

CORRECTIONS STANDARDS AUTHORITY

600 Bercut Drive

Sacramento, CA 95811

916-445-5073

www.cdcr.ca.gov/Divisions_Boards/CSA



March 4, 2009

Jerry Powers, Chief Probation Officer
 Stanislaus County Probation Department
 2215 Blue Gum Avenue
 Modesto, CA 95358

**Subject: Corrections Standards Authority
 Notification of Funding Recommendations
 SB 81 Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facilities
 Construction Financing Program
 Proposal ID # J14-09**

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
 2009 MAR - 5 P 5: 02

Dear Chief Powers:

The purpose of this letter is to advise you of the outcome of the Executive Steering Committee's (ESC) funding recommendations for the SB 81 Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facilities Construction Financing Program. We are pleased to inform you that your county's SB 81 youthful offender rehabilitative facility construction proposal was rated and ranked high enough to be recommended by the ESC to receive a conditional award in the fully requested amount of \$18,000,000.

The ESC reviewed the written proposal and heard the county's presentation on February 18, 2009, then formally rated the proposal according to the rating factors approved by the Corrections Standards Authority (CSA) Board as identified in the Request for Proposals. The ESC's recommendations will be brought before the CSA Board as an action item for funding consideration at the March 19, 2009 meeting, starting at 10:00 AM in the CSA conference room, 660 Bercut Drive, in Sacramento. County representatives are encouraged to attend the CSA meeting should there be any questions about your county's proposed project. There will be an opportunity to address the CSA Board during the public comment period.

Attached to this letter is a table (Attachment A) summarizing your county's overall rank and proposal scores in each of the evaluation categories, along with comparison data for other proposals. Also attached to this letter is a chart (Attachment B) summarizing the ESC's funding recommendations by county and within the large, medium and small county set-asides.

In the large county set-aside category of \$35,000,000, four counties submitted proposals requesting a total of \$101,376,228. In the medium county set-aside

category of \$35,000,000, five counties submitted proposals requesting a total of \$76,374,299. In the small county set-aside of \$30,000,000, five counties submitted proposals requesting a total of \$54,421,145. All in all, fourteen counties requested a total of \$232,171,672 of an available \$100,000,000 in the SB 81 Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facilities Construction Financing Program. Each of the fourteen proposed projects was considered meritorious, but there were simply insufficient funds to recommend funding for all meritorious proposals.

The counties that are recommended to receive partial funding will be contacted by CSA staff and asked if they are able to commit to completing the entire scope of work as presented in their SB 81 proposal with the partial amount of state dollars. If the county chooses not to accept partial funding, the funds will be offered to the next ranked county (within the same county size set-aside) on the recommended funding list. All of the counties will receive a letter from CSA advising them of their funding status after the CSA Board takes action on the funding recommendations.

Thank you for your continued interest in the SB 81 Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facilities Construction Financing Program. CSA staff is available throughout this process for each county's technical assistance needs. If you have any questions or need more information, please feel free to contact the CSA Field Representative staff (916-445-5073) or me.

Sincerely,



Robert J. Takeshta, Deputy Director
County Facilities Construction Division
(916) 322-8346; bob.takeshta@cdcr.ca.gov

Attachments

cc: Kurt O. Wilson, Executive Director
Richard, W. Robinson, Chief Executive Officer
Jim DeMartini, Chairman, Board of Supervisors
Darrell Long, Designated County Contact Person
Patricia Hill Thomas, Designated County Construction Administrator
Larry Haugh, Designated Project Financial Officer

Stanislaus

Overall Rank: 1 (out of 5 proposals)

	Evaluation Categories	Maximum Score	Proposal Score	Projects Recommended for Funding	
				Average Score	Highest Score for each category
1	County's Approach to Rehabilitation of Minors	125	104.2	102.3	105.2
2	Project Need	175	150.8	151.9	153.8
3	Relationship Between Construction Plan and Rehabilitation of Juvenile Offenders	175	143.8	130.9	143.8
4	Detention Alternatives	125	92.1	94.8	98.5
5	Scope of Work/Project Impact	125	98.5	94.8	98.5
6	Administrative Work Plan	100	88.3	83.2	88.3
7	Cost Effectiveness/Budget Review	75	65.0	61.1	65.0
8	Overall Quality of Written Proposal	25	21.8	21.1	21.8
9	Cash Match	25	5.4	11.8	25.0
10	Regional Facility	50	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Points		1000	769.8	751.9	799.8

**CORRECTIONS STANDARDS AUTHORITY
 MARCH 19, 2009 BOARD MEETING**

**SB 81 LOCAL YOUTHFUL OFFENDER REHABILITATIVE
 FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION FINANCING PROGRAM**

EXECUTIVE STEERING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

RECOMMENDED CONDITIONAL AWARDS				
Rank	County	Amount Requested	Recommended Award	Total Points 1,000
Large County Set-Aside \$35,000,000				
1	Alameda	\$35,000,000	\$35,000,000	788.5
2	Santa Clara	\$12,950,000	\$0	747.8
3	Riverside	\$24,698,105	\$0	690.7
4	Los Angeles	\$28,728,123	\$0	613.5
	Subtotal	\$101,376,228	\$35,000,000	
Medium County Set-Aside \$35,000,000				
1	Stanislaus	\$18,000,000	\$18,000,000	769.8
2	San Luis Obispo	\$13,120,983	\$13,120,983	744.3
3	Monterey	\$35,000,000	\$3,879,017	741.6
4	Santa Cruz	\$1,355,608	\$0	695.2
5	Merced	\$8,897,708	\$0	682.3
	Subtotal	\$76,374,299	\$35,000,000	
Small County Set-Aside \$30,000,000				
1	Tuolumne	\$16,000,000	\$16,000,000	801.7
2	Shasta	\$15,050,000	\$14,000,000	680.4
3	Humboldt	\$12,930,869	\$0	664.3
4	Yolo	\$4,784,536	\$0	659.9
5	Colusa	\$5,655,740	\$0	650.0
	Subtotal	\$54,421,145	\$30,000,000	
	TOTAL	\$232,171,672	\$100,000,000	