THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUN	
DEPT Assessor	BOARD AGENDA #_*B-3
Urgent  Routine	AGENDA DATE July 23, 2013
CEO Concurs with Recommendation YES NO (Information Attached)	4/5 Vote Required YES 🔲 NO 🔽

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Delegation of Authority to the Assessor to Enter into a Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) With Other County Assessors Which Will Allow Participation in e-Forms Development Management and Administration.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. Approve Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) with other California County Assessors and the Board of Equalization (BOE) for the e-Forms Network Group for a period of forty (40) months effective March 1, 2013 through June 30, 2016.
- 2. Authorize the Assessor or his designee to execute the Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) for participation in the e-Forms Network Group, effective March 1, 2013 through June 30, 2016.
- 3. Authorize the Assessor or his designee to renew the Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) for participation in the e-Forms Network Group for one additional year effective July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017.

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

Under the JPA, a statewide cost-sharing plan was developed for the development of the e-Forms System. The costs associated with this agreement are shared costs according to current e-Forms volume as detailed in the JPA Exhibit A. The Participating Parties will be paying for the use of the e-Forms System and products through a cost structure related to development and support costs. Cost sharing commitments from JPA Participating Parties total approximately \$350,000, and Stanislaus County's share is \$3,200 of which \$1,300 is expended for FY 2012/13; \$1,300 for FY 2013/14 and \$600 for FY 2014/15.

_	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_			_	_	_		_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-
B	(	C	A	1	R	E	)	ŀ	١,	C	;-	Γ	(	C	N	١	F	١,	S		F	(	)	L	L	.(	C	٧	V	S	5:	

#### No. 2013-370

			conded by Supervisor <u>O'Brien</u>
Aves: Supe	ed by the following vot	e, w Monteith De Martini and Ch	airman Chiesa
	ervisors:		
	Absent: Supervisors:	N =	
Abstaining	: Supervisor:	None	
1) <u>    X                                </u>	Approved as recomme	nded	
2)	Denied		
3)	Approved as amended		
4)	Other:		

MOTION:

ATTEST:

CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

File No.

Approval of a Delegation of Authority to the Assessor to Enter into a Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) With Other County Assessors Which Will Allow Participation in e-Forms Development Management and Administration.

Page 2

## **DISCUSSION:**

The Stanislaus County Assessor Department requests Board approval to enter into a Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) with other California County Assessors and the BOE to form the e-Forms Network Group. The term of the JPA is March 1, 2013 through June 30, 2016. The purpose of the e-Forms Network Group is to collect, store, and maintain data necessary to the assessment functions.

Under the California Government Code Section 15606, the California State Board of Equalization (BOE) prescribes approximately one-hundred (100) forms related to property assessment, valuation and exemption for use by all California County Assessors. These forms are important vehicles for the public to provide the data and information necessary for the Assessor's to perform the mandated property assessments and valuation tasks.

Every year, County Assessors spend thousands of dollars each to update and print BOE forms for use in their county. The e-Forms Network Group electronic forms systems (e-Forms System) will provide the capability and functionality to host and maintain e-Forms to make updates and adjustments one time, in one place and to be shared by all County Assessors. Once completed, the e-Forms System is expected to realize substantial operational efficiencies for all County Assessors and the BOE. It will also improve the quality and consistency of the property assessments forms statewide, which benefits the taxpayers.

The e-Forms System is a cooperative effort initiated and coordinated by and between the California County Assessors and the BOE (Participating Parties), under a Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) for the purposes of collecting, storing, and maintaining data necessary to the assessment and valuation functions performed by California County Assessors, each of which is an office and agent of his or her respective county. The e-Forms System is another example and model for a shared service that provides improved assistance to taxpayers and saves significant costs.

The California Assessors Association (CAA) is leveraging the proven success of the JPA for the Standard Data Record (SDR) System to formulate the e-Forms System project. The SDR System is a statewide shared service for the online filing of annual Business Property Statements which has been in use since 2005.

The e-Forms System will gather and distribute formatted data, through electronic media, based on relevant BOE and Assessor approved forms. The Participating Parties will share in development and ownership of the common data handling facility for purposes of property tax assessment and administration and will share the associated costs and liabilities directly related to this purpose among the parties on a proportionate basis so that no party's liability is increased by this project.

Approval of a Delegation of Authority to the Assessor to Enter into a Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) With Other County Assessors Which Will Allow Participation in e-Forms Development Management and Administration.

Page 3

## DISCUSSION (con't):

The e-Forms System project will be a collaborative effort with the CAA Forms Committee and the BOE. The Orange County Assessor is leading this effort and is expected to be appointed as the coordinating Assessor under the JPA. Stanislaus County is working with other California Counties and the State Board of Equalization on this venture to identify and meet the needs of all parties involved in a cost-effective manner.

## POLICY ISSUE:

Entering into this e-Forms Network Group Joint Powers Agreement is consistent with the Board of Supervisor's priority of Efficient Delivery of Public Services.

## **STAFFING IMPACT:**

There is no staffing impact associated with this request.

## CONTACT:

Mercy Maya, Assistant Assessor-Administration 209-525-6566

#### EXHIBIT A

	SOLNIN		Total Roll		2010/02/2010	roposed Costs	Proposed Costs	Proposed Costs
	#	COUNTY	Units Pr	% of Total	1.01 (0.04) (0.04)	st Year	2nd Year	3rd Year
1	19	Los Angeles	2,774,973	20.9%		23,600	\$ 23,600	\$ 13,900
2	<u>30</u> 37	Orange Son Diogo	1,081,026	<u>8.1%</u> 8.0%	\$	9,200 9,200	\$ 9,200 \$ 9,200	\$ 5,300 \$ 5,300
4	33	San Diego Riverside	942,644	7.1%	\$	8,300	\$ <u>9,200</u> \$8,300	\$ <u>5,300</u> \$4,400
5	36	San Bernadino	820,494	6.2%	\$	7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 3,800
6	43	Santa Clara	545,413	4.1%	\$	4,400	\$ 4,400	\$ 2,500
7	34	Sacramento	509,286	3.8%	\$	4,100	\$ 4,100	\$ 2,400
8	01 15	Alameda Kern	485,408 422,080	<u>3.7%</u> 3.2%	\$ \$	4,000 3,500	\$ 4,000 \$ 3,500	\$ 2,400 \$ 1,900
10	07	Contra Costa	405,526	3.1%	\$	3,300	\$ 3,300	\$ 1,900
11	56	Ventura	302,395	2.3%	\$	2,400	\$ 2,400	\$ 1,400
12	10	Fresno	302,314	2.3%	\$	2,400	\$ 2,400	\$ 1,400
13	38	San Francisco	288,136	2.2%	\$	2,400	\$ 2,400	\$ 1,400
14	41	San Mateo	237,434	1.8%	\$	1,800	\$ 1,800	\$ <u>900</u>
15 16	<u> </u>	San Joaquin Sonoma	233,391 218,210	<u> </u>	\$ \$	<u>1,800</u> 1,800	\$ <u>1,800</u> \$1,800	\$ 900 \$ 900
17	40	San Luis Obispo	184,656	1.4%	\$	1,300	\$ 1,300	\$ 600
18	50	Stanislaus	179,454	1.4%	\$	1,300	\$ 1,300	\$ 600
19	31	Placer	172,209	1.3%	\$	1,300	\$ 1,300	\$ 600
20	48	Solano	154,390	1.2%	\$	1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 600
21	42	Santa Barbara	148,253	1.1%	\$	1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 600
22 23	27 09	Monterey El Dorado*	148,126 141,543	1.1% 1.1%	\$	1,100 1,100	\$ 1,100 \$ 1,100	\$ 600 \$ 600
23	21	Marin	107,566	0.8%	\$	700	\$ 1,100 \$ 700	\$ 600 \$ 600
24	04	Butte	107,388	0.8%	\$	700	\$ 700	\$ 600
26	44	Santa Cruz	106,841	0.8%	\$	700	\$ 700	\$ 600
27	45	Shasta	105,903	0.8%	\$	700	\$ 700	\$ 600
28	24	Merced	94,628	0.7%	\$	500	\$ 500	\$ 500
29	12	Humboldt	83,364	0.6%	\$	500	\$ 500	\$ 500
30 31	<u>13</u> 57	Imperial Yolo	82,895	0.6%	\$	500 300	\$500 \$300	\$ 400 \$ 300
31	29	Nevada	67,049 64,429	0.5% 0.5%	\$ \$	300	\$ <u>300</u> \$300	\$ <u>300</u> \$300
33	23	Mendocino*	63,787	0.5%	\$	300	\$ 300	\$ 300
34	20	Madera	63,410	0.5%	\$	300	\$ 300	\$ 300
35	16	Kings	58,123	0.4%	\$	300	\$ 300	\$ 300
36	28	Napa	57,915	0.4%	\$	300	\$ 300	\$ 300
37	05	Calaveras	48,838	0.4%	\$	200	\$ 200	\$ 200
38 39	<u>47</u> 55	Siskiyou Tuolumne	48,109 42,685	0.4%	\$	200 200	\$ <u>200</u> \$200	\$ <u>200</u> \$200
40	51	Sutter	41,514	0.3%	\$	200	\$ 200	\$ 200
41	52	Tehama	36,499	0.3%	\$	200	\$ 200	\$ 200
42	58	Yuba	31,915	0.2%		200	\$ 200	\$ 200
43	25	Modoc	28,743	0.2%		200	\$ 200	\$ 200
44	03	Amador	26,696	0.2%		200	\$ 200	\$ 200
45 46	<u>18</u> 35	Lassen San Benito	24,965 21,530	0.2%		200 200	\$ 200 \$ 200	\$ <u>200</u> \$100
40	26	Mono*	20,547	0.2%	\$	200	\$ 200	\$ 100
48	14	Inyo	20,415	0.2%		200	\$ 200	\$ 100
49	08	Del Norte	16,403	0.1%	\$	200	\$ 200	\$ 100
50	06	Colusa	15,828	0.1%	\$	200	\$ 200	\$ 100
51	53	Trinity*	15,672	0.1%	\$	200	\$ 200	\$ 100 <b>\$</b> 100
52 53	<u>22</u> 46	Mariposa Sierra	14,757 4,950	0.1% 0.0%	\$ \$	200 200	\$ 200 \$ 200	\$ 100 \$ 100
53	32	Plumas	1,216	0.0%	\$	200	\$ <u>200</u> \$200	\$ 100
55	02	Alpine	No Response	0.078	\$	200	\$ 200	\$ 200
56	11	Glenn	No Response	N/A	\$	200	\$ 200	\$ 200
57	17	Lake	No Response	N/A	\$	200	\$ 200	\$ 200
58	54	Tulare	No Response	N/A	\$	200		\$ 200
		TOTALS:	13,282,221		\$		\$ 108,000	\$ 64,000
	PROPOSED ALLOCATION: ASSESSORS BOE TOTAL							
Т	OTAL FIRS	T YEAR COSTS**:	\$ 108,000	\$ 27,000	\$	135,000		
тс	TAL SECO	ND YEAR COSTS:	\$ 108,000	\$ 27,000	\$	135,000		
	TOTAL THI	RD YEAR COSTS:	\$ 64,000	\$ 16,000	\$	80,000		
			\$ 280,000	\$ 70,000	\$	350,000		
		:	<b></b>					

\* Roll Units from prior year report

\*\* Includes hardware: \$15,000

## Joint Powers Agreement (JPA)

For

# e-Forms Development Management and Administration and Year to Year Support

Document Control Date: March 1, 2013

Version 1.0

## JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT (JPA)

## FOR e-Forms Development, Management and Administration

#### (Document Control March 1, 2013)

THIS Joint Powers Agreement, titled the "e-Forms Network Group Agreement" (hereinafter "Agreement"), is effective March 1, 2013, by, between, and among the undersigned California counties and the Board of Equalization (BOE), for the purposes of collecting, storing, and maintaining data necessary to the assessment functions.

#### WHEREAS:

- (1) Title 1, Division 7, Chapter 5, Article 1 of the California Government Code establishes a procedure for the exercise of powers common to the contracting parties where those parties are within the definition of the term "public agency"; and
- (2) The parties hereto desire to enter into a Joint Powers Agreement for the purposes of collecting, storing, and maintaining data necessary to the assessment functions performed by the California County Assessors ("Participating Assessors"), each of which is an officer and agent of his or her respective county; and if the BOE participates in this Agreement, the "Participating Parties"
- (3) Under the provisions of Revenue and Taxation ("R&T") Code Sections 441-454 and 480-484, a county assessor has authority to gather confidential information from property owners necessary and relevant to the determination of the fair market value of property for purposes of property taxation within that assessor's county; and
- (4) The parties have agreed to enter into this Agreement to gather and distribute formatted data, through electronic media, based on relevant BOE and Assessor approved forms; to share in the development and ownership of the common data handling facility for purposes of property tax assessment and administration; and to share the associated costs and liabilities directly related to this purpose, among the parties on a proportionate basis as set forth herein below so that no party's liability is increased by this project; and
- (5) e-Forms data collected pursuant to this Agreement will not be shared between the parties except as authorized by the R&T Code; and each Assessor's data is to be maintained separate and distinct to the individual county of each Assessor as provided by R&T Section 408, 451 and 481; and
- (6) By this Agreement, the parties do not intend to create an agency or entity separate from the parties themselves.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises of performance set forth herein, the parties agree as follows:

1. <u>TERM</u>. The term of this Agreement is from March 1, 2013 through and including June 30, 2016, a period of 40 months. However, for funding consideration this is a year-to-year agreement and is based on the availability of funds from the participating jurisdictions. This agreement may be continued based on the consent of the Participating Parties for one (1) additional year. After this initial period this agreement will be reviewed and adjusted as necessary to recognize the permanent and annual business of using forms and e-Forms to collect and handle information and data.

#### 2. <u>ADMINISTRATION</u>.

- This Agreement shall be administered by the Coordinating Assessor. The a. Participating Parties, by majority vote, shall select and designate the Coordinating Assessor. The Coordinating Assessor shall be responsible for obtaining a provider for the following services: development of a data gathering facility, servers, software, programs, reports, testing or other device(s) for the collection, term storage, backup, upload, download, and security of data records related to, but not limited to, the BOE forms; coordination and performance of work to support the collection device(s); review, evaluation and proposal of system software and hardware to assist the Participating Parties to meet the objectives of the Agreement; preparation of a report detailing the results of their work at least annually, but quarterly for the first year; development and distribution of communication links for the distribution of the data related to each Participating Parties. In obtaining a provider for these services, the Coordinating Assessor shall comply with all applicable state procurement laws as well as all procurement policies adopted by the Board of Supervisors in the Coordinating Assessor's county.
- b. The participating parties recognize that the costs associated with this agreement are shared costs approximately according to current e-Forms volume as detailed in Exhibit A. The participating parties further acknowledge that they are paying for the use of the e-Forms product through a cost structure related to development and support costs.
- c. The BOE and the California Assessor's Association (CAA) forms committee are coordinating participants in this Agreement.

3. <u>PAYMENT FOR PERFORMANCE</u>. The Coordinating Assessor is authorized to dedicate the following funds as compensation to the provider of services under this Agreement:

a.	System Hardware and Software – This is a <u>one-time</u> cost.	not to exceed \$15,000
b.	e-Form Software Development – This is a <u>one-time</u> cost.	up to \$335,000
	and the combined costs of "a." and "b."	not to exceed \$350,000
c.	Annual System Operation costs – This is a <u>recurring</u> annual cost.	not to exceed (TBD)

Nothing in this Agreement shall limit or prohibit the ability of a Participating Party from receiving extra data support services that are beyond the scope of this Agreement. A Participating Party, and his or her respective jurisdiction, who obtains extra data support services (rate sheet services) that may be related to but are beyond the scope of this Agreement shall be solely responsible for the payment of such extra work.

- 4. <u>PARTICIPATING PARTIES' SHARE OF COSTS</u>. The respective development and ongoing support share of the costs of services under this Agreement to be paid by the Participating Parties' jurisdiction is as follows:
  - a. Development Costs: As provided for in Exhibit A under "e-Forms Development Cost Sharing Allocation Plan."
  - b. Ongoing/annual systems support and services costs: For the first year, as provided in Exhibit A under "Annual Costs" and as provided under Section 4(e). For subsequent years, as provided in Section 4(e).
  - c. Each Participating Party shall deposit his or her Jurisdictions' invoiced share of the total development and first year's annual support costs of this Agreement, in a project account to be established in the name of Coordinating Assessor, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Agreement. Disbursements from this account shall be made only with the approval of the Coordinating Assessor, and as the development work is completed and approved by the Coordinating Assessor (Progress Payments). Should there be any remaining funds from the development costs, these funds would roll over to be a part of the annual systems support and services cost funds. The Coordinating Assessor shall return any remaining principal and any accrued interest in the account upon completion of the term and the services to be rendered under this Agreement, in excess of account fees, to the Participating Parties' Jurisdiction in proportion to the amount each contributed.

- d. The Coordinating Assessor shall provide to the Participating Parties copies of all billings submitted by and all payments made to any provider of services under this Agreement. Payment of any unquestioned bill or item from a bill shall be made within sixty (60) days of receipt by the Coordinating Assessor.
- e. The annual costs and any adjustments and/or enhancement costs to meet the requirements of filing and collecting data and information related to the e-Forms will be reviewed by the Coordinating Assessor, shared with Participating Parties, and adjusted annually as provided for in Exhibit B. Each party will be responsible for any annual costs and any adjustments and/or enhancement costs to meet the requirements of filing and collecting data and information related to the e-Forms in the same proportion as its share of the Annual Costs listed in Exhibit A.
- 5. <u>RECORDS RETENTION.</u> The individual e-Forms data (the "assessor records") shall be stored on-line for a term of three (3) years, and each Participating Party will provide notice to the Coordinating Assessor in year three (3) to establish a single procedure with input from the Participating Assessors for the disposition of these records. This section shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

#### 6. <u>ASSESSOR RECORDS</u>.

- a. Data collected pursuant to this Agreement will not be shared between the parties except as authorized by the R&T Code; and each Assessor's data is to be maintained separate and distinct to the individual county of each Assessor as provided by R&T Section 408, 451 and 481.
- b. The Coordinating Assessor shall require that any provider of services contemplated by this Agreement shall agree that records, data, information, materials, and forms are the property of the Assessors at all times and to maintain the confidentiality of all Assessor and County-related records and information pursuant to all statutory laws relating to privacy and confidentiality that currently exist or exist at any time during the term of this Agreement. All such records and information shall be considered confidential and kept confidential by the provider(s) and its staff, agents and employees.
- 7. <u>TERMINATION</u>. Any party hereto may withdraw from this Agreement upon giving sixty (60) days written notice to each of the other parties hereto. The Participating Parties recognize that the obligations and debts under this Agreement are part of a whole and they are incurred annually. Any obligations or debts incurred hereunder shall become immediately due and payable by the withdrawing party. The withdrawing party shall not be entitled to a refund or credit for any sums paid under this Agreement. As to the impact on the distribution of annual costs, the Participating Parties may adjust or redistribute these costs as prescribed by Exhibit B. Notwithstanding the Agreement term

stated in Section 1 hereof, the addition or deletion of any party to this Agreement shall not affect this Agreement nor the intent to contract as described above with the other parties to the Agreement then remaining.

#### 8. <u>INDEMNIFICATION</u>.

- a. Except as provided in Section 8(b) of this Agreement, in lieu of and notwithstanding the pro rata risk allocation which might otherwise be imposed between the parties pursuant to Government Code Section 895.6, the parties agree that all losses or liabilities, incurred by a party shall not be shared pro rata, but instead the parties agree that, pursuant to Government Code Section 895.4, each of the parties hereto shall fully indemnify and hold each of the other parties, their officers, board members, employees and agents, harmless from any claim, expense or cost, damage or liability imposed for injury (as defined by Government Code Section 810.8) occurring by reason of the negligent acts or omissions or willful misconduct of the indemnifying party, its officers, board members, employees or agents, under or in connection with or arising out of any work, authority or jurisdiction delegated to such party under this Agreement. Except as provided in Section 8(b), no party, nor any officer, board member, employee or agent thereof shall be responsible for any damage or liability occurring by reason of the negligent acts or omissions or willful misconduct of other parties hereto or any provider of services, their officers, board members, employees or agents, under or in connection with or arising out of any work, authority or jurisdiction delegated to such other parties under this Agreement. It is further understood and agreed the indemnification herein extends to and includes liability of the parties for private attorney general fee awards and liability which arise by operation of law as the result of any act, omission or occurrence related to this Agreement, or which arise from the work performed relative to this Agreement.
- b. For any claim, expense, cost, damage or liability imposed for injury (as defined by Government Code Section 810.8) occurring by reason of the negligent acts or omissions of the Coordinating Assessor, the parties agree that all losses and liabilities for such claim, expense, or damage shall be shared by the Participating Parties in the same proportion to each party's cost contribution as set forth in Section 4 of this Agreement.
- c. Should the legality of this Agreement be challenged in any way, the parties shall share the costs of defense, litigation and any damages award in the same proportion as the Participating Parties share of the cost contribution as set forth in Section 4 of this Agreement.
- 9. <u>COOPERATION OF PARTIES</u>. The Participating Parties recognize that it is essential to cooperate fully concerning the handling of data and information contemplated by this Agreement. In connection with this Agreement, the parties

agree to provide any data, information, and documentation reasonably necessary to the performance of this Agreement.

- 10. <u>MODIFICATION</u>. No alteration or variation of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid unless made in writing and signed by the parties; no oral understanding or agreement not incorporated herein shall be binding on any of the parties; and no exceptions, alternatives, substitutes or revisions are valid or binding unless authorized by the parties in writing.
- 11. <u>SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS</u>. The terms, covenants, and conditions contained herein shall apply to and bind the heirs, successors, executors, administrators and assigns of the parties.
- 12. <u>REVIEW FOR LEGAL ADEQUACY</u>. Each party to this Agreement acknowledges and agrees that this Agreement has been reviewed by each party's respective legal counsel for legal adequacy.
- 13. <u>WAIVER</u>. No waiver of the breach of any of the covenants, agreements, restrictions, or conditions of this Agreement by any party shall be construed to be a waiver of any succeeding breach of the same or other covenants, agreements, restrictions, or conditions of this Agreement. No delay or omission of any party in exercising any right, power or remedy herein provided in the event of default shall be construed as a waiver thereof, or acquiescence therein, or be construed as a waiver of a variation of any of the terms of this Agreement.
- 14. <u>SEVERABILITY PROVISION</u>. If any term or portion of this Agreement is held to be invalid, illegal, void or otherwise unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining provisions of this Agreement shall continue in full force and effect.
- 15. <u>SIGNATURE IN COUNTERPARTS</u>. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts by all parties. The Agreement is effective as to any signatory party on execution and, for purposes of enforcement, true copies of signatures shall be deemed to be original signatures.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties execute this Agreement as of the date first written above.

(Participating Assessors Signature Page Following)

## PARTICIPATING ASSESSORS

## (Signatures – Reference Section 15, Page 6)

By:		By:	
	County of Alameda		County of Alpine
By:		By:	
	County of Amador		County of Butte
By:		By:	
a	County of Calaveras		County of Colusa
By:		By:	
	County of Contra Costa		County of Del Norte
By:		By:	
	County of El Dorado		County of Fresno
By:		By:	
<u> </u>	County of Glenn		County of Humboldt
By:		By:	
	County of Imperial		County of Inyo
By:		By:	
	County of Kern		County of Kings
By:		By:	
	County of Lake		County of Lassen
By:		By:	
<u></u>	County of Los Angeles	<u> </u>	County of Madera

By:		By:	
	County of Marin		County of Mariposa
By:		By:	
	County of Mendocino		County of Merced
By:		By:	
	County of Modoc		County of Mono
By:		By:	
	County of Monterey		County of Napa
By:		By:	
	County of Nevada		County of Orange
By:		By:	
	County of Placer		County of Plumas
By:		By:	
<u> </u>	County of Riverside	<u>_</u>	County of Sacramento
By:		By:	
<del></del>	County of San Benito		County of San Bernardino
By:		By:	
	County of San Diego		County of San Francisco
By:		By:	
<u></u>	County of San Joaquin		County of San Luis Obispo

## Participating Assessors (Continued) (Signatures – Reference Section 15, Page 6)

By:		By:	
	County of San Mateo	County of Santa Barb	ara
By:		By:	
<u> </u>	County of Santa Clara	County of Santa Cru	IZ
By:		By:	
	County of Shasta	County of Sierra	
By:		By:	
	County of Siskiyou	County of Solano	
By:		By: Kand & Gill h.	`
	County of Sonoma	County of Stanislau	S
By:		By:	
	County of Sutter	County of Tehama	
By:		By:	
<u></u>	County of Trinity	County of Tulare	
By:		By:	
·	County of Tuolumne	County of Ventura	
By:		By:	
	County of Yolo	County of Yuba	

#### Participating Assessors (Continued) (Signatures – Reference Section 15, Page 6)

APPROVED AS TO FORM: STANISLAUS COUNTY COUNSEL

в¥ Devide Metrath

## BOE (Signatures – Reference Section 15, Page 6)

By:

-

Name and Title for the BOE

## EXHIBIT A

## e-Forms DEVELOPMENT COST SHARING ALLOCATION PLAN (ESTIMATES)

	COUNTY #	COUNTY	Total Roll Units	% of Total	Proposed Costs 1st Year	Proposed Costs 2nd Year	Proposed Costs 3rd Year	Annual Cost Estimate
1	19	Los Angeles	2,774,973	20.9%	\$ 23,600	\$ 23,600	\$ 13,900	
2	30	Orange	1,081,026			\$ 9,700	\$ 5,800	
3	37 33	San Diego Riverside	1,060,371 942,644	8.0% 7.1%	\$ 9,200 \$ 8,300	\$ 9,200 \$ 8,300	\$ 5,300	
5	33	San Bernadino	820,494	6.2%	\$ 8,300 \$ 7,000	\$ 8,300 \$ 7,000	\$ 4,400 \$ 3,800	·
6	43	Santa Clara	545,413	4.1%	\$ 4,400	\$ 4,400	\$ 2,500	
7	34	Sacramento	509,286	3.8%	\$ 4,100	\$ 4,100	\$ 2,400	<u> </u>
8	01	Alameda	485,408	3.7%	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 2,400	
9	15	Kem	422,080		\$ 3,500	\$ 3,500	\$ 1,900	
10	07	Contra Costa	405,526	3.1%	\$ 3,300	\$ 3,300	\$ 1,900	
11	56	Ventura	302,395	2.3%	\$ 2,400	\$ 2,400	\$ 1,400	
12	10	Fresno	302,314		\$ 2,400	\$ 2,400	\$ 1,400	
13	38	San Francisco	288,136	2.2%	\$ 2,400	\$ 2,400	\$ 1,400	ļ
14	41	San Mateo	237,434		\$ 1,800 \$ 1,800	\$ 1,800	\$ 900	<u> </u>
15	39	San Joaquin	233,391	1.8%	\$ 1,800 \$ 1,800	\$ 1,800	\$ 900	<u> </u>
16 17	<u>49</u> 40	Sonoma Son Luis Obisno	218,210 184,656	1.6% 1.4%	\$ 1,800 \$ 1,300	\$ 1,800 \$ 1,300	\$ 900 \$ 600	
18	40 50	San Luis Obispo Stanislaus	179,454	1.4%	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,300 \$ 1,300	\$ 600	<u> </u>
19	31	Placer	172,209	1.3%	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,300	\$ 600	t
20	48	Solano	154,390		\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 600	<del> </del>
21	42	Santa Barbara	148,253	1.1%	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 600	t
22	27	Monterey	148,126	1.1%	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 600	t
23	09	El Dorado*	141,543	1.1%	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100	\$ 600	
24	21	Marin	107,566	0.8%	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$ 600	
25	04	Butte	107,297	0.8%	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$ 600	
26	44	Santa Cruz	106,841	0.8%	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$ 600	
27	45	Shasta	105,903	0.8%	\$ 700	\$ 700	\$ 600	
28	24	Merced	94,628	0.7%	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ <u>5</u> 00	
29	12	Humboldt	83,364	0.6%	No Response	No Response	No Response	
30	13	Imperial	82,895	0.6%	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ <u>4</u> 00	
31	57	Yolo	67,049	0.5%	\$ 300	\$ 300	\$ 300	
32	29	Nevada	64,429		\$ 300	\$ 300	\$ 300	
33	23	Mendocino*	63,787	0.5%	\$ 300	\$ 300	\$ 300	1
34	20	Madera	63,410		\$ 300	\$ 300	\$ 300	
35	16	Kings	58,123	0.4%	\$ 300	\$ 300	\$ 300	ļ
36	28	Napa	57,915	0.4%	\$ 300	\$ 300	\$ 300	<b></b>
37	05	Calaveras	48,838	0.4%	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200	<b>↓</b>
38	47	Siskiyou	48,109		\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200	
39_ 40_	55	Tuolumne	42,685		\$ 200 \$ 200	\$ 200 \$ 200	\$ 200 \$ 200	<u> </u>
40	51 52	Sutter	41,514 36,499	0.3%	\$ 200 \$ 200	\$ 200 \$ 200	\$ 200	
41	<u>52</u>	Tehama Yuba	31,915	0.3%	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200	
43	25	Modoc	28,743	0.2%	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200	
44	03	Amador	26,696		\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200	
45	18	Lassen	24,965	0.2%	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200	<u>↓</u>
46	35	San Benito	21,530	0.2%	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 100	<u> </u>
47	26	Mono*	20,547	0.2%	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 100	t
48	14	Inyo	20,415	0.2%	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 100	1
49	08	Del Norte	16,403	0.1%	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 100	İ
50	06	Colusa	15,828	0.1%	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 100	
51		Trinity*	15,672	0.1%	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 100	
52	22	Mariposa	14,757	0.1%				
53	46	Sierra	4,950	0.0%	\$ 200	\$ 200		
54_	32	Plumas	1,216		\$ 200	\$ 200		<u> </u>
55	02	Alpine	No Response	N/A	\$ 200		\$ 200	L
56	11	Glenn	No Response	N/A	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200	<b> </b>
57	17	Lake	No Response	N/A	\$ 200	\$ 200		<b> </b>
58_	54	Tulare	No Response	N/A	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200	and the second
		TOTALS:	13,282,221	100.0%	\$# 108,000	\$ 108,000	\$ 264,000	B TBD
			100500000		TOTAL			
		D ALLOCATION:					1, 100 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	
тс	TAL FIRST	YEAR COSTS**:	\$ 108,000	and a second restored and and the second	\$ 135,000			
то	TAL SECON	D YEAR COSTS:	\$ 108,000	\$ 27,000	\$ 135,000			
		D YEAR COSTS:	the second stress and	Commenter a contra de la contra	a service of an a star star is the star of	1		the second second second
			\$ 280,000				1 m a 1 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	· · · · · · · ·
Ari	Units from p udes hardwa	prior year report re: \$15,000	a sa Magang ng ng sa		Source: BO	E Workload Sta		(LTA 2012/01 ised: 5/03/20

#### ATTACHMENT TO EXHIBIT A e-Forms DEVELOPMENT SCOPE of WORK (OVERVIEW)

## Phase 1 (by 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2014)

#### Smart PDFs

- Initial Planning & Analysis
- Website Fundamentals Design & Setup
- Website Database Basics
- Website Construction
- Website Intelligence Business Rules & Logic
- Create Smart fillable PDFs
  - Create Smart county header customization
  - Quality review, testing and implementation by January 2014.

#### Phase 2 (by 1st Quarter 2015)

#### Online HTML Forms

- Planning, Analysis & Design
- Website online forms framework
- Website Database expansion to collect and distribute HTML forms data
- Website HTML forms construction
- Website adjustments UI, business rules, logic
- HTML to PDF conversion engine
- Develop format for standard data record

#### Phase 3 (by 1st Quarter 2016)

#### County Interfaces Framework

- Provided structure for counties to download data streams for each online HTML form
- Website Database expansion to support county data storage, inquires, dashboard and reports
- Website UI county forms management, taxpayers & systems administration
- Website county interface adjustments
- Encryption of remaining four (4) forms with social security numbers

t.

#### EXHIBIT B

## COST(S) ADJUSTMENTS PARTICIPATING PARTIES

This Cost(s) Agreement Exhibit is for the development services of e-Forms, and for designating the year-to-year support services specifically related to the purposes of the overall e-Forms Agreement.

This exhibit may be used to amend or adjust these costs subject to the provisions as provided in the Agreement.

- A. ADJUSTMENT AND/OR ENHANCEMENT COSTS: (Shared Costs)
- B. <u>RATE SHEET SERVICES</u>: (If provided for by the agreement)
- C. <u>ANNUAL ASP SERVICES</u>: (Shared Costs)



WEBSTER J. GUILLORY COUNTY ASSESSOR

#### ORANGE COUNTY ASSESSOR FAX MEMO

## Fax # (714) 574-8460

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE AND CONTAINS INFORMATION THAT IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY BE PRIVILEGED AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication by other than its addressee is strictly prohibited. If this facsimile has been received in error, please immediately notify the sender at (714) 834-2715.

DATE: September 2, 2014

TO: Elena Brockman, Stanislaus County Assessor Department, Confidential Secretary

#### 

FROM: Leah Shook, Orange County Assessor Department, Secretary

2 Page(s) including cover. If all pages are not received, please call sender

#### SUBJECT: JPA e-Forms Signature Page

Dear Ms. Brockman

Per your request, attached is a Standard Agreement with the State of California that the BOE used in lieu of a JPA signature page.

Call us if you have questions.

Leah Shook For Assessor Webster J. Guillory

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	OD A NOE COUNT	is helde parts
STD 213 (Rev 06/03)	WEBSTER J. (	AGREEMENT NUMBER 2012-7039
	<b>13 JUN -6</b> KT 01.25	REGISTRATION NUMBER
1. This Agreement is entered into b	etween the State Agency and the	
STATE AGENCY'S NAME Board of Equalization CONTRACTOR'S NAME		
County of Orange, Assessor Dep	partment	
2. The term of this Agreement is: March 01, 201	3 through June 30, 2016	
3. The maximum amount \$ 70,000 of this Agreement is: Sevent	).00 y Thousand, Dollars and No Cents	
4. The parties agree to comply with reference made a part of the Agr		ollowing exhibits which are by this
Joint Powers Agreement		14 Pages

Items shown with an Asterisk (\*), are hereby incorporated by reference and made part of this agreement as if attached hereto. These documents can be viewed at www.ols.dgs.ca.gov/Standard+Language

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement has been executed by the parties hereto.

CONTRACTOR	CONTRACTOR						
CONTRACTOR'S NAME (if other then on individual, state whether a corr County of Orange, Assessor Department	Services Use Only						
BY (Authorized Signature)	BY (Authorized Signature) DATE SIGNED (Do not typo)						
PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON SIGNING Webster J. Guillory, Orange County Assessor							
ADDRESS Civic Center Plaza, Bldg. 11 625 N. Ross St., Roo 92702-0149							
STATE OF CALIFOR	RNIA						
AGENCY NAME Board of Equalization							
BY (Authorized Signature)	DATE SIGNED (Do not type)						
Schered Stakeways	5.29.2013						
PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON SIGNING / Linda Fergurson , Manager, Acquisitions Branch	Exempt per: SCM Vol. 1, 4.04 (A)(5)						
ADDRESS							
450 N Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814							

Printed: 5/8/2013 11:24:41 AM