:** 074629460

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$8,706

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY

City: Modesto State: CA

**Zip Code:** 95358-5503 **DUNS Number:** 074629460

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$60,000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: FAMILY PROMISE OF GREATER MODESTO

City: Modesto State: CA

**Zip Code:** 95358-9803 **DUNS Number:** 023267225

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$52,620

#### **CR-65 - Persons Assisted**

#### 4. Persons Served

## 4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	11
Children	20
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	31

Table 8 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

## 4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	16
Children	10
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	26

Table 9 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

## 4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	1,004
Children	148
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,152

**Table 10 – Shelter Information** 

#### 4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 11 – Household Information for Street Outreach

#### 4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	1,020
Children	172
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,192

Table 12 - Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

## 5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	841
Female	349
Transgender	2
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,192

**Table 13 – Gender Information** 

## 6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	169
18-24	79
25 and over	941
Don't Know/Refused/Other	3
Missing Information	0
Total	1,192

Table 14 - Age Information

#### 7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

#### **Number of Persons in Households**

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans		0	1	79
Victims of Domestic				
Violence		2	2	102
Elderly		0		95
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	5
Chronically Homeless		0	1	206
Persons with Disabilities	:			
Severely Mentally III			2	199
Chronic Substance				
Abuse	0	0		127
Other Disability		1	6	354
Total (Unduplicated if				
possible)*	0	0	0	488

Table 15 - Special Population Served

## CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

#### 10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	68,985
Total Number of bed-nights provided	67,194
Capacity Utilization	97.4%

Table 16 - Shelter Capacity

## 11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

Stanislaus County developed and utilizes a CDBG and ESG Program Desk Guide for sub-grantees. This guide was recently updated in June 2016 in consultation with the local CoC in order to incorporate additional requirements for state ESG funds that Stanislaus County received for Fiscal Year 2016-2017. Under the CDBG and ESG Program, staff tracks grantee's progress in fulfilling its goals and objectives set forth in the Con Plan measured with established guidelines to assure that the program remains on task. Additionally, with data collected by the grantee during monitoring visits is entered into the IDIS system;

<sup>\*</sup>Total based on HMIS report

this program is capable of presenting the data to defend its progression towards accomplishment of its goals and objectives set forth in the Con Plan. On a semi-annual basis this information is compiled and compared with the goals and objectives in the Con Plan. If this information reflects the accomplishments set forth in the Con Plan, the programs will proceed as planned. If this information falls short of the goals set forth, appropriate adjustments will be made and notification sent to the respective sub-recipients to be made aware of their need to meet certain milestones and timeliness requirements to ensure receipt of expected funds for their respective programs. The coordinated monitoring process has been established to verify and confirm that grant funds have been used in an eligible and appropriate manner for each and every program funded with CDBG and ESG funds.

Stanislaus County staff reviews quarterly ESG statistical tables, narratives, Request for Funds forms and budget printouts, which identify the total funds used/requested by each grantee during that reporting period. Stanislaus County staff verifies and cross-references the information on the quarterly budget activity reports. Monitoring visits are also scheduled quarterly by Stanislaus County staff for each grantee to ensure appropriate expenditure of funds. As part of the ESG monitoring process invoices and accompanying receipts were reviewed for reimbursement eligibility. Once eligibility was confirmed, 50% of the costs related to the project are reimbursed, as the remainder of the costs is paid by a non-ESG match funding sources (i.e. - local unrestricted donations). In this manner, the subrecipient in turn commits their dollar-to-dollar match by paying the remainder of the expenses from non-Federal sources.

The eCart with the most current ESG data has been uploaded into the CAPER.

#### **CR-75 – Expenditures**

#### 11. Expenditures

#### 11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	\$7,437	\$15,550	\$17,829
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
(Utilities, CR, Deposits)	11,141	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services – Services (CM,			
Inspections, Admin, etc.)	2,273	4,295	14,868
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	\$20,851	\$19,845	\$32,697

Table 17 - ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

## 11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	\$12,890	\$30,982	\$21,365
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
(Utilities, CR, Deposits)	49,285	2,045	16,385
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services – Services (CM,			
Inspections, Admin, etc.)	760	1,718	9,515
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	\$62,935	\$34,745	\$47,265

Table 18 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

## 11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016	
Essential Services	\$51,551	\$50,455	\$53,639	
Operations	7,453	12,916	35,091	
Renovation	0	0	0	
Major Rehab	0	0	0	
Conversion	0	0	0	
Subtotal	\$59,004	\$63,371	\$88,730	

Table 19 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

## 11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
HMIS	\$15,000	\$14,999	\$14,837
Administration	\$12,868	\$14,300	\$14,837
Street Outreach	0	0	0

**Table 20 - Other Grant Expenditures** 

#### 11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2014	2015	2016
516,284	\$170,658	\$147,260	\$198,366

Table 21 – Total ESG Funds Expended

## 11f. Match Source

	2014	2015	2016
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	\$52,882	\$156,080	\$32,670
Other Federal Funds	86,945	22,120	68,000
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	10,000	0
Private Funds	33,318	15,000	796,000
Other (includes In-Kind)	38,493	30,100	152,515
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	8,000	6,500
Total Match Amount	\$211,638	\$241,300	\$1,055,685

Table 22 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

## 11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds	2014	2015	2016
Expended on ESG			
Activities			
954,385	\$382,296	\$388,560	\$183,529

Table 23 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

## STANISLAUS URBAN COUNTY

# Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Fiscal Year 2016-2017

**Board of Supervisors September 26, 2017** 



# Stanislaus Urban County Members















## **Presentation Overview**

- HUD Entitlement Requirements
- Purpose of CAPER
- HUD Funding Allocations
- Projects and Programs
- Staff Recommendations



## FY 2016-2017 CAPER

## **HUD Entitlement Requirements**

- Consolidated Plan-Con Plan 3-5 Years
- Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing-Al 3-5 Years
- Annual Action Plan-AAP Annually
- Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report-CAPER Annually



## FY 2016-2017 CAPER

## **Consolidated Plan Priorities**

- Infrastructure (CDBG)
- Economic Development (CDBG)
- Housing Programs (CDBG & HOME)
- Public Services (CDBG & ESG)



## FY 2016-2017 CAPER

## **Purpose**

- Report Accomplishments
- Report Expenditures
- Opportunity for Citizen Participation
  - 15 day public review period



## **FY 2016-2017 Funding Allocations**

Urban County Member	Activities	Administration	Total
Ceres	\$242,040	\$15,027	\$257,067
Hughson	121,058	15,027	136,085
Newman	137,473	15,027	152,500
Oakdale	151,683	15,027	166,710
Patterson	137,321	15,027	152,348
Waterford	126,074	15,027	141,101
Stanislaus County	604,229	319,089	923,318
Public Services	217,126		217,126
Fair Housing		25,000	25,000
CDBG Subtotal	\$1,737,004	\$434,251	\$2,171,255
ESG	182,999	14,837	197,836
Total	\$1,920,003	\$449,088	\$2,369,091

# FY 2016-2017 Projects

## Infrastructure:

Airport Neighborhood Sewer Project

## **Economic Development:**

Small Business Technical Assistance

## Fair Housing:

Fair Housing and Tenant Landlord Services



# FY 2016-2017 Projects





# FY 2016-20167 Projects

(continued)

## **Urban County- City Projects**

- Ceres: Nadine & Evans Infrastructure
- Hughson: 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Infrastructure
- Newman: Inyo Avenue Infrastructure
- Oakdale: Davitt Avenue Sewer Replacement Phase II
- Patterson: 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Street Infrastructure
- Waterford: South Becky Way Infrastructure



# FY 2016-2017 Projects





changing lives building futures helping families







# FY 2016-2017 Programs

## **Public Services Grant Program**

- Funds Expended: \$216,122
- 7 Non-Profits, 12 Programs
- 80% AMI or below
- 4,051 Unduplicated Individuals Served
- 93 Individuals 62 Years and over
- 2,418 Individuals with Disabilities
- 2,177 low-income families
- 1,392 Emergency Food Recipients



# FY 2016-2017 Programs

(continued)

## **Emergency Solutions Grants Program**

- Funds Expended: \$158,228
- 4 Non-Profits, 6 Programs
- 30% AMI or below
- 1,030 Unduplicated Individuals Served
- 124 Seniors, 680 Individuals with Disabilities and 113 Veterans
- 31 Homeless Prevention, 26 Rapid Re-Housing and 488 Shelter



# FY 2016-2017 Programs

(continued)

## **Neighborhood Stabilization Program**

Affordable rental or home buying opportunities for residents

## **NSP Dangerous Building Abatement Program**

Removal of blighted, dangerous buildings in NSP target areas.



## Staff Recommendations

- Close Public Review Period
- Conduct Public Hearing
- Approvals
  - Fiscal Year 2016-2017 CAPER
- Authorizations
  - Authorize staff to submit to HUD



# Questions



