

CORRESPONDENCE NO. 2 Page 1 of 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA—HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES 744 P Street, MS 19-95, Sacramento, CA 95814



ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER GOVERNOR

2010

JUL 15

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

July 2, 2010

TO: COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SUBJECT: PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 2010-11 FUNDING FOR FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS AND PROGRAM INTEGRITY EFFORTS RELATED TO THE IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PROGRAM

Honorable Chairman and Board Members:

Governor Schwarzenegger's proposed fiscal year (FY) 2010-11 budget plan includes a \$10 million state fund appropriation for fraud prevention, detection, referral, investigation and additional program integrity efforts related to the In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) Program. With matching federal funds and required county matching funds the proposed total available statewide would be \$28 million. This letter solicits county plans to use the \$28 million for IHSS program integrity and fraud prevention activities from July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011. Please be advised that FY 2010-11 funding is contingent upon inclusion of funding for this purpose in the state budget; as well as the enactment of the state budget. As of the date of this letter, please be advised that both the Assembly and Senate have removed this funding from their respective versions of the FY 2010-11 state budget.

Counties seeking to participate in this program must submit a plan and budget and receive approval from the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) to receive a portion of the appropriated funds. Below is a list of the enclosures and the required elements for the county plan.

- A: Proposed County Funding Allocation Guidelines
- B: County Response Cover Sheet
- C: List of Required County Plan Components
- D: Data Reporting Spreadsheet
- E: Budget Template

County plans must address the requirements outlined in Enclosure C and include a budget (Enclosure E) that addresses how the county intends to utilize the funding to enhance the integrity of the IHSS program through the collaborative efforts of the Welfare Director and District Attorney. A proposed funding allocation guideline has been developed for counties to utilize in developing a budget for this purpose and is included in Enclosure A. The county's plan and budget must be coordinated and developed in conjunction with the Welfare Director and District Attorney and approved

Page Two July 2, 2010

by the County Board of Supervisors prior to submission to CDSS for approval. Counties must submit their plan by September 1, 2010.

In FY 2009-10, 45 counties submitted and received funding for their IHSS program integrity and fraud prevention plans. The actual funds available in FY 2010-11 may vary depending on the number of counties seeking participation. For both new and continuing counties, continued funding is contingent upon the submission and approval of a Board of Supervisors approved plan and budget.

All participating counties must submit their plan by September 1, 2010. Thereafter and to the extent funding is available in the budget, annual plans will be due June 1 of each year for the subsequent fiscal year, and annual reports summarizing prior fiscal year data and outcomes will be due August 1.

In closing, counties choosing to participate must submit Enclosure B, requesting participation; a plan that includes the elements in Enclosure C; data using Enclosure D, the Data Reporting Spreadsheet, and a budget as specified in Enclosure E. The completed proposal should be sent to Debie Scherer, Analyst, QA Monitoring Unit, CDSS, APB, 744 P Street, MS 19-95, Sacramento, California 95814. Plans sent by postal mail must be postmarked by September 1, 2010. You may also send by e-mail to <u>deborah.scherer@dss.ca.gov</u> or fax to (916) 229-3160.

Sincerelv EN CARROLL

Deputy Director Adult Programs Division

Enclosures

c: County Welfare Directors County District Attorneys California Welfare Directors Association California State Association of Counties

Enclosure A

COUNTIES	IHSS Anti-Fraud Activities 2010-11 Proposed Allocation							
COUNTIES	Federal Funds	State Share	County Share	Total				
	\$13,799,000	\$10,000,000	\$4,286,000	\$28,085,000				
ALAMEDA	\$630,394	\$456,840	\$195,802	\$1,283,036				
ALPINE	\$4,678	\$3,390	\$1,453	\$9,521				
AMADOR	\$10,404	\$7,540	\$3,232	\$21,176				
BUTTE	\$103,451	\$74,970	\$32,132	\$210,553				
CALAVERAS	\$14,489	\$10,500	\$4,500	\$29,489				
COLUSA	\$15,952	\$11,560	\$4,955	\$32,467				
CONTRA COSTA	\$312,051	\$226,140	\$96,924	\$635,115				
DEL NORTE	\$9,328	\$6,760	\$2,897	\$18,985				
EL DORADO	\$28,053	\$20,330	\$8,713	\$57,096				
FRESNO	\$385,020	\$279,020	\$119,588	\$783,628				
GLENN	\$23,072	\$16,720	\$7,166	\$46,958				
HUMBOLDT	\$86,589	\$62,750	\$26,895	\$176,234				
IMPERIAL	\$114,642	\$83,080	\$35,608	\$233,330				
INYO	\$10,680	\$7,740	\$3,317	\$21,737				
KERN	\$163,201	\$118,270	\$50,691	\$332,162				
KINGS	\$39,645	\$28,730	\$12,314	\$80,689				
LAKE	\$39,043	\$27,620	\$12,314	\$77,571				
LASSEN	\$12,350	\$8,950	\$3,836	\$25,136				
LOS ANGELES	\$4,922,460	\$3,567,260	\$1,528,926	\$23,136				
MADERA								
MARIN	\$37,506 \$93,750	\$27,180 \$67,940	\$11,649 \$29,119	\$76,335 \$190,809				
MARIPOSA			\$29,119					
	\$18,974	\$13,750		\$38,617				
MENDOCINO	\$102,899	\$74,570	\$31,961	\$209,430				
MERCED	\$79,606	\$57,690	\$24,726	\$162,022				
MODOC	\$8,224	\$5,960	\$2,554	\$16,738				
MONO	\$7,562	\$5,480	\$2,349	\$15,391				
MONTEREY	\$142,240	\$103,080	\$44,180	\$289,500				
NAPA	\$40,693	\$29,490	\$12,639	\$82,822				
NEVADA	\$34,925	\$25,310	\$10,848	\$71,083				
ORANGE	\$465,164	\$337,100	\$144,481	\$946,745				
PLACER	\$57,211	\$41,460	\$17,770	\$116,441				
PLUMAS	\$13,675	\$9,910	\$4,247	\$27,832				
RIVERSIDE	\$450,855	\$326,730	\$140,036	\$917,621				
SACRAMENTO	\$886,793	\$642,650	\$275,440	\$1,804,883				
SAN BENITO	\$15,965	\$11,570	\$4,959	\$32,494				
SAN BERNARDINO	\$698,768	\$506,390	\$217,039	\$1,422,197				
SAN DIEGO	\$710,248	\$514,710	\$220,605	\$1,445,563				
SAN FRANCISCO	\$740,247	\$536,450	\$229,922	\$1,506,619				
SAN JOAQUIN	\$209,593	\$151,890	\$65,100	\$426,583				
SAN LUIS OBISPO	\$93,916	\$68,060	\$29,171	\$191,147				
SAN MATEO	\$150,630	\$109,160	\$46,786	\$306,576				
SANTA BARBARA	\$89,721	\$65,020	\$27,868	\$182,609				
SANTA CLARA	\$546,592	\$396,110	\$169,773	\$1,112,475				
SANTA CRUZ	\$118,175	\$85,640	\$36,705	\$240,520				
SHASTA	\$78,434	\$56,840	\$24,362	\$159,636				
SIERRA	\$5,354	\$3,880	\$1,663	\$10,897				
SISKIYOU	\$18,063	\$13,090	\$5,610	\$36,763				
SOLANO	\$157,792	\$114,350	\$49,010	\$321,152				
SONOMA	\$190,192	\$137,830	\$59,074	\$387,096				
STANSLAUS	\$206,875	\$149,920	\$64,256	\$421,051				
SUTTER	\$19,015	\$13,780	\$5,906	\$38,701				
TEHAMA	\$32,497	\$23,550	\$10,094	\$66,141				
TRINITY	\$11,053	\$8,010	\$3,433	\$22,496				
	\$79,468	\$57,590	\$24,683	\$161,741				
		JJ7,350	<i>⊋∠</i> 4 ,005	¥101,741				
TULARE	and the second	C20 700	60 00C	ČE 0 020				
TULARE TUOLUMNE	\$28,674	\$20,780	\$8,906	\$58,360				
TULARE	and the second	\$20,780 \$96,840 \$44,810	\$8,906 \$41,506 \$19,206	\$58,360 \$271,976 \$125,849				

*** Proposed funding is tentative pending budget approval and enactment."

Enclosure B

.

COUNTY RESPONSE COVER PAGE MUST BE FULLY COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED WITH PLAN AND DATA

1

County is requesting participation in the IHSS Fraud Prevention Program and will submit a Plan and data as described above, by September 1, 2010.

2

Board of Supervisors Approval

Approved on,	2010, by the County Board of Supervisors
Name of Approver:	Title:
Signature	
Board of Supervisors	
Name of Representative:	Title:
Telephone #:	
Email Address:	
County Welfare Department	
Name of Representative:	Title:
Telephone #:	
Email Address:	
County District Attorney Office	<u>ce</u>
Name of Representative:	Title:
Telephone #:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Email Address:	

Enclosure C

LIST OF REQUIRED COUNTY PLAN COMPONENTS

County's Current and Proposed Anti-Fraud Activities

The plan must briefly describe the county's current fraud detection/prevention activities as well as future fraud detection/prevention activities, including:

- Where the proposed program/activities will be placed within the county
- The proposed core activities (taskforces, outreach, etc.)
- Timeline for proposed activities

Please note that fraud funding cannot be used for prosecution activities.

County Proposed Budget for Utilization of Funds

The plan must include a budget that outlines use of funding by activity and agency.

- The proposed staffing, including titles and FTEs
- The proposed operating expenses/equipment within their budget
- Major equipment or other one-time purchases

Counties may use Enclosure A as a guideline for developing a budget; however the amount of funding may vary depending on the number of counties participating.

Collaboration and Partnerships with District Attorney's Office (DAO)

The plan should address how the county will collaborate and partner with the DAO and how collaboration will improve IHSS fraud prevention/detection/referral/investigation activities in FY 2010-11. Any examples of past efforts that produced successful outcomes could be included in the description of this section.

Fraud Referrals/Outcomes

The plan should include the county's methodology for determining the appropriate agency for referral/investigation. In addition, Enclosure D must be completed to include the number of suspected fraud referrals to the state Department of Health Care Services (DHCS), the number of suspected fraud cases handled locally, the number of convictions for fraud, the amount of funds involved in the convictions, the amount recovered, the basis for the conviction, and the individuals responsible (i.e., provider, recipient, county worker, etc.). The data is requested for the period since enactment of the QA Initiative in 2004 to the present to establish a county baseline for outcome comparison post July 1, 2010. For continuing counties, the data is requested for activities conducted in FY 2009-10.

IHSS Overpayments/Underpayments

The plan must address how the county will identify overpayments/underpayments and set forth a plan to reduce the occurrence of each. In addition, Enclosure D must be completed to include the number of instances, amounts, and causes of overpayments and underpayments identified by County Quality Assurance (QA) activities since

Enclosure C Page Two

2004/05 to the present to establish a county baseline for outcome comparison post July 1, 2010. Counties with approved fraud funding plans for the FY 2009/10 who submitted this data with last year's funding request, should provide only 09/10 data.

<u>Collaboration and Partnerships with California Department of Health Care</u> <u>Services (DHCS) and the California Department of Social Services (CDSS)</u>

The plan should address how the county will collaborate with DHCS and CDSS on such issues as referrals, joint investigations, prosecutions, etc. The plan should include how referrals to DHCS will be handled and tracked.

Mechanism for Tracking/Reporting

Each county must commit to track and report outcomes of its efforts to CDSS. **Prosecution data must be tracked separately from other fraud activities because prosecutions are not eligible for federal financial participation**. Participating counties will be required to submit final data for FY 2010-11 by August 1, 2011, in a format that will be shared later with participating counties. Counties will be required to submit a plan each year on June 1st in order to be considered for continued funding for this program. The plan should include any updates to the previous year's plan, as well as an agreement to continue tracking, reporting, and submitting final data for the previous fiscal year to CDSS by August 1.

County's Current and Proposed Anti-Fraud Activities

The plan must briefly describe the county's current fraud detection/prevention activities as well as proposals for future fraud detection/prevention activities, including possible error rate studies.

County Proposed Budget for Utilization of Funds

The plan must include a budget that outlines use of funding by activity and agency. Please note if funds are being used for prosecution (versus prevention, detection, or investigation) no federal matching can be requested. Counties may use Enclosure A as a guideline for developing a budget; however the amount of funding may vary depending on the number of counties participating. Budgets must be developed using the budget template in Attachment C.

Annual Outcomes Report

All participating counties will be asked to provide an annual outcomes report by August 1 of each year, identifying activities, data and outcomes associated with the county efforts to mitigate, prevent, detect, investigate and prosecute IHSS fraud during the previous fiscal year.

Enclosure D

County:

Over	payments identified by County QA	04/05	05/06	06/07	07/08	08/09	09/10
	Total Amount per Fiscal Year:						
	Number of Instances:						
	Provider:						<u> </u>
kdown auses	Recipient:						
kdow ause	County Error:						
Breal of Ca	Unknown:						
ΞŸ	Other:						

2

.

Unde	rpayments identified by County QA	04/05	05/06	06/07	07/08	08/09	09/10
	Total Amount per Fiscal Year:						
	Number of Instances:						
_	Provider:						
)WL Ses	Recipient:						
Breakdown of Causes	County Error:						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
srea of C	Unknown:						
	Other:						

Fraud Referrals/Outcomes	04/05	05/06	06/07	07/08	08/09	09/10
Number of referrals to DHCS:						
Number handled locally:			:			
Number of convictions:						
Amount of funds involved in the convictions:						

Enclosure D Page Two

Fraud	l Referrals/Outcomes	04/05	05/06	06/07	07/08	08/09	09/10
	Amount of funds recovered:						
	Amount of funds pending recovery:						
	Basis for the Conviction:		ť				
	Recipient:						
als ible	Provider:						
/idu ons	County Staff:				_		
Individuals Responsible	Other:						
- 62	Unknown:						

2

Utiliza	ation of County DA for Fraud	04/05	05/06	06/07	07/08	08/09	09/10
	Number of referrals to DA						
	Rejected:						
mes	Convicted:						1
utco	Acquitted:						
õ	Pending:						

Enclosure E

(Sample) Budget Justification County's Fraud Funding Plan for FY 2010-11

-

Budget Section	Total
A. Personnel Costs (includes employee benefits)	
B. Operating Expenses	
C. Equipment Expenses	
D. Travel/Per Diem and Training	
E. Subcontracts and Consultants	allen då i til en eftig statisten förstånst ärsten stådste ble att etter i instalar statister och och etter att
F. Other Costs	
G. Indirect Expenses	1997 - 1997 (1997) - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 2
Total Expen	ISES

A. Personnel Costs (including employee benefits)	Total Budget
Title: Welfare Investigator II 1.0 FTE	\$58,508
Salary Calculation: FY Salary (\$34,363)+ benefits (\$24,145)	
Duties Description: Works in state-of-the art Fraud Unit. Investigates IHSS fraud. Works overtime as needed. Uses connections with Dept of Justice and Homeland Security to identify and record all IHSS fraud. Works side by side with District Attorney and local police to bring fraud suspects to justice. Provides court testimony on behalf of IHSS Fraud Unit. Devotes 100% of time to IHSS Fraud Plan implementation and investigative activities.	
Title:	
Salary Calculation:	
Duties Description:	
Total Personnel Costs:	
B. Operating Expenses	Total Budget
Title:	
Description:	
Title:	
Description:	
Total Operating Expenses:	

Enclosure E Page two

C. Equipment Expenses	Total Budget
Title:	
Description:	
Title:	
Description:	
Total Equipment Expenses:	
D. Travel/Per Diem and Training	Total Budget
Title:	
Description:	
Total Travel/Per Diem and Training:	
E. Subcontracts and Consultants	Total Budget
Title:	
Description:	
Total Subcontracts and Consultants:	ter ger het met des states att des en segten in songe in en states angen en sonde
F. Other Costs	Total Budget
Title:	
Description:	
Total Other Costs:	
G. Indirect Expenses	Total Budget
Title:	
Description:	
Total Other Costs:	

-