



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
San Francisco Regional Office - Region IX
One Sansome Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, California 94104
www.hud.gov
espanol.hud.gov

Terry Withrow
Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors, Chairman
1010 10th St, Suite 6500
Modesto, CA 95354

NOV 18 2015

2015 NOV 20 P 2:41

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Dear Supervisor Withrow:

SUBJECT: Annual Performance Assessment
Program Year Dates: 2014

The Community Planning and Development office is required to assess the performance of each of its grant recipients at least annually. This review is the result of an ongoing process that assesses the quality of a grantee's performance over a period of time involving continuous communication and evaluation.

In conducting this assessment pursuant to §91.525, we will assess whether Stanislaus County's management of its program funds is in compliance with the HUD-approved consolidated plan and its regulations. The scope of this analysis includes a review of the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for the past program year, as well as information obtained through program monitoring and audits. Also, we will assess whether progress has been made towards the regulatory goals identified in §91.1 of the consolidated plan regulations. We will review your efforts to ensure that housing assisted under our programs is in compliance with contractual agreements and requirements of law.

The enclosed report contains comments from our Community Planning and Development (CPD) office. These comments are provided for your consideration. Based on this analysis, we have determined that your overall progress is satisfactory. The activities undertaken are consistent with the Stanislaus County's HUD-approved Consolidated Plan, and appear to be carried out in accordance program requirements. The County has the continuing capacity to administer the aforementioned CPD programs.

In accordance with 24 CFR §91.525, if you have comments regarding this enclosed report please submit them to this office within 30 days of receipt of this letter. HUD may revise the report after considering the jurisdiction's response. If we do not receive comments within the 30 day time period, this report will be considered final and can be made available to the public.

We continue to appreciate the positive working relationship that the program staff maintains with this CPD office. We look forward to continuing to support your efforts to meet the goals of the consolidated plan. If you have any questions with respect to the enclosed

comments or need technical assistance, please do not hesitate to contact Celia Jones at (415) 489-6579 or celia.m.jones@hud.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Maria Cremer". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping "M" and a long, horizontal flourish at the end.

Maria Cremer
Director
Community Planning and
Development Division

Enclosure

cc: Angela Freitas

**Annual Performance Assessment
Stanislaus County
PY2014**

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)

Program Year End: June 30, 2105
Report Due: September 30, 2015
Report Received: September 30, 2015

Funding Covered by CAPER:

CDBG:\$2,139,069
HOME:\$0
ESG: \$171,581
HOPWA: \$0

CAPER Comments

Overall, the county's CAPER adequately explained the use of HUD funds for PY2014. There were a few areas that needed to be addressed and questions were emailed to the county on October 20, 2015.

Summary of Program Compliance

CDBG Program

Timeliness of Expenditures [24 CFR §570.902]: Acceptable

Test date: May 2, 2015
Balance: \$544,150.20
Ratio: 1.34

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG)

Commitments [24 CFR §576.203(a)(2)]: Acceptable

2013 ESG Allocation: \$143,917.00

Obligation Deadline: March 1, 2014

Amount Obligated: \$143,917.00

Expenditures [24 CFR §576.203(b)]: Acceptable

2012 ESG Allocation: \$198,932.00

Expenditure Deadline: August 20, 2014

Amount Expended: \$198,932.00

Other Program Requirements

Regulatory Caps:

	Standard	Regulatory Requirement	Actual Expenditures	Actual Percentage
CDBG Public Service Obligations	<15% of Allocation (or dollar amount)	570.201(e)(1)or(2)	\$242,582.01	11.34%
CDBG Planning/Admin Expenditures/ plus PI	<20% of Allocation	570.200(g)	\$438,041.95	20.48%
ESG Administration	<7.5% of Allocation	576.108(a)	\$12,868.00	7.5%

The City needs to adjust line item #39 on the front page of its PR 26 report to reflect prior year admin dollars that were drawn in PY2014 but should be credited to 2013. As it stands, the PR26 currently reflects that the city is over its admin cap by about 10,000. If you remove the PY2013 activities that were drawn in PY2014, the County is actually well *under* the cap. As it stands, what is reported in IDIS reflects that the City is over its 20 percent administration cap.

Monitoring/Audit

Open Monitoring Findings: Acceptable

Number of Open Monitoring Findings: 0

Open Audit Findings: Acceptable

Number of Open Audit Findings: 0