CORRESPONDENCE NO. 4 PAGE 1 of 5



JOHN CHIANG California State Controller

May 17, 2012

Kevin L. Graves, Manager Division of Water Quality State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 15th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Graves:

The State Controller's Office audited the reimbursement claims filed by Stanislaus County for costs of the State Water Resources Control Board's (SWRCB) Local Oversight Program (Chapter 1574, Statutes of 1990). Our audit pertained to Contract Nos. 06-027-250, 07-019-250, and 08-018-250 for the period of July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2009.

The county claimed and was reimbursed \$655,951 during the audit period. Our audit disclosed that the entire amount is allowable.

If you have any questions, please contact Steven Mar, Chief, Local Government Audits Bureau, at (916) 324-7226.

Sincerely rown

JÉFFREÝ V. BROWNFIELD Chief, Division of Audits

JVB/bf

cc: Jenniffer Jorden Local Oversight Program Division of Water Quality State Water Resources Control Board The Honorable William O'Brien, Chairman Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors Sonya Harrigfeld, Director Department of Environment Resources Stanislaus County
Steven Mar, Bureau Chief Division of Audits, State Controller's Office Joe Vintze, Audit Manager Division of Audits, State Controller's Office BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

ı,

٩

Local Oversight Program

Contents

Audit Report	Aud	lit	Re	po	rt
--------------	-----	-----	----	----	----

ł

Summary	1
Background	1
Objectives, Scope, and Methodology	1
Conclusion	2
Views of Responsible Official	2
Restricted Use	. 2
Schedule 1—Summary of Program Costs	. 3

Audit Report

Summary

Background

The State Controller's Office (SCO) audited the reimbursement claims filed by Stanislaus County for the costs of the Local Oversight Program (Chapter 1574, Statutes of 1990). Our audit pertained to the Contract Nos. 06-027-250, 07-019-250, and 08-018-250 between the county and the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) for the period of July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2009. Our audit was conducted in Modesto, California.

The county claimed and was reimbursed \$655,951 during the audit period. Our audit disclosed that the entire amount is allowable.

Statutory authority exists at the federal, state, and local levels to require corrective action at underground storage tank (UST) release sites owned by a responsible party, and to rank and fund remedial work at UST sites when a responsible party cannot be identified or has insufficient financial resources to accomplish the needed work. A statewide program, now known as the Local Oversight Program, was established in fiscal year 1987-88 to provide funding in support of oversight efforts by local agencies. Local agencies contract with the SWRCB to oversee the preliminary assessment of UST release sites and remedial work, if necessary. The program is funded through a combination of state and federal funds.

California Health and Safety Code section 25297 and a contractual agreement between the SWRCB and the SCO authorize the SCO to conduct audits of this program.

Our audit objectives were to determine whether the costs claimed, as presented in the Summary of Program Costs (Schedule 1), were incurred for program purposes, were adequately supported, and were in compliance with the contract and with applicable state and federal regulations.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

We did not audit the county's financial statements. We limited our audit scope to planning and performing audit procedures necessary to obtain reasonable assurance that costs claimed are allowable for reimbursement. Accordingly, we examined transactions, on a test basis, to support the amounts claimed for reimbursement.

Objectives, Scope,

and Methodology

-1-

CORRESPONDENCE NO. 4 PAGE 3 of 5

Local Oversight Program

We limited our review of the county's internal controls to gaining an understanding of the transaction flow and the claim preparation process, as necessary, to develop appropriate auditing procedures.

Conclusion

Views of

Official

Responsible

The \$655,951 in costs claimed by Stanislaus County for the SWRCB Local Oversight Program under Contract Nos. 06-027-250, 07-019-250, and 08-018-250 for the period of July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2009, were incurred for program purposes, were adequately supported, and were in compliance with the contract and with applicable state and federal regulations.

We discussed our audit results with the county's representative during an exit conference on February 16, 2012. Beronia Benjamine, Program Manager, agreed with the audit results. Ms. Benjamine further agreed that a draft audit report was not necessary and that we could issue the audit report as final.

Restricted Use

This report is solely for the information and use of Stanislaus County, the SWRCB, and the SCO; it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

ounfull

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD Chief, Division of Audits

May 17, 2012

CORRESPONDENCE NO. 4 PAGE 5 of 5

į

Local Oversight Program

Schedule 1— Summary of Program Costs July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2009

	Costs Claimed
Program management costs: Salaries and benefits Services and supplies Overhead	\$ 186,258 2,199 <u>90,042</u>
Total program management costs	278,499
Site-specific costs: Salaries and benefits Overhead	273,760 103,692
Total site-specific costs	377,452
Total program costs Less amount reimbursed by the SWRCB	655,951 (655,951)
Amount due from (due to) SWRCB	\$