THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

ACTION AGENDA SUMMA	ARY
DEPT: Public Works	BOARD AGENDA # 9:15 a.m.
Urgent Routine	AGENDA DATE January 13, 2009
CEO Concurs with Recommendation YES NO (Information Attached)	4/5 Vote Required YES ☐ NO ■
SUBJECT:	
Public Hearing to Consider Revising the Load Carrying Cap (38C-0009), Per Section 35754 of the California Vehicle Co.	
STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:	
 Find that notice of public hearing was published in comp Code. 	oliance with Section 6066 of the Government
Conduct a public hearing to receive comments regarding Crabtree Road Bridge over Dry Creek (38C-0009).	g the revision of load carrying capacity of
 Accept the bridge modifications from the Caltrans Division for the Crabtree Road Bridge over Dry Creek (38C-0009) 	-
FISCAL IMPACT:	
If a change to the existing load carrying capacity of Crabtree Department of Public Works will be required to modify exist information. The bridge currently contains two signs specify signs will need to be modified at an estimated cost of \$1,00 Fund. There is no fiscal impact to the General Fund.	ing bridge signs with the new capacity ying the load carrying capacity. The bridge 0, which will be paid out of the County Roads
BOARD ACTION AS FOLLOWS:	No. 2009-61
On motion of Supervisor O'Brien , Secon and approved by the following vote, Ayes: Supervisors: O'Brien, Chiesa, Grover, Monteith, and Chiese Supervisors: None Excused or Absent: Supervisors: None Abstaining: Supervisor: None 1) X Approved as recommended 2) Denied 3) Approved as amended	hairman DeMartini
4) Other:	

Opristne Ferraro

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

Public Hearing to Consider Revising the Load Carrying Capacity of Crabtree Road Bridge Over Dry Creek (38C-0009), Per Section 35754 of the California Vehicle Code

DISCUSSION:

During the biennial bridge inspection of the Crabtree Bridge, the Caltrans Division of Structure Maintenance and Investigations performed new structural calculations on the bridge. These calculations show revised weight capacities for the bridge. The Caltrans Division of Structure Maintenance and Investigations recommends that the bridge weight restrictions be modified to:

Current Weight Restriction Recommended New Weight Restrictions

17 tons per vehicle 20 tons per vehicle

25 tons per semi-trailer combination
29 tons per truck and full trailer
25 tons per semi-trailer combination
26 tons per truck and full trailer
No permit loads are allowed

An alternative to revising the weight restrictions would be to replace this bridge, built in 1920, with a modern bridge. This is not financially feasible at this time, due to higher priority bridge projects. Crabtree Bridge is Public Works' ninth highest priority project. The estimated replacement costs in 2008 would be approximately \$1.5 million. During our 2007 speed survey, five trucks and trailers utilized the bridge out of 32 vehicles per day.

POLICY ISSUES:

The Board should consider if the recommended actions are consistent with its priorities of providing a safe community, a healthy community and a well-planned infrastructure system.

STAFFING IMPACT:

There is no staffing impact associated with this item.

DL:la L:\Dave Leamon\BOS 2008\Crabtree Road Bridge - Public Hearing.pdf



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Structure Maintenance & Investigations

Bridge Number : 38C0009

Facility Carried: CRABTREE ROAD

Location : 1.8 MI S WARNERVILLE RD

City

Inspection Date : 09/18/2007

Inspection Type

Bridge Inspection Report

Routine FC Underwater Special Other

STRUCTURE NAME: DRY CREEK

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

 Year Built : 1920
 Skew (degrees): 0

 Year Widened: N/A
 No. of Joints : 0

 Length (m) : 31.7
 No. of Hinges : 0

Structure Description: Riveted steel through Pratt truss with corrugated metal deck and timber stringers on RC seat abutments. Steel "I" bars in tension

chords. Foundations are unknown.

Span Configuration :1 @ 30.5 m

LOAD CAPACITY AND RATINGS

Design Live Load: OTHER OR UNKNOWN

Inventory Rating: 10 metric tons Calculation Method: ALLOWABLE STRESS Operating Rating: 19.9 metric tons Calculation Method: ALLOWABLE STRESS

Permit Rating : XXXXX

Posting Load : Type 3 17 English tons Type 3S2 25 English tons Type 3-3 29 English tons

DESCRIPTION ON STRUCTURE

Deck X-Section: 0.1 m r, 0.4 m wg, 5.3 m, 0.4 m wg, 0.1 m r

Total Width: 6.3 m Net Width: 5.3 m No. of Lanes: 2
Rail Description: Timber railing on both sides. Rail Code : 0000

Min. Vertical Clearance: 4.010

DESCRIPTION UNDER STRUCTURE

Channel Description: Dirt, gravel and some bedrock.

CONDITION TEXT

CONDITION OF STRUCTURE:

At the time of this investigation, the channel was dry. A complete inspection of the soffit and substructure was performed.

The timber rails on both sides have deteriorated and the timber posts are very loose. The surface paint is mostly faded and peeling. The horizontal members are severely deteriorated and reinforced with supplemental horizontal sections at the posts.

The AC approach at both abutments is settled approximately 1.6" (40 mm) at Abutment 1 and 1.2" (30 mm) at Abutment 2. There are up to 0.25" (6 mm) wide transverse and alligator AC cracks along the entire width at both abutments. The cracks at Abutment 2 are more severe.

There are 0.25° (6 mm) wide transverse AC deck cracks near both abutments with a 3' long x 3" wide (1 m x 75 mm) pothole near Abutment 2.

STEEL INVESTIGATION:

The steel members of the thru-trusses such as lower and upper chords, portal bracings,

Printed on: Friday 11/09/2007 11:26 AM 38C0009/AAAI/11956

upper lateral bracings, upper lateral struts, sway bracings, sway struts, end posts, verticals, diagonals, and counter diagonals have general surface rust with no observed section loss or deformation. The eyebars at the bottom chords have no significant surface rust or section loss. The upper and lower chord connection pins were ultrasonically inspected in 09/20/06, with no defect indications found.

The paint system on floor beams has faded with surface rust on both flanges and the web sections. No visible cracks were observed.

There is general surface rust on the attached cover plates of the bottom of the floor beams, but no observed section loss. There are no visible cracks or defects found on the intermittent fillet welds (Category E weld) around the cover plates.

SCOUR:

At the left side of Abutment 1, the footing is exposed 32 " (0.8 m) high by approximately 13' (4.0 m) long along the left side and return wall. There are exposed reinforcements of up to 24 " (0.6 m) at the return wall footing, and up to 48 " (1.2 m) at the bottom of the abutment footing.

SIGNS:

The appropriate load posting signs are in place at both approaches.

- 17 TONS PER VEHICLE
- 25 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION
- 29 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER

In addition, the following signs are in place at both abutments.

ONE LANE BRIDGE

STOP

IMPAIRED VERTICAL CLEARANCE 13'-0" @ BOTH PORTALS

EXISTING POSTING:

The structure is posted by a Director's Order dated December 12, 1979 for the following load restrictions:

- 17 TONS PER VEHICLE
- 25 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION
- 29 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER

LOAD CAPACITY:

Load capacity calculations are dated August 20, 1979. For a one lane loading, the floor beam controls for the single vehicle and truss member UIU2 controls for the semi-trailer combination and truck and full trailer. The calculated capacities for different load cases are as follows:

- 17 TONS PER VEHICLE
- 25 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION
- 29 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER

RECOMMENDED POSTING:

Retain existing posting.

MISCELLANEOUS:

The inspection frequency will remain at 24 months due to the functional classification (Local Rural) with low daily traffic and present condition of the structure.

ELEMENT INSPECTION RATINGS									
F#Elen	Element Description	Env	Total	Units	Qt	y in ea	ch Condi	tion Sta	te
		·	Qty		St. 1	st. 2	St. 3	St. 4	St. 5
101 3	Steel Deck -	2	192	sq.m.	192	0	0	0	0
1	Corrugated/Orthotropic/Etc.								
101 1:	ll Timber Open Girder/Beam	2	17	m.	17	0	0	0	0
101 1	21 Painted Steel Bottom Chord Thru	2	62	m.	0	0	62	0	0
ĺ	Truss								
101 1:	26 Painted Steel Thru Truss (excl.	2	62	m.	0	0	62	0	0
İ	bottom chord)								
101 19	2 Painted Steel Floor Beam	2	25	m.	0	0	25	0	0
101 23	15 Reinforced Conc Abutment	2	13	m.	13	0	0	0	0
101 31	11 Moveable Bearing (roller,	2	2	ea.	2	0	0	0	0
	sliding, etc.)								
101 31	3 Fixed Bearing	2	2	ea.	2	0	0	0	0
101 33	2 Timber Bridge Railing	2	62	m.	0	0	62	0	0

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

PogDato.	ΛΦ	17	0	12	n	77

EstCost: RecDate: 09/18/2007

StrTarget:

2 YEARS

Repair the AC approach at both abutments, 2 YEARS cracks and pothole on deck.

Action : Deck-Resurface Work By: LOCAL AGENCY

DistTarget:

Status : PROPOSED

EA:

RecDate: 10/26/1999

EstCost:

Repaint all the painted steel elements.

Action : Paint-Full prep/ StrTarget: 2 YEARS

Work By: LOCAL AGENCY

Status : PROPOSED

DistTarget:

EA:

RecDate: 10/26/1999

EstCost:

Replace the timber rail on both sides.

38C0009/AAAI/11956

Action : Railing-Rehab Work By: LOCAL AGENCY

StrTarget: DistTarget:

Status : PROPOSED

EA:

Inspected By :

Ronnie H. Le

Registered Civil Engineer

Printed on: Friday 11/09/2007 11:26 AM

STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT

	************* IDENTIFICATION ************	***********************
(1)	STATE NAME - CALIFORNIA 069	SUFFICIENCY RATING = 37.9
	STRUCTURE NUMBER 38C0009	STATUS STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT
	INVENTORY ROUTE (ON/UNDER) - ON 140000000	HEALTH INDEX 77.5
	HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT 10	DA TAYM GOAD THY ON TARDEY
	COUNTY CODE 099 (4) PLACE CODE 00000	
	FEATURE INTERSECTED- DRY CREEK	(112) ADTO DETECT CONCESS
		ATOAN HIGHWAY ON COURTY AND ON A TOR
	***************************************	(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS- LOCAL RURAL 09
	LOCATION- 1.8 MI S WARNERVILLE RD	(100) DEFENSE HIGHWAY- NOT STRAHNET 0
	MILEPOINT/KILOMETERPOINT 0	(101) Paratter depresent
	BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK- NOT ON NET 0	
	LRS INVENTORY ROUTE & SUBROUTE	(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC- 2 WAY 2 (103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE-
	LATITUDE 37 DEG 42 MIN 39 SEC	
	LONGITUDE 120 DEG 36 MIN 36 SEC	
	BORDER BRIDGE STATE CODE % SHARE %	(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK - NOT ON NET 0 (20) TOLL- ON FREE ROAD 3
(99)	BORDER BRIDGE STRUCTURE NUMBER	(21) MAINTAIN- COUNTY HIGHWAY AGENCY 02
•	******* STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL ********	(22) OWNER- COUNTY HIGHWAY AGENCY 02
(43)	STRUCTURE TYPE MAIN: MATERIAL- STEEL	
	TYPE- TRUSS - THRU CODE 310	
(44)	STRUCTURE TYPE APPR:MATERIAL- NOT APPLICABLE	********* CONDITION ************************************
	TYPE- NOT APPLICABLE CODE	(58) DECK 7
(45)	NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT 1	(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE 5
(46)	NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS 0	
(107)	DECK STRUCTURE TYPE- CORRUGATED STEEL CODE 6	
	WEARING SURFACE / PROTECTIVE SYSTEM:	(62) CULVERTS N
A)	TYPE OF WEARING SURFACE- BITUMINOUS CODE 6	****** LOAD RATING AND POSTING ****** CODE
	TYPE OF MEMBRANE- NONE CODE 0	(31) DESIGN LOAD- OTHER OR UNKNOWN 0
C)	TYPE OF DECK PROTECTION- NONE CODE 0	(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD- ALLOWABLE STRESS 2
	********** AGE AND SERVICE **********	(64) OPERATING RATING-
(27)	YEAR BUILT 1920	(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD- ALLOWABLE STRESS 2
(106)	YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000	(66) INVENTORY RATING- 10.0
(42)	TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1	
1201	UNDER- WATERWAY 5	(41) (65)
	LANES:ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00 AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100	DESCRIPTION- POSTED FOR LOAD
	AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 %	******** APPRAISAL *********** CODE
		(67) CODYCOTORY FIRST WATCH
		(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION 2 (68) DECK GEOMETRY
	************** GEOMETRIC DATA ***********	(69) IMPERCIPADANCES VERTICAL CHORTZONEAL N
	LENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN 30.5 M	(71) WATER ADEQUACY
	STRUCTURE LENGTH 31.7 M	(72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT 4
	CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT 0.4 M RIGHT 0.4 M	(36) TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES 0000
	BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB 5.3 M	(113) SCOUR CRITTICAL BUTDORG
	DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT 6.3 M	· ·
	APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) 6.7 M BRIDGE MEDIAN- NO MEDIAN 0	********* PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS ********
(34)		(75) TYPE OF WORK- REPLACE FOR DEFICIENC CODE 31
	INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR 4.01 M	(76) LENGTH OF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT 40.307 M
	INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL HORIZ CLEAR 5.3 M	(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST \$300,000
	MIN VERT CLEAR OVER BRIDGE RDWY 4.01 M	(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST \$30,000
	MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR REF- NOT H/RR 0.00 M	(96) TOTAL PROJECT COST \$450,000
(55) 1	MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR RT REF- NOT H/RR 0.0 M	(97) YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT COST ESTIMATE 1999 (114) FUTURE ADT 200
(56) 1	MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT 0.0 M	(114) FUTURE ADT 200 (115) YEAR OF FUTURE ADT 2015
*	********* NAVIGATION DATA *********	
	NAVIGATION CONTROL NO CONTROL CODE 0	**************************************
	PIER PROTECTION- CODE	(90) INSPECTION DATE 09/07 (91) FREQUENCY 24 MO
	NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M	(92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: (93) CFI DATE
	FRT-LIFT BRIDGE NAV MIN VERT CLEAR M	A) FRACTURE CRIT DETAIL- YES 24 MO A) 09/06
(40) N	NAVIGATION HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M	B) UNDERWATER INSP- NO MO B)
		C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP- NO MO C)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DIVISION OF MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE AND INVESTIGATIONS 1801 30th Street, MS #9-1/9i P. O. BOX 168041 SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-8041 PHONE (916) 227-8631 FAX (916) 227-8357



10/22/2008

Bridge Number:

38C0009

Bridge Name:

DRY CREEK

Mr. Matt Machado County Of Stanislaus 1010 10th Street, Suite 3500 Modesto, CA 95354

Dear Mr. Machado:

According to Title 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations (Federal Highway Act), Caltrans Structure Maintenance and Investigations made biennial inspections of bridges under your jurisdiction. Enclosed is one copy of a Bridge Inspection Report for the structure noted on the attached transmittal sheet.

Posting for limited load carrying capacities is recommended for this structure. After you have reviewed the report, please notify us within 30 days as to what action you propose to take with regard to the posting (permanent or temporary) or corrective maintenance.

Section 35754 of the California Vehicle Code extends authority to the County to temporarily post restrictive weights on a bridge structure for periods not in excess of ninety (90) days. The most commonly used authority for permanently posting a bridge structure exists in Section 35751. Specific notification, public hearings and a signed posting order are among the requirements contained within that Section.

If you wish to discuss these or other aspects of the bridge posting process, please call Ronnie Le @ (916) 227-6831 or John Gillis @ (916) 227-8774.

Sincerely

PETE J. WHITFIELD

Office Chief

Structure Maintenance and Investigations - North

Enclosures

RECEIVED

OCT 24 2008

STANISLAUS COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Structure Maintenance & Investigations

Bridge Number : 38C0009 Facility Carried: CRABTREE ROAD

Location : 1.8 MI S WARNERVILLE RD

City

Inspection Date: 10/09/2008

Inspection Type

Bridge Inspection Report

Routine FC Underwater Special Other X

STRUCTURE NAME: DRY CREEK

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Year Built : 1920 Skew (degrees): 0 No. of Joints : Year Widened: N/A 0 Length (m) : 31.7 No. of Hinges :

Structure Description: Riveted steel through Pratt truss with corrugated metal deck and

timber stringers on RC seat abutments. Steel "I" bars in tension

chords. Foundations are unknown.

Span Configuration :1 @ 30.5 m

LOAD CAPACITY AND RATINGS

Design Live Load: OTHER OR UNKNOWN

Inventory Rating: 11.1 Calculation Method: ALLOWABLE STRESS metric tons Operating Rating: 19 Calculation Method: ALLOWABLE STRESS metric tons

Permit Rating : XXXXX

Posting Load : Type 3 20 English tons

Type 3S2 24 English tons Type 3-3 26 English tons

DESCRIPTION ON STRUCTURE

Deck X-Section: 0.1 m r, 0.4 m wg, 5.3 m, 0.4 m wg, 0.1 m r

Total Width: 6.3 m Net Width: 5.3 m No. of Lanes: 1 Rail Description: Timber railing on both sides. Rail Code : 0000

Min. Vertical Clearance: 4.010

DESCRIPTION UNDER STRUCTURE

Channel Description: Dirt, gravel and some bedrock.

CONDITION TEXT

REVISIONS

This structure was load rated using Allowable Stress methods for the truss and stringers and Load Factor methods for the steel floor beams. As a result of this analysis, the following changes were made:

Item 28, Lanes On and Under the Structure, was revised from 2 to 1 to account for the one lane on the truss.

Item 66, Inventory Rating, and Item 64, Operating Rating, were revised from 10.0 to 11.1 metric tonnes and 19.9 to 19.0 metric tonnes, respectively.

Item 70, Bridge Posting, was revised from Code 2 (20 to 30% below legal loads) to Code 1 (30 to 40% below legal loads).

Item 102, Direction of Traffic, was revised from 2-way traffic to Code 3 - One lane bridge for 2-way traffic.

CONDITION OF STRUCTURE

The scope of this report was to update information on this bridge regarding the newly

Printed on: Wednesday 10/22/2008 01:21 PM 38C0009/AAAJ/14830

calculated load capacity.

SIGNS

As of the last inspection on 9/18/2007, the following load posting signs were in place at both approaches:

- 17 TONS PER VEHICLE.
- 25 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION.
- 29 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER.
- ONE LANE BRIDGE.

SAFE LOAD CAPACITY

The structure was load rated using Allowable Stress methods for the truss and stringers and Load Factor methods for the steel floor beams. The load rating results are summarized on the Structure Rating Summary Sheet dated 7/21/2008. The structure is capable of sustaining the following loads:

- 20 TONS PER VEHICLE.
- 24 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION.
- 26 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER.
- No permit loads are allowed.

The capacity of the structure is controlled by the truss top chord. The calculations were based on one lane of traffic with 2 inches of asphalt concrete on the deck.

EXISTING POSTING

The structure is currently posted by an Order of the Director dated December 12, 1979, for the following loads:

- 17 TONS PER VEHICLE.
- 25 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION.
- 29 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER.

RECOMMENDED POSTING

Post this structure for the following loads:

- 20 TONS PER VEHICLE.
- 24 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION.
- 26 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER.
- ONE LANE BRIDGE.

RESCIND POSTING

Since the new load rating analysis indicates the new load capacities are lower than the existing posting for Semi-trailer Combination and Truck and Full Trailer, the posting by Order of the Director dated December 12, 1979, shall be rescinded and replaced with a new posting.

MISCELLANEOUS

Mr. David Leamon of the County of Stanislaus was contacted on October 22, 2008. He will proceed with the scheduling of a public hearing to post this structure.

Inspected By :	JAGillis	PROFESSIONAL STATEMENT OF STATE
	John Ander Gill	8189 No. C47311
	Registered Civil Engineer	Exp. 12/31/09