

Wendell Ford

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS
ACTION AGENDA SUMMARY

DEPT: Treasurer-Tax Collector

BOARD AGENDA # *B-4

Urgent Routine

AGENDA DATE May 8, 2012

CEO Concurs with Recommendation YES NO
(Information Attached)

4/5 Vote Required YES NO

SUBJECT:

Accept the Stanislaus County Tobacco Endowment Investment Fund Audit for Year-Ending June 30, 2011

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Accept the Stanislaus County Tobacco Endowment Investment Fund Audit for year-ending June 30, 2011 prepared by Brown Armstrong, Certified Public Accountants and reviewed for conformity with State Law by the Stanislaus County Treasury Oversight Committee.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Total Endowment funds audited as of June 30 2011, at market value, were \$92,654,472. The acceptance of the audit report has no fiscal impact. Cost associated with this audit was funded in the Treasurer-Tax Collector's 2011-2012 Fiscal Year budget.

BOARD ACTION AS FOLLOWS:

No. 2012-219

On motion of Supervisor Chiesa, Seconded by Supervisor Withrow
and approved by the following vote,

Ayes: Supervisors: Chiesa, Withrow, Monteith, De Martini, and Chairman O'Brien

Noes: Supervisors: None

Excused or Absent: Supervisors: None

Abstaining: Supervisor: None

1) X Approved as recommended

2) Denied

3) Approved as amended

4) Other:

MOTION:

Christine Ferraro

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

File No.

DISCUSSION:

In November 1998, the Attorneys General of 46 states (including California), the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Guam, The United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa and the Territory of the Northern Marianas (collectively, the "Settling States") and the four largest United States tobacco manufacturers (OPM's) entered into a master settlement agreement in resolution of cigarette-smoking related litigation. The master settlement agreement released the OPM's from past, present and future smoking-related claims in exchange for, among other things, certain payments to be made to the settling states.

On August 5, 1998, the counsel for the State of California and various cities and counties therein ("participating jurisdictions") entered into a memorandum of understanding (MOU). Pursuant to the MOU, participating jurisdictions were entitled to receive a portion of the payments made to the State of California in accordance with the master settlement agreement. Some jurisdictions, in an effort to manage/mitigate the risks associated with the receipt of the Tobacco Settlement Revenues (TSRs) opted to securitize this revenue stream.

On June 6, 2000, the Board of Supervisors authorized the Chief Executive Officer and the Debt Advisory Committee to proceed with the securitization of the County's share of the TSRs by issuing Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds. In March 2002, the County sold their interest in the TSRs, received \$52,403,206 and subsequently placed the funds in an endowment.

In January 2002, the County Board of Supervisors delegated responsibility for the investment of the TSRs to the County Treasurer/Tax Collector (TTC) in accordance with Stanislaus County's Investment Policy for the Investment of the Proceeds from the Securitization of the Tobacco Industry's Master Settlement Agreement.

Per the Stanislaus County Tobacco Investment Policy, an annual audit shall be conducted to insure that investment transactions are in compliance with State Law and Policy. The Policy states the audit findings shall be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for review and acceptance.

The attached audit was prepared by the Brown Armstrong, Certified Public Accountants. The outside auditors found the County TTC to be materially compliant with the Investment Policy and Government code regarding the Securitization of the Tobacco Industry's Master Settlement Agreement.

The Treasury Oversight Committee reviewed the audit findings at their meeting on January 26, 2012.

Accept the Stanislaus County Tobacco Endowment Investment Fund Audit for Year-Ending June 30, 2011
Page 3

POLICY ISSUES:

Approval of this agenda item will support the Board's priority of Efficient Delivery of Public Services.

STAFFING IMPACTS:

There is no staffing impact associated with this agenda item.

CONTACT PERSON:

Gordon B. Ford, Treasurer-Tax Collector

Telephone: 209-525-4463

COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, CALIFORNIA


**TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR
ENDOWMENT INVESTMENT FUND
COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT**

JUNE 30, 2011

COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, CALIFORNIA
TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR
ENDOWMENT INVESTMENT FUND
COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT
JUNE 30, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants	1
Procedures and Findings	3



BROWN ARMSTRONG
Certified Public Accountants

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To the Stanislaus County
Treasury Oversight Committee
Stanislaus County, California

MAIN OFFICE

4200 TRUXTON AVENUE

SUITE 300
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93309
TEL: 661.324.4971
FAX: 661.324.4997
EMAIL: info@bacpas.com

560 CENTRAL AVENUE

SHAFTER, CALIFORNIA 93263
TEL: 661.746.2145
FAX: 661.746.1218

8050 N. PALM AVENUE

SUITE 300
FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93711
TEL: 559.476.3592
FAX: 559.476.3593

790 E. COLORADO BLVD.

SUITE 908B
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101
TEL: 626.240.0920
FAX: 626.240.0922

We have examined management's assertion, included in its representation letter dated November 1, 2011, that the County of Stanislaus Treasurer-Tax Collector (the County TTC) complied with the provisions of the California Government Code and the County TTC's Investment Policy for the Investment of the Proceeds from the Securitization of the Tobacco Industry's Master Settlement Agreement during the year ended June 30, 2011. As discussed in that representation letter, management is responsible for the County TTC's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assertion about the County TTC's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was made in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County TTC's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, management's assertion that the County TTC complied with the aforementioned requirements for the year ended June 30, 2011, is fairly stated, in all material respects.

We have also performed the procedures enumerated in the Procedures and Findings Section of this report, which were agreed to by the County TTC solely to assist you in evaluating internal control procedures in accordance with established policies, as of June 30, 2011. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the County TTC (the specified user of this report). Consequently, we make no representations regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures performed are listed below and the results of those procedures are listed on pages 3 – 4.


These agreed-upon procedures do not constitute an audit or review of financial statements or any part thereof, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion or limited assurance on the financial statements or a part thereof. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.



REGISTERED with the Public Company
Accounting Oversight Board and
MEMBER of the American Institute of
Certified Public Accountants

This report is intended solely for the use of the specified user listed above and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes.

BROWN ARMSTRONG
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "G. A. Brown", is positioned below the company name.

Bakersfield, California
November 1, 2011

**COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, CALIFORNIA
TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR
ENDOWMENT INVESTMENT FUND
PROCEDURES AND FINDINGS
JUNE 30, 2011**

Background and General

In November 1998, the attorneys general of 46 states (including California), the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Guam, The United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa, and the Territory of the Northern Marianas (collectively, the "Settling States") and the four largest United States tobacco manufacturers (OPM's) entered into a Master Settlement Agreement in resolution of cigarette-smoking related litigation. The Master Settlement Agreement releases the OPM's from past, present, and future smoking-related claims in exchange for, among other things, certain payments to be made to the Settling States.

On August 5, 1998 the counsel for the State of California and various cities and counties therein ("participating jurisdictions") entered into a memorandum of understanding (MOU). Pursuant to the MOU, participating jurisdictions are entitled to receive a portion of the payments made to the State of California in accordance with the Master Settlement Agreement. Some jurisdictions, in an effort to manage/mitigate the risks associated with the receipt of such revenues, opted to securitize this revenue stream.

Stanislaus County (the County) opted to securitize the revenue stream and sold its interest in the Tobacco Settlement revenues in March 2002 and created an endowment in order to generate additional discretionary revenue for the County. By securitizing this revenue stream, the County received over \$50 million and subsequently placed the funds in an endowment. In January 2002 the County Board of Supervisors delegated responsibility for the investment of said funds to the County Treasurer-Tax Collector (the County TTC) in accordance with the Investment Policy for the Investment of the Proceeds from the Securitization of the Tobacco Industry's Master Settlement Agreement.

Since the offering of the 2002 Tobacco Bonds, interest rates fell and investor demand for tobacco settlement asset-backed bonds greatly improved giving the County the opportunity to either refund or restructure the 2002 bonds or issue additional bonds. On March 29, 2006, the County issued \$42,153,611 in bonds to further securitize the Tobacco Settlement Revenues. Of that amount, \$40,980,418 was placed in an endowment – the County Tobacco Endowment Series 2006 Fund. However, while these funds are invested separately, they fall under the County's Investment Policy for the Investment of the Proceeds from the Securitization of the Tobacco Industry's Master Settlement Agreement, and are invested within the parameters of that policy.

Compliance Audit Requirement

The Investment Policy for the Investment of the Proceeds from the Securitization of the Tobacco Industry's Master Settlement Agreement requires an annual audit to ensure that investment transactions are in compliance with State Law and Policy. Per the Investment Policy, the annual audit is to be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for review and acceptance. This engagement serves to comply with that requirement.

Investment Policy Statement

Per the Investment Policy, the Policy shall be reviewed on an annual basis. Any changes must be prepared by the County TTC and then reviewed and approved by the County Board of Supervisors. The Investment Policy was reviewed and approved by the County TTC. There were no revisions of the Investment Policy during fiscal year 2010-2011.

**COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, CALIFORNIA
TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR
ENDOWMENT INVESTMENT FUND
PROCEDURES AND FINDINGS
JUNE 30, 2011**

Investment Authority and Standards of Care

We reviewed the requirements specified under the provisions of the Investment Policy for Broker/Dealer qualifications. No exceptions were noted.

Investment Policy Review

We reviewed and compared the authorized investment requirements specified in Government Code Section 53601 with the provisions of the Investment Policy and believe the policy addressed the requirements specified. No exceptions were noted.

Compliance to Policy

We reviewed the County's authorized investments and actual practices during fiscal year 2010-2011 to determine compliance with State Law and Policy. As part of determining compliance we performed the following: compared actual investments to those authorized, determined that maximum percentages by investment type as well as maximums for single issuers and term limits were not exceeded. No exceptions were noted.

Annual Distribution

We reviewed the County's actual practices during fiscal year 2010-2011 to determine compliance with the Investment Policy. We noted no exceptions to the annual distribution practices during fiscal year 2010-2011.

Reporting

We reviewed the detail listing of security transactions grouped by investment type and the summary of the total portfolio to verify they contained all information specified in Policy. The reports were in compliance with the policy requirements and the annual report was reviewed and accepted by the Board of Supervisors on August 23, 2011.

Summary

As of June 30, 2011, the Endowment Investment Fund Series 2002 and 2006, at cost, totaled \$59,746,881 and \$33,150,892 respectively, while the market value was \$59,254,011 and \$33,400,461, respectively. Fair value was 99.2% and 100.8%, respectively, of cost. Investments appeared prudent and were of the type common to investments of other counties. Safekeeping agents were used for custody of investments.

We believe County TTC is materially compliant with the Investment Policy and Government Code regarding the Securitization of the Tobacco Industry's Master Settlement Agreement.