THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUN ACTION AGENDA SUMMA	RY
DEPT: Environmental Resources	BOARD AGENDA #9:15 a.m.(d)
Urgent Routine	AGENDA DATE April 22, 2008
CEO Concurs with Recommendation YES NO (Information Attached)	4/5 Vote Required YES NO

SUBJECT:

Approval to Introduce and Waive the First Reading of an Ordinance to Establish New Fees and Amend Existing Fees for the Department of Environmental Resources

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Approve the introduction and waive the first reading of an Ordinance to establish new fees and amend existing fees for the Department of Environmental Resources.

FISCAL IMPACT:

If the proposed fee adjustments are approved, the increase in revenues for the Department of Environmental Resources is estimated to be \$281,327. The increase in revenues for the individual programs are the following estimated amounts: Food Program, \$68,074; Recreational Health Program, \$2,602; Water Program, \$72; Liquid Waste Program, \$5,676; Land Use Program, \$6,039; Underground Storage Tank Program, \$13,232; Medical Waste Program, \$2,541; Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator Program, \$5,483; Hazardous Waste Generator Program, \$11,037; Milk and Dairy Program, \$51,481; and the Landfill Enterprise Fund, \$115,090.

BOARD ACTION AS FOLLOWS:

No. 2008-295

On motion	of Supervisor	Grover	, Seconded by Supervisor <u>Monteith</u>	
	ed by the following			
Ayes: Supe	rvisors:Grover,_M	<u>lonteith, DeMa</u>	rtini, and Chairman Mayfield	
Noes: Supe	ervisors:	None		
Excused or	Absent: Superviso	was Nama	·····	
Abstaining	Supervisor:	∩'Drian		
1) <u>X</u>	Approved as recor	nmended		
2)	Denied			
3)	Approved as amen	ded		
4)	Other:			
MOTION:			A IVED THE EIDET DEADNIC OF ODDINANCE C.C. 1026	

INTRODUCED AND WAIVED THE FIRST READING OF ORDINANCE C.S. 1036

CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Cierk

File No. ORD-55-G-9

DISCUSSION:

The Department charges fees for services it provides in the program areas of Environmental Health, Solid Waste, Hazardous Materials, Milk and Dairy, Landfill, and Code Enforcement. Revenue generated by the fees is used to pay the costs of running the programs. State Realignment and County Match funding help offset these costs that are not recovered through fees.

Annually, in preparation for the Proposed Budget, the Department of Environmental Resources (Department) evaluates the cost of services provided and the fees associated with programs administered. This process includes the consideration of new or additional fees, where appropriate, as well as modifications to existing fees in order to cover the cost of County services. The Department's fees were last increased in 2006, except for the landfill tipping fee, which has been in place since 1998. Fees and revenues were reviewed in 2007, and it was determined that a fee adjustment was not necessary at that time.

The Department continues to experience increases in program costs such as salaries and benefits, gas and utilities, and charges from support services. These program costs continue to exceed revenues. As discussed in previous years, the increased regulatory requirements imposed on the Department also add to the costs of doing business. In the case of the Landfill Division, difficulties in handling particular waste type categories have increased costs as well, the specifics of which will be discussed later within the Landfill Division section of this report.

While the external costs of doing business are increasing, the Department continues to evaluate their processes to develop and implement more efficient business practices and improvements. The adoption of the Customer Relations Management (CRM) database allows customers to make on-line inquiries and track complaints 24 hours a day. It enables staff to communicate through email, which reduces the amount of time previously spent returning phone calls or assisting walk-in customers. Staff is able to utilize the time researching and processing complaints, resulting in increased efficiency.

In the Landfill Division, manual surveying has been replaced with a laser leveling system, and daily cover (dirt) has been eliminated by using a tarp system, which saves valuable landfill space. Each of these changes reduces staff time as well and has resulted in cost savings. These changes, coupled with savings from vacancies that occurred due to employee turnover, allowed the Department to operate within its budget during Fiscal Year 2007-2008. Despite these efficiencies and savings, however, projections show that without fee increases the Department budget will be operating in a deficit in Fiscal Year 2008-2009. If the proposed fee adjustments are not approved, the level of service that the Department delivers will be impacted. An assessment would be made of the programs and their associated services and consideration would be given to those programs that could be returned to State agencies for oversight. As opportunities arise, Department staff aggressively pursue grant funding for Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials, Solid Waste and Code Enforcement.

California State law specifies that fees for services cannot exceed the costs of providing the services. Department staff reviewed program revenues and costs and have prepared proposals for fee

increases and the establishment of new fees. The total cost is determined by the number of hours necessary to implement the programs. The proposed fee adjustments will help to close the gap between program costs and revenues.

In developing the proposed fees, consideration was given to the comments made since the April 4, 2006, fee adjustment. As a result, the Category 3 food facility definition has been expanded to include very small facilities of 150 square feet or less that have limited food preparation, such as small espresso shops. The request to increase the discounts for better operators has also been considered and incorporated in the proposed reduced fee incentive programs for food and water programs. In addition, the request to increase the fees for the operators that are problematic has been addressed in the proposed fee increase for food facilities operating without a valid permit.

These proposals have been discussed with the public and industry representatives through two workshops held by the Department on March 4, 2008 (Exhibit A). The information about the workshops was disseminated through a mass mail-out to all parties affected or potentially affected by the fees. Department staff reviewed the proposed changes and solicited input from representatives of the Milk and Dairy, Hazardous Materials, Environmental Health and Landfill programs.

A variety of opinions were expressed regarding the proposed fee increases, which included suggestions that the proposed 5.5 percent increase in well permits was too low and a fee reduction request for non-profit businesses that operate on a part-time basis. Attendees voiced concerns about their increasing operating costs yet acknowledged the Department's efforts in working toward an equitable solution. The proposed fee schedule is attached (Exhibit B).

The programs provided by the Department support and implement the Board's priorities of ensuring a safe community, a healthy community, a well-planned infrastructure system, and efficient delivery of public services. These programs include, but are not limited to, the following:

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH (EH)

- Food Program
- Recreational Health Program
- Water Program
- Liquid Waste Program
- Land Use Program

Fee increases and/or adjustments are being proposed for all of the above programs. Projected costs to run these programs total \$3,179,232. Revenues generated by existing fees for these programs will be about \$2,082,839. Therefore, the projected deficit is \$1,096,393. Revenues for EH programs would increase by the following estimated amounts from proposed fee adjustments:

PROGRAM	FEE INCREASE
Food	\$68,074
Recreational Health	\$2,602
Water	\$72
Liquid Waste	\$5,676
Land Use	\$6,039
Total revenues estimate	\$82,463

Food Program

EH has regulatory responsibility over 2,300 facilities that serve food to the public. These facilities include restaurants, markets, bars, bakeries, bed & breakfasts, cafeterias, food vehicles, swap meets, farmers markets, and temporary food facilities. Oversight for these facilities include food borne illness investigations, responding to and addressing complaints, plan reviews for new construction and remodels, routine inspections, annual permit inspections, consultations, construction inspections, follow-ups, legal action/enforcement and providing education to industry and the public.

Prior to 2003, fees for food facilities were based on the size of the facility. Restaurant and bakery fees were based on seating capacity and market fees were based on square footage. In 2003, the Department changed the way fees are charged in this program, instead basing them on the risk and type of food operation. Certain facilities have more complex menus and multi-step food processes that present an increase in food borne illness risk factors. Consequently, staff spend more time at these types of facilities. Therefore, the fees for these multi-step facilities are higher than those facilities with more simple food menus and less food preparation steps.

The following year, the Department adjusted the fees once again to include size (square footage and seating capacity) as a factor in establishing fees. This adjustment was in response to feedback received from the food industry. In 2004, with the Board's approval, the fee schedule in the Food Program was restructured to include both the size of the facility and the risk type of food operation as factors in the fees, making it more equitable.

Also in 2003, the Department introduced a Reduced Fee Incentive Program whereby food facility operators could qualify for a reduction of fees provided they met certain food safety and sanitation criteria, such as zero critical violations and no more than three minor violations in a 24-month period. Critical violations are those that require a reinspection and minor violations are those that do not pose an imminent public health risk, but do warrant correction. The proposed Reduced Fee Incentive Program fees allow all operators of food facilities that qualify to take full advantage of the discounts.

An adjustment to the Swap Meet Prepackaged Food Stand category is proposed that will better reflect the amount of time spent in registering these vendors and encourage those operating at multiple sites to identify themselves. To be consistent with the other food reduced fee incentive programs, an adjustment has been made in the proposed fee for the Swap Meet Operator Reduced Fee Incentive Program, which reflects the same 25% reduction as other food incentive programs.

The California Uniform Retail Food Facilities Law, which governs food facilities, has been changed and is now the California Retail Food Code. The new code addresses hazard analysis critical control point plans, which can be reviewed by the enforcement agency. It also requires mobile food facilities to submit plans for review. Fees have been established to recover the cost of reviewing such plans.

On occasion, it is necessary to close a food facility to protect the public's health and safety. Staff makes every effort to accommodate a request for reopening the facility once the violations have been corrected. Typically, it is necessary for staff to return to the field for this procedure. It has been determined that these calls take a minimum of two hours, so the fee for reopening following a Department closure has been adjusted to reflect this. The fee for operating without a valid permit has been increased to defray the cost associated with investigating illegal operators and bringing them into compliance.

Over the last 12 months, from February 2007 to February 2008, EH has conducted 3,050 inspections of food facilities, 1,069 reinspections, and 181 complaint inspections, some of which were related to alleged food borne illnesses. Also, 125 plans for food facilities construction and /or remodeling have been submitted and reviewed.

If the proposed fee adjustments are approved, the fees in the food program will increase approximately 5.5% and will help close the gap between program costs and revenues.

Recreational Health

EH also has oversight of 449 public swimming pools and spas. Most of the pools are open only during the warmer months of the year. This compresses the time during which pools are used and inspected to approximately five months of the year. In addition to performing routine inspections of the public pools, EH conducts inspections in response to complaints. Staff reviews plans of public swimming pools for compliance with State construction standards. If the proposed fees are increased 5.5%, the gap between program costs and revenues will be reduced.

Over the last 12 months, EH conducted 608 routine inspections of public swimming pools, some of which are multiple pools at a single site, 166 reinspections, and responded to 8 complaints regarding public swimming pools.

Water Program

As part of the Local Primacy Agreement in 1993, the State Department of Health Services (DHS) delegated the regulatory oversight of the small public water systems to EH, of which there are currently 180. These water systems require constant monitoring for various contaminants and their acceptable levels. Since 1993, DHS has increased the number of potential contaminants that must be monitored. State mandated reporting requirements have resulted in a 25% increase in staff time.

In addition to the public water systems, EH is responsible for inspecting the installation of wells in the unincorporated area of Stanislaus County. The time involved in this process varies, depending on circumstances beyond the inspector's control, such as equipment breakdown and environmental

variables. These factors have been taken into consideration in establishing the fees for well construction.

The current fee schedule is patterned after the fee structure outlined in the Health and Safety Code, which was developed considering the size of the system by the number of connections it has and the population it serves. The premise for the fee structure was that the larger systems require more time than smaller systems, thus larger systems would pay a higher fee. Through time, there have been changes in science, technology, and treatment as well as regulatory requirements and State Department of Public Health requirements made of Local Primacy Agencies. The current fee structure is outdated and does not distribute the cost to administer the program fairly. The proposed fee structure will more appropriately recover cost where time is actually spent. Although there are significant changes made to the small water system fees, whereby some water system fees will increase and other water system fees will decrease, the total increase in fees for the water program is less than 1%.

The water system types are delineated in the water codes, with the most stringent requirements made of the community water systems (CWS), fewer requirements made of the non-transient non-community water systems (NTNCWS), even fewer requirements of the transient non-community water systems (TNCWS), and the fewest requirements of the State small water systems (SS).

While maintaining these water system types and identifying where the time is spent in this program, it was logical that a single source system takes less time than a multiple source system, in that each source has monitoring requirements resulting in samples taken, submitted, analyzed, responded to and entered into the database. Thus, each water system type was divided into single source and multiple sources. In addition, recognizing that water systems with treatment take more time than systems without treatment, the next natural delineation was treatment or no treatment. Finally, staff has to spend a great deal of time monitoring, communicating, and tracking and often times conducting enforcement activity on water systems with violations, so systems with violations became the last criteria. In contrast, so as to reward those water systems that save staff time because they do not have violations and are under direct supervision of a certified distribution operator, a fee incentive has been created with a reduced fee for these water systems. Coupled with the criteria of this fee incentive, is an additional fee reduction for water systems that also use laboratories that report bacteriological sampling results online which saves staff time (Exhibit C).

The last change in the fee structure for the water program is nomenclature. The California Retail Food Code has replaced the California Uniform Retail Food Facilities Law (CURFFL). Therefore, the CURFFL Water Systems will be replaced with Food Code Water Systems.

Over the last 12 months, EH staff has spent over 900 hours conducting 97 inspections of the 180 small water systems (which exceeded the required frequency level), 20 reinspections, and responded to 42 complaints. Over 1,600 hours have been spent providing customer service through consultations, evaluations, responding to questions, giving guidance, working through violations, monitoring and tracking lab sample submittals, file review, and data management.

In addition to the public water systems, EH is responsible for inspecting the installation of drinking water wells in the unincorporated area of Stanislaus County. In the last 12 months, out of the 240 well permits issued, 194 wells have been installed and inspected and 633 staff hours were spent on these well inspections.

Liquid Waste

The proposed fee increases for the Liquid Waste Program are to recover costs incurred for the inspection of septic pumper trucks and construction/destruction of onsite wastewater treatment systems. If the proposed fees are increased 5.5%, the gap between program costs and revenues will be reduced.

Advancements have been made in onsite wastewater treatment, which improves the quality of the effluent prior to distribution into the leach field. Existing wastewater systems are being modified with these advanced technologies. A new fee in the Liquid Waste Program is being proposed to allow cost recovery for inspections of these modifications to existing onsite wastewater systems. Over the last 12 months, EH has conducted 633 construction/destruction inspections of onsite wastewater treatment systems.

Land Use

EH has a shared responsibility with the Departments of Planning and Community Development, Public Works and Stanislaus Consolidated Fire Protection District in reviewing applications and plans for various permits and approvals, including building permits, parcel maps, lot line adjustments, use permits, subdivisions, and environmental impact reviews. The proposed adjustments to the fees are a closer approximation to the actual cost to the Department for services rendered. Currently, subdivision/project road improvement plans are being forwarded by Public Works to this Department for review. The cost incurred through this process has not been recovered to date. The new fee for Subdivision/Project Road Improvement Plan Review will capture revenue to cover the cost of reviewing these plans. The new fee will be established at \$50.00. Over the last 12 months, the processes listed above have required 2,290 staff hours.

SOLID WASTE

In 2003, a fee was instituted for the Bulky Waste Collection Program located in Oakdale to defray a portion of the expenses. While the current fee is only \$10.00, experience with a fee at this facility suggests that to increase this fee will cause an additional decrease in participation. Therefore, no fee adjustment for the Solid Waste Program is being proposed at this time. The estimated program expense for 2007-2008 is \$117,633, and revenues are estimated to be \$9,500 for that same period. Consequently, the Department subsidy for 2007-2008 is about \$108,133.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

- Underground Storage Tank Program
- Medical Waste Program
- Hazardous Material Disclosure Program
- Household Hazardous Waste
- Farm Disclosure Program
- Tiered Permitting Program
- Conditionally Exempt Small Quality Generator Program
- Hazardous Waste Generator Program

In the Board item on March 25, 2008, which set the public hearing for this matter, it was proposed that a fee increase for the Hazardous Materials Disclosure programs be considered along with the others herein. Since that time, the Department confirmed that there is a fund balance remaining within this program and, therefore, finds that no fee increases to the Disclosure programs are necessary at this time.

In the Hazardous Materials Division, the Department is proposing 5.5 percent fee increases in the Underground Storage Tank, Medical Waste, Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator and the Hazardous Waste Generator Programs. The projected costs to run these programs are \$854,819. Revenues generated by existing fees for these programs will be about \$745,799. Therefore, the projected deficit is \$109,020. Revenues would be increased for the programs by the following estimated amounts if fees were adjusted as requested: Underground Storage Tank Program, \$13,232; Medical Waste Program, \$2,541; Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator Program, \$5,483; and Hazardous Waste Generator Program, \$11,037. The total of this revenue is estimated to be \$32,293. The remaining deficit \$76,727 will be funded by the department fund balance.

Underground Storage Tank (UST) Program

The Board last amended the current UST Program fees in 2006. Regulatory requirement changes, such as designated operator certification as well as inspector certification, approval and oversight of Enhanced Vapor Recovery systems, and enhanced leak detection requirements, have increased staff time within the program. In addition, the more stringent construction standards set in place by the State have increased the demand of UST staff time in the program. The proposed fees reflect a 5.5% increase and would produce additional estimated revenue of \$13,232 for this program.

Medical Waste Program

The program staff regulates healthcare facilities, companies and private citizens that produce, treat, transport or dispose of infectious medical waste. Medical waste consists of sharps (needles & syringes), bloodied materials, bandages, and other items contaminated by body fluids. In 2006, Medical Waste Program fees were adjusted and approved by the Board of Supervisors. The proposed increase in fees of 5.5% would produce additional estimated revenue of \$2,541.

Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator Program (CESQG)

The CESQG Program allows small businesses that generate hazardous waste and meets certain criteria to bring this waste to the Household Hazardous Waste Collection Facility for disposal. The Board first authorized a fee for this service in 2003 and approved the existing fees in 2006. The proposed fee adjustments for the CESQG Program will help recover additional costs incurred by the Department for hazardous waste disposal and help offset some staff costs.

The Department believes the proposed fee adjustments would not cause an increase of illegal dumping of hazardous materials. The companies that use the small business services know that State laws require them to handle, store and dispose of their electronic and hazardous wastes properly. In addition to wanting to be good business citizens, they know the severity of the law should they be caught illegally dumping hazardous wastes. The proposed fees would produce additional estimated revenue of \$5,483 for this program and represent a 5.5% increase.

Hazardous Waste Generator Program

The Board approved the existing Hazardous Waste Generator (Generator) Program fees in 2006. The Generator Program includes Tiered Permitting facilities which are those facilities that do some onsite treatment of their waste to render it less- or non-hazardous. The new proposed fees will help offset the additional cost incurred by the Department for the operation of this program. The proposed fees would produce additional estimated revenue of \$11,037 for this program and represent a 5.5% increase.

Miscellaneous Fees

The Board approved a general plan review fee for Hazardous Materials Programs in 1992. In 2003, the Board also approved a general reinspection fee, a subdivision review fee, a monitoring well permit fee and a monitoring well plan review/permit review fee. In 2006, an administrative office hearing fee was added to the miscellaneous fee category which the Board approved as well. At this time, the Department wishes to clarify that a one-hour minimum weighted labor rate charge will establish the minimum fee for the following categories: reinspections, plan review, administrative office hearings and monitoring well plan reviews/permit reviews. The additional revenue anticipated from these minor clarifications is expected to be negligible so it has not been projected as a revenue category by itself.

MILK AND DAIRY

- Grade A and B Dairies Inspection Programs
- Grade A and B Goat Dairies Inspection Programs

In 2005, the Board approved the existing fees for the Milk and Dairy Program. The proposed fees would provide an estimated 9% increase and approximately \$51,481 in revenue, which will bring the

Milk and Dairy Program within one percent (1%) of budget. The Department has a long history of working with producers to be the best dairy county in California.

LANDFILL

The Fink Road Landfill is an enterprise fund that is fully funded through the collection of tipping fees. The Board of Supervisors receives its authority for collecting disposal fees from Government Code Section 25823. Capital improvement costs are incorporated into the tipping fee calculations and the Department is proposing fee adjustments in two waste categories: hard-to-handle and special waste.

Prior to the year 2002, the Fink Road Landfill maintained in its rate structure a separate and higher disposal fee for materials that were considered hard-to-handle or required special handling. Materials in these categories include but are not limited to the following: tree stumps/logs, non-friable asbestos-containing waste, mobile homes/trailers, boats and treated wood waste.

The types of extra or "special" handling that these materials require are: needing to digress from a typical day's operation to prepare a special area (hole) for materials to be deposited into directly, immediately needing to cover the waste rather than waiting until the end of the day, taking extra care when dealing with items that could become wrapped around or cause damage to equipment during handling, and needing to crush the material using heavy equipment in order to make it manageable.

In November 2001, the Board lowered the tipping fee at the Waste-to-Energy (WTE) facility; an effort that was made possible due to higher than expected energy revenues. At that same time, the tipping fee was also lowered at the Landfill because there was a strong desire to keep the tipping fee at a rate equal to or higher than the tipping fee at the WTE facility as an incentive to keep waste that was more acceptable for burning out of the Landfill. For simplicity in the Landfill's rate structure, the hard-to-handle and special waste fees were also eliminated at that time making all general waste coming in to the Landfill charged at a flat fee of \$30 per ton.

In the summer of 2006, the valley experienced a severe heat wave that lasted for an extended period. The extreme heat significantly increased animal mortality during that period and the local rendering facilities were unable to keep up with the demand for their services. With a lack of places for the dead animals to go, land filling became the best option.

At that time, in order to accept the dead animals, a variance from the California Integrated Waste Management Board was obtained, however, it seemed that only Stanislaus County took this proactive step. Consequently, the Fink Road Landfill became the summer's unintended disposal site for truckloads of large dead animals and poultry for the entire San Joaquin Valley, taking in approximately 4,600 tons over a fairly short period of time. This figure amounts to 60% of the total tonnage of hard-to-handle and special wastes processed for all of 2006. This placed a significant burden upon Landfill staff and the operation as a whole. In addition, staff also learned that rendering facilities may have profited from this simply by transporting the dead animals to the Landfill because their removal fee was considerably higher than the County's disposal fee.

At this time, it seems prudent for Stanislaus County to reinstate a separate and higher fee for hard-tohandle and special wastes in order to recover its costs. The costs to manage these categories of wastes are nearly four times that of normal refuse; hence the proposed increase from \$30 per ton to \$100 per ton so as to eliminate the deficit.

Revenues generated by these two waste categories in the year 2007 were \$49,324 (1,644.14 tons x \$30/ton). Processing the waste in these categories, however, requires nearly four times more staff time. Charging only \$30/ton resulted in a loss of \$115,090 for the year. It is recommended that the tipping fee for these two waste categories be increased to \$100 per ton. The total estimated revenue (annualized) for the coming year based upon the 1,644 tons disposed in 2007, at the proposed \$100/ton fee would be \$164,414, allowing the department to recover program costs.

Staff is recommending that the fee structure be set such that all large/bulky items, and in particular, truckloads of hard-to-handle or special wastes be singled out from small loads (5 or fewer) of dead animals. In these cases, staff is recommending a fee of \$30 each for large dead animals and \$10 each for small dead animals.

CODE ENFORCEMENT

- Nuisance Abatement Program
- Mobile Home Park Enforcement Program
- Enforcement of No-Smoking Regulations
- Graffiti Abatement
- Abandon Vehicle Abatement
- Zoning Enforcement

Code Enforcement will continue striving to make communities safe and healthy through the removal of blight, and/or pursuit of any other non-permitted activity that negatively impacts the quality of life in Stanislaus County. At this time, program revenue is sufficient to support the current service level and no fee adjustments are proposed in the Code Enforcement Program.

PUBLIC HEARING

Prior to levying a new fee or increasing an existing fee, the County is required by Government Code Section 54985 *et seq.*, to hold a scheduled public hearing as part of a regularly scheduled meeting of the local agency.

ADOPTION OF FEE INCREASES

The Department would be able to perform inspections at a frequency that would protect health and safety and meet our legal mandates if the fees are adopted. The additional revenue is expected to enhance the Department's mission of ensuring a safe and healthy community.

REJECTION OF FEE INCREASES

The Department would not be able to maintain the existing level of service, and programs would be negatively impacted if the fees are not adopted. Service levels, such as response time to customer requests and complaints, would be affected in Environmental Health and Hazardous Materials programs.

The above-described program impacts have the potential to affect the health and safety of the public. The impacts would impede the Departments efforts to carry out and implement the Board's priorities.

If approved, these fee increases will correspond with Fiscal Year 2008-2009, and will become effective on July 01, 2008.

POLICY ISSUES:

An ordinance of the Board of Supervisors is required to increase or establish fees of the Department of Environmental Resources. Further, the Board should determine if approving staff's recommendations are consistent with its priorities of a safe community, a healthy community, a wellplanned infrastructure system and the efficient delivery of public services.

STAFFING IMPACT:

There is no staffing impact associated with this item.



DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3800 Cornucopia Way, Suite C Modesto, CA 95358-9492 Phone: 209.525.6700 Fax: 209.525.6774

For PROPOSED FEE INCREASE

STANISLAUS COUNTY AGRICULTURAL CENTER HARVEST HALL TUESDAY, MARCH 4, 2008 3:00 PM – 4:30 PM and 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM 3800 CORNUCOPIA WAY MODESTO, CA 95358

This notice is an invitation to attend a public meeting to discuss proposed revisions to the Stanislaus County Master Schedule of Fees for the Department of Environmental Resources (DER). The mission of DER is to promote a safe and healthy environment and improve the quality of life in our community. To achieve and maintain an appropriate level of protection, DER recognizes that it is necessary to have collaboration with the County's business community. It is the hope of this Department that you would make every effort to attend the upcoming workshop. Your input and expertise are valuable to our community to ensure that we accomplish this goal.

This workshop is being conducted prior to the Board of Supervisors approval of the fee proposal. The proposed fee adjustments are available for Environmental Resources at <u>www.stancounty.com/er/index.shtm</u>. Please let us know if you would like a copy mailed or faxed to you.

Please join our staff to discuss a new fee schedule.

If you have questions, please feel free to call 525-6700 (DER) or 837 4800 (Landfill). Your feedback is important to us. If you are not able to attend, you may submit any comments in writing to the attention of Denise Wood (for EH), Jim Simpson (for HM), Don Rowley (for Milk and Dairy), or Ron Grider (for Landfill) at the above listed address, or e-mail your comments to <u>erweb@envres.org</u>.

Hazardous Materials Fees Current Fee Proposed Fee Fee Description **Fiscal Year** Fee Fee Amount Adopted Amount Underground Storage Tank (UST) Program California Health and Safety 25404.5; California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Division 1, Subdivision 4, Chapter 1 UST Upgrades (5 Hours) 489.00 2006 WLR* (5 hr min) \$ \$ 495.00 UST (1-3 Tanks) 2006 \$ 522.00 UST (4-6 Tanks) \$ 610.00 2006 \$ 644.00 \$ \$ 899.00 UST (7+ Tanks) 852.00 2006 \$ 2006 UST Transfer (2.5 Hours) 244.00 WLR (2.5 hr min) UST Closure (6 Hours) \$ 586.00 2006 WLR (6 hr min) \$ UST Installation Inspection (10 Hours) 977.00 2006 WLR (10 hr min) UST Plan Review (3 Hours) \$ 293.00 2006 WLR (7 hr min) Justified Complaint WLR (1 hr min) 2006 No Change *WLR is \$103.00

Hazardous Materials Fees

		Current	t Fee	P	roposed Fee
Fee Description		Fee	Fiscal Year		
	Amount		Adopted	Fee Amount	
Medical Waste Program					
California Health and Safety Code 117825					
Large Generator (>200 Pounds/Month)	\$	263.00	2006	\$	277.00
Small Generator (<200 Pounds/Month)	\$	131.00	2006	\$	138.00
Specialty Clinic	\$	446.00	2006	\$	471.00
Primary Care Clinic	\$	446.00	2006	\$	471.00
Licensed Clinical Lab	\$	263.00	2006	\$	277.00
Limited Quantity Hauling Exemption	\$	131.00	2006	\$	138.00
Common Storage Facility (1-10 Generator Facility)	\$	131.00	2006	\$	138.00
Common Storage Facility (11-49 Generator Facility)	\$	336.00	2006	\$	354.00
Common Storage Facility (50+ Generators Facility)	\$	656.00	2006	\$	692.00
General Acute Care Facility (1-99 Beds)	\$	782.00	2006	\$	825.00
General Acute Care Facility (100-199 Beds)	\$	1,134.00	2006	\$	1,196.00
General Acute Care Facility (200-250 Beds)	\$	1,481.00	2006	\$	1,562.00
General Acute Care Facility (251+ Beds)	\$	1,901.00	2006	\$	2,006.00
Acute Psychiatric Hospital	\$	263.00	2006	\$	277.00
Intermediate Care Facility	\$	394.00	2006	\$	416.00
Skilled Nursing Facility (1-99 Beds)	\$	357.00	2006	\$	377.00
Skilled Nursing Facility (100-199 Beds)	\$	446.00	2006	\$	471.00
Skilled Nursing Facility (200+ Beds)	\$	525.00	2006	\$	554.00
Health Care Service Plan Facility	\$	446.00	2006	\$	471.00
Medical Waste Transfer Facility	\$	656.00	2006	\$	692.00
Veterinary Clinic Or Hospital	\$	263.00	2006	\$	277.00
Justified Complaint	WL	R* (1 hr min)	2006		No Change
*WLR is \$103.00					

Hazar	dous Materia	als Fees		
Current Fee				Proposed Fee
Fee Description		Fee Fiscal Year Amount Adopted		Fee Amount
Hazardous Material Disclosure				
California Health and Safety 25404.5; Califor	nia Code of	Regulations	, Title 27, Divis	ion 1, Subdivision
4, Chapter 1				
Business Plan (1-4 Chemicals)	\$	127.00	2004	No Change
Business Plan (5-10 Chemicals)	\$	180.00	2004	No Change
Business Plan (11-16 Chemicals)	\$	200.00	2004	No Change
Business Plan (17-30 Chemicals)	\$	575.00	2004	No Change

- -

-

		Current	Proposed Fee	
Fee Description		Fee	Fiscal Year	Fee Amount
		mount	Adopted	ree Amount
Hazardous Material Disclosure Program - C	ontinu	ed		
Business Plan (≥ 31 Chemicals)	\$	717.00	2004	No Change
Acutely Hazardous Gases (0-999 Pounds)	\$	185.00	2004	No Change
Acutely Hazardous Gases (1,000-20,000 Pounds)	\$	448.00	2004	No Change
Acutely Hazardous Gases (≥ 20,000 Pounds)	\$	527.00	2004	No Change
Acutely Hazardous Solids/Liquids (0-1,999 Pounds Or				
Gallons)	\$	185.00	2004	No Change
Acutely Hazardous Solids/Liquids (2,000-10,000				
Pounds Or Gallons)	\$	264.00	2004	No Change
Acutely Hazardous Solids/Liquids (≥10,000 Pounds Or				
Gallons)	\$	395.00	2004	No Change
Justified Complaint	WLF	(1 hr min)	2006	No Change

Hazardous Materials Fees

		Curren	Proposed Fee			
Fee Description		Fee	Fiscal Year	Ess Amount		
		Amount Ado		Fee Amount		
Farm Disclosure Program						
California Health and Safety 25404.5; California Co	de of R	egulations,	Title 27, Divisi	on 1, Subdivision 4,		
Chapter 1						
Inspection	\$	28.00	1996	No Change		

Hazardous Materials Fees

	Current Fee			F	Proposed Fee	
Fee Description	Fee Amount		Fiscal Year Adopted		Fee Amount	
Tiered Permitting Program						
California Health and Safety 25404.5; California Cod	e of l	Regulations,	Title 27, Divis	ion 1	, Subdivision 4,	
Chapter 1						
Permit by Rule	\$	700.00	1998	\$	738.50	
Conditional Authorization	\$	700.00	1998	\$	738.50	
Conditional Exempt	\$	90.00	2006	\$	95.00	

Hazardous Materials Fees

	Currer	Current Fee			
Fee Description	Fee	Fiscal Year	Fee Amount		
	Amount	Adopted	ree Amount		
Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Ge					
California Health and Safety 25404.5; California Code	of Regulations	, Title 27, Divisio	on 1, Subdivision 4,		
Chapter 1					
Dry Cleaning Waste					
	\$1.73 Per		\$1.83		
Filters	Pound	2006	Per Pound		
	\$0.72 Per		\$0.76		
Oil	Pound	2006	Per Pound		
	\$0.85 Per		\$0.90		
Water	Pound	2006	Per Pound		
Paint Waste					
	\$0.26 Per		\$0.27		
Latex	Pound	2006	Per Pound		
	\$0.88 Per		\$0.93		
Oil Base	Pound	2006	Per Pound		

Hazardous M	aterials Fees			
	Curren	Proposed Fee		
Fee Description	Fee	Fiscal Year	Fee Amount	
	Amount	Adopted	ree Amount	
Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity General	tor (CESQG)/Gen	erator Program	n - Continued	
	\$0.25 Per		\$0.26	
AntiFreeze Waste	Pound	2006	Per Pound	
	\$0.25 Per		\$0.26	
Oil Filters	Pound	2006	Per Pound	
PCB Ballast	\$5.00 Each	2006	\$5.28 Each	
Car Batteries	No Fee	2005	No Change	
	\$4.00		\$4.22	
Paint Booth Filters	Per Pound	2006	Per Pound	
Waste Oil	\$0.09 Per Pound 2005		No Change	
Misc. Waste	\$0.00 T OF T OUTO	2000		
(1-50 Pounds)	\$ 16.80	2006	\$ 17.72	
(51-100 Pounds)		2006	\$ 36.93	
(101-150 Pounds)		2006	\$ 62.03	
(151-220 Pounds)	\$ 84.00	2006	\$ 88.62	
Straight Fluorescent Lamp	New	New	\$ 0.25 / Foot	
Compact / Small Lamp	New	New	\$0.60 Each	
U-Tube and Circular Lamp	New	New	\$3.00 Each	
High Intensity Discharge Lamp (HID)	New	New	\$3.00 Each	
CESQG (< 220 Pounds/Month)	\$ 104.00	2006	\$ 110.00	
CESQG Who Recycles	\$ 52.00	2006	\$ 55.00	
Small Quantity Gen. (< 2,220 Pounds/Month)	\$ 328.00	2006	\$ 346.00	
Small Gen. Who Recycles	\$ 173.00	2006	\$ 183.00	
Large Quantity Generator (> 2,220 Pounds/Month)	\$ 753.00	2006	\$ 794.00	
Large Gen. Who Recycles	\$ 575.00	2006	\$ 607.00	
*WLR is \$103.00				

Hazardous Materials Fees

Hazardous Materials Fees

	Curren	Proposed Fee	
Fee Description	Amount		Fee Amount
Miscellaneous Fees			
California Health and Safety Code 101325; California	a Water Code 138	01	
Reinspections	WLR*	2003	WLR (1 hr min)
Plan Review	WLR	1992	WLR (1 hr min)
Administrative Office Hearing	WLR	2006	WLR (1 hr min)
Monitoring Well Permits (Site Mitigation Program) First			
Well	\$ 75.00	2003	No Change
Each Well Thereafter	\$ 35.00	2003	No Change
Monitoring Wells Plan Reviews/Permits (Other			
Programs)	WLR	2003	WLR (1 hr min)
Subdivision Review	WLR (8 hr min)	2003	No Change
*WLR is \$103.00	·····		

•		Curren	Pro	Proposed Fee	
		Fiscal Year Adopted	Ερο Δηγ		
Food Program					
California Health and Safety Code 113920; Califor	nia Cod	e of Regulat	ions, Title 17,	1276	
Food Bank/Food Pantry	\$	152.00	2006	\$	160.00
Food Establishment Prepackage Non-Potentially					
Hazardous Food	\$	101.00	2006	\$	107.00

Environmenta	ппе	Current	Proposed Fee		
Fee Description		Fee	Fiscal Year		oposeu ree
		Amount	Adopted	F	ee Amount
Food Program - Continued		Amount	Adopted		
Food Establishment Category 3	\$	406.00	2006	\$	428.00
Food Establishment Category 3 Reduced Fee Incentive		400.00	2000	⊢ Ψ	420.00
Program "B"	\$	305.00	2006	\$	322.00
Food Establishment Category 3 Seasonal	\$	303.00	2006	\$	320.00
Food Establishment Category 2A: 0-2000 sq. ft. or 0-20	Ť.	000.00	2000	T	020.00
seats	\$	556.00	2006	\$	587.00
Food Establishment Category 2A Reduced Fee	–			<u> </u>	
Incentive Program "A"		New	New	\$	382.00
Food Establishment Category 2A Reduced Fee					
Incentive Program "B"		New	New	\$	440.00
Food Establishment Category 2B: 2001-6000 sq. ft. or					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21-50 seats	\$	568.00	2006	\$	599.00
Food Establishment Category 2B Reduced Fee					
Incentive Program "A"		New	New	\$	389.00
Food Establishment Category 2B Reduced Fee					
Incentive Program "B"		New	New	\$	449.00
Food Establishment Category 2C: 6001-15000 sq. ft. or					
51-100 seats	\$	619.00	2006	\$	653.00
Food Establishment Category 2C Reduced Fee					
Incentive Program "A"		New	New	\$	424.00
Food Establishment Category 2C Reduced Fee					
Incentive Program "B"		New	New	\$	490.00
Food Establishment Category 2D: 15000 sq. ft. or					
Over, or 101 seats or Over	\$	623.00	2006	\$	657.00
Food Establishment Category 2D Reduced Fee					
Incentive Program "A"		New	New	\$	427.00
Food Establishment Category 2D Reduced Fee					
Incentive Program "B"		New	New	\$	493.00
Food Establishment Category 2E: 0-2000 sq. ft. or 0-					
20 seats With Drive Thru, Take Out, or Delivery	\$	592.00	2006	\$	625.00
Food Establishment Category 2E Reduced Fee					
Incentive Program "A"		New	New	\$	406.00
Food Establishment Category 2E Reduced Fee					100.00
Incentive Program "B"		New	New	\$	469.00
Food Establishment Category 2F: 2001-6000 sq. ft. or		500.00	0000		004.00
21-50 seats With Drive Thru, Take Out, or Delivery	\$	598.00	2006	\$	631.00
Food Establishment Category 2F Reduced Fee		Now	New	•	410.00
Incentive Program "A" Food Establishment Category 2F Reduced Fee		New	New	\$	410.00
Incentive Program "B"		New	New	\$	473.00
Food Establishment Category 2 Reduced Fee Incentive		INEW	INEW	₽	473.00
Program "A"	\$	394.00	2006	İ	Delete
Food Establishment Category 2 Reduced Fee Incentive		334.00	2000		Delete
Program "B"	\$	455.00	2006		Delete
Food Establishment Category 2 Caterer Using Rental	<u> </u> ♥	100.00	2000		
Kitchen	\$	354.00	2006	\$	373.00
Category 1 A: 0-2000 sq. ft. or 0-20 seats	\$	759.00	2006	\$	801.00
Food Establishment Category 1A Reduced Fee	۲, T			Ť	
Incentive Program "A"		New	New	\$	521.00
Food Establishment Category 1A Reduced Fee				†	
Incentive Program "B"		New	New	\$	601.00
Category 1 B: 2001-6000 sq. ft. or 21-50 seats	\$	771.00	2006	\$	813.00

(Curren	t Fee	Proposed Fee
		Floposeu i ee
		Fee Amount
Amount	Adopted	
New	New	\$ 528.00
		+
New	New	\$ 610.00
\$ 811.00	2006	\$ 856.00
New	New	\$ 556.00
New	New	\$ 642.00
\$ 837.00	2006	\$ 883.00
New	New	\$ 574.00
New	New	\$ 662.00
\$ 789.00	2006	\$ 832.00
New	New	\$ 541.00
New	New	\$ 624.00
¢ 004.00	0000	¢ 045.00
\$ 801.00	2006	\$ 845.00
Nous	New	¢ 540.00
New	New	\$ 549.00
New	New	\$ 634.00
	INEW	φ 034.00
	2006	Delete
φ 020.00	2000	Delete
\$ 607.00	2006	Delete
• • • • • • •		0.000
\$ 406.00	2006	\$ 428.00
		\$ 428.00
		\$ 320.00
		\$ 89.00
		\$ 211.00
\$ 398.00	2006	\$ 420.00
\$ 598.00	2006	\$ 631.00
\$ 798.00	2006	\$ 842.00
	2006	\$ 1,114.00
		\$ 214.00
		\$ 480.00
		\$ 53.00
		\$ 26.00
		\$ 214.00
		\$ 214.00
		\$ 214.00
		\$ 107.00
		\$ 53.00
•	2006	\$ 100.00
	2003	No Change
		\$ 695.00
	\$ 811.00 New New \$ 837.00 New New \$ 837.00 New New \$ 789.00 New New \$ 789.00 New Sew \$ 801.00 New Sew \$ 607.00 \$ 406.00 \$ 303.00 \$ 406.00 \$ 98.00 \$ 998.00 \$ 998.00 \$ 998.00 \$ 998.00 \$ 998.00 \$ 998.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 900.00 </td <td>Amount Adopted New New 811.00 2006 New New New New New New New New \$ 837.00 2006 New New S 607.00 2006 \$ 607.00 2006 \$ 607.00 2006 \$ 607.00 2006 \$ 406.00 2006 \$ 406.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 406.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006<</td>	Amount Adopted New New 811.00 2006 New New New New New New New New \$ 837.00 2006 New New S 607.00 2006 \$ 607.00 2006 \$ 607.00 2006 \$ 607.00 2006 \$ 406.00 2006 \$ 406.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 406.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006 \$ 303.00 2006<

	Current	t Fee	Proposed Fee			
Fee Description	Fee	Fiscal Year				
	Amount	Adopted	Fee Amount			
Food Program - Continued						
Food Handler's Class	New	New	\$ 103.00			
Food Handler's Class-Voluntary	\$ 69.00	2006	Delete			
Food Handler's Class-Enforcement	\$ 138.00	2006	Delete			
Food Handler's Class-Permit Required	\$ 92.00	2006	Delete			
Food Certification Class	\$ 115.00	2006	No Change			
HACCP Review	New	New	WLR (2 hr min)			
Plan Check	WLR (6 hr min)	2003	No Change			
Construction - New, Major Remodel Of Food Facility -						
Prepackaged Food Only	WLR (2 hr min)	2003	No Change			
Construction - New, Major Remodel Of Food Facility -						
Preparation Without Hood (< 2,000 sq.ft.)	WLR (2 hr min)	2003	No Change			
Construction - New, Major Remodel Of Food Facility -						
Preparation Without Hood (2,001-6,000 sq.ft.)	WLR (2 hr min)	2003	No Change			
Construction - New, Major Remodel Of Food Facility-						
Preparation Without Hood (6,001-15,000 sq.ft.)	WLR (3 hr min)	2003	No Change			
Construction - New, Major Remodel Of Food Facility -						
Preparation Without Hood (15,001 sq.ft. or more)	WLR (3 hr min)	2003	No Change			
Construction - New, Major Remodel Of Food Facility -						
Preparation With Hood (< 2,000 sq.ft.)	WLR (3 hr min)	2003	No Change			
Construction - New, Major Remodel Of Food Facility -						
Preparation With Hood (2,001-6,000 sq.ft.)	WLR (3 hr min)	2003	No Change			
Construction - New, Major Remodel Of Food Facility-						
Preparation With Hood (6,001-15,000 sq.ft.)	WLR (4 hr min)	2003	No Change			
Construction - New, Major Remodel Of Food Facility -						
Preparation With Hood (15,001 sq.ft. or more)	WLR (5 hr min)	2003	No Change			
Minor Remodel Less Than 25% Food Service Area Or						
Equipment	WLR (2 hr min)	2003	No Change			
Mobile Food Facility Plan or Operational Procedure						
Review	New	New	WLR (2 hr min)			
Food Facility Operating Without A Valid Permit	\$ 309.00	2006	\$ 600.00			
Reopening Following DER Closure	WLR (1 hr min)	2005	WLR (2 hr min)			
Justified Complaint	WLR (1 hr min)	2005	No Change			
*WLR is \$103.00						

	Curren	Proposed Fee	
Fee Description	Fee Amount	Fiscal Year Adopted	Fee Amount
Recreational Health		•	
California Health and Safety Code 101325; California	a Code of Regulat	tions Title 17, 1	276
Public Pool/Spa Single	\$ 242.00	2006	\$ 255.00
Public Pool/Spa Additional - Each (Same Site)	\$ 169.00	2006	\$ 178.00
Water Slide	\$ 242.00	2006	\$ 255.00
Plan Check	WLR* (6 hr min)	2003	No Change
Public Pool Construction (<1,000 Gallons)	WLR (2 hr min)	2003	No Change
Public Pool Construction (1,001 - 20,000 Gallons)	WLR (3 hr min)	2003	No Change
Public Pool Construction (20,001 Gallons Or More)	WLR (4 hr min)	2003	No Change
Plan Check/Remodel	WLR (3 hr min)	2003	No Change
Plan Check - Over The Counter Clearance	\$ 49.00	2006	\$ 52.00
Reopening Following DER Closure	WLR (1 hr min)	2003	WLR (2 hr min)
Justified Complaint	WLR (1 hr min)	2003	No Change
*WLR is \$103.00			

Environmenta		Duran and East	
	Current Fee		Proposed Fee
Fee Description	Fee	Fiscal Year	Fee Amount
	Amount	Adopted	
Water Program			A 11/2 1 11/2 /
California Health and Saftey Code 116565, 116570, 1	16577, 116580, 11	6585, 116595;	California Water
Code 13801; Health and Safety Code 113920			
Water System Technical, Managerial, and Financial		0000	
Review	WLR* (3 hr min)	2003	No Change
Water System Permit Issuance	WLR (3 hr min)	2006	No Change
Permit Amendment	WLR (5 hr min)	2003	No Change
Community 25+ Residents	\$ 606.00	2006	Delete
Community (15-24 Service Connections)	\$ 550.00	2006	Delete
Community (25-99 Service Connections)	\$ 838.00	2006	Delete
Community (100-199 Service Connections)	\$ 1,030.00	2006	Delete
Non-Community Water System	\$ 744.00		Delete
State Small	\$ 382.00	2006	Delete
Non-Transient Non-Community	\$ 744.00	2006	Delete
Community Single Source No Treatment (1A)	New	New	\$ 900.00
Community Single Source No Treatment Incentive			
Program "A" (1AA)	New	New	\$ 650.00
Community Single Source No Treatment Incentive			
Program "B" (1AB)	New	New	\$ 750.00
Community Single Source Treatment (1B)	New	New	\$ 1,000.00
Community Single Source Treatment Incentive			
Program "A" (1BA)	New	New	\$ 750.00
Community Single Source Treatment Incentive			
Program "B" (1BB)	New	New	\$ 850.00
Community Multiple Source No Treatment (1C)	New	New	\$ 1,200.00
Community Multiple Source No Treatment Incentive			
Program "A" (1CA)	New	New	\$ 950.00
Community Multiple Source No Treatment Incentive	News	New	¢ 4.050.00
Program "B" (1CB)	New	New	\$ 1,050.00 \$ 1,300.00
Community Multiple Source Treatment (1D)	New	New	\$ 1,300.00
Community Multiple Source Treatment Incentive	New	News	¢ 1.050.00
Program "A" (1DA)	New	New	\$ 1,050.00
Community Multiple Source Treatment Incentive	Now	New	¢ 1 150 00
Program "B" (1DB)	New	New	\$ 1,150.00
Non-Transient Non-Community Single Source No	New	New	¢ 950.00
Treatment (2A) Non-Transient Non-Community Single Source No	New	New	\$ 850.00
Treatment Incentive Program "A" (2AA)	New	New	\$ 600.00
Non-Transient Non-Community Single Source No	INEW	INEW	φ 600.00
Treatment Incentive Program "B" (2AB)	New	New	\$ 700.00
Non-Transient Non-Community Single Source	INEW	INCAN	\$ 700.00
	New	New	\$ 950.00
Treatment (2B) Non-Transient Non-Community Single Source	IICIA	14044	φ <u>300.00</u>
Treatment Incentive Program "A" (2BA)	New	New	\$ 700.00
Non-Transient Non-Community Single Source	11014	11044	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Treatment Incentive Program "B" (2BB)	New	New	\$ 800.00
Non-Transient Non-Community Multiple Source No	IICW	New	φ 000.00
Treatment (2C)	New	New	\$ 1,150.00
Non-Transient Non-Community Multiple Source No	Itew	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	φ 1,100.00
Treatment Incentive Program "A" (2CA)	New	New	\$ 900.00
Non-Transient Non-Community Multiple Source No	IICVV	IICAA	ψ 300.00
Treatment Incentive Program "B" (2CB)	New	New	\$ 1,000.00
Non-Transient Non-Community Multiple Source	14044	1404	φ 1,000.00
· · ·	New	New	\$ 1,250.00
Treatment (2D) Non-Transient Non-Community Multiple Source		INGM	φ 1,200.00
	New	New	\$ 1,000.00
Treatment Incentive Program "A" (2DA)	INGM	INGM	φ 1,000.00

Environmenta	al Health Fees			
	Curren		Proposed Fee	
Fee Description	Fee Amount	Fiscal Year Adopted	Fee Amount	
Water Program - Continued				
Non-Transient Non-Community Multiple Source				
Treatment Incentive Program "B" (2DB)	New	New	\$ 1,100.00	
Transient Non-Community Single Source No			φ 1,100.00	
Treatment (3A)	New	New	\$ 750.00	
Transient Non-Community Single Source No	1101	11011	ψ 100.00	
Treatment Incentive Program "A" (3AA)	New	New	\$ 500.00	
Transient Non-Community Single Source No			¥ 000.00	
Treatment Incentive Program "B" (3AB)	New	New	\$ 600.00	
Transient Non-Community Single Source Treatment			+	
(3B)	New	New	\$ 850.00	
Transient Non-Community Single Source Treatment			+	
Incentive Program "A" (3BA)	New	New	\$ 600.00	
Transient Non-Community Single Source Treatment			+	
Incentive Program "B" (3BB)	New	New	\$ 700.00	
Transient Non-Community Multiple Source No				
Treatment (3C)	New	New	\$ 1,050.00	
Transient Non-Community Multiple Source No			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Treatment Incentive Program "A" (3CA)	New	New	\$ 800.00	
Transient Non-Community Multiple Source No				
Treatment Incentive Program "B" (3CB)	New	New	\$ 900.00	
Transient Non-Community Multiple Source Treatment				
(3D)	New	New	\$ 1,150.00	
Transient Non-Community Multiple Source Treatment				
Incentive Program "A" (3DA)	New	New	\$ 900.00	
Transient Non-Community Multiple Source Treatment				
Incentive Program "B" (3DB)	New	New	\$ 1,000.00	
State Small Single Source No Treatment (4A)	New	New	\$ 550.00	
State Small Single Source No Treatment Incentive				
Program "A" (4AA)	New	New	\$ 300.00	
State Small Single Source No Treatment Incentive			• • • • • • • •	
Program "B" (4AB)	New	New	\$ 400.00 \$ 750.00	
State Small Single Source Treatment (4B)	New	New	\$ 750.00	
State Small Single Source Treatment Incentive	Now	Now	¢ 500.00	
Program "A" (4BA) State Small Single Source Treatment Incentive	New	New	\$ 500.00	
Program "B" (4BB)	New	New	¢ 600.00	
Water Samples	\$ 104.00	2006	\$ 600.00 \$ 110.00	
Well Permits Private	\$ 548.00	2006	\$ 578.00	
Well Modification Private	\$ 210.00	2006	\$ 222.00	
Well Destruction	\$ 294.00	2006	\$ 310.00	
Well Destruction Without A Permit	\$ 491.00	2006	\$ 581.00	
Concurrent Well Installation And Well Destruction -	•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
One Inspection Only	\$ 548.00	2006	\$ 578.00	
Well Call-Back	WLR	2003	No Change	
Well Permits Public	\$ 548.00	2006	\$ 578.00	
Well Modification Public	\$ 421.00	2006	\$ 444.00	
Well Installation Without a Valid Permit	\$ 670.00	2006	\$ 707.00	
Dry Well Permit	\$ 210.00	2006	\$ 222.00	
Dry Well Permit, 2 Or More, Installed Concurrently,				
Same Property	WLR (3 hr min)	2006	No Change	
Dry Well Destruction	\$ 351.00	2006	\$ 370.00	
Dry Well Destruction, 2 Or More, Destroyed				
Concurrently, Same Property	WLR (3 hr min)	2006	No Change	
Consultation	WLR	2003	No Change	
CURFFL Water System Level 1	\$ 292.00	2006	Delete	

	Curren	Proposed Fee		
Fee Description	Fee Fiscal Year Amount Adopted		Fee Amount	
Water Program - Continued				
CURFFL Water System Level 2	\$ 195.00	2006	Delete	
Food Code Water System Level 1	New	New	\$ 308.00	
Food Code Water System Level 2	New	New	\$ 206.00	
Enforcement	WLR	2003	WLR (6 hr mn)	
Public Water System Violation	WLR	2006	WLR (2 hr mn)	
Variance/Exemption/Waiver	WLR	2003	No Change	
Plan Review	WLR	2003	No Change	
Maximum Contaminant Level Violations	WLR (1 hr min)	2003	No Change	
Justified Complaint	WLR (1 hr min)	2003	No Change	
*WLR is \$103.00				

	Current Fee			Proposed Fee		
Fee Description		Fee	Fiscal Year		Fee Amount	
		Amount	Adopted		ree Amount	
Liquid Waste Program						
California Health and Safety Code 101325; C	alifo	ornia Code of	Regulations, 1	「itle	17, 1276	
Septic Pumper Truck-1st Truck	\$	126.00	2006	\$	133.00	
Septic Pumper Truck-Additional Trucks	\$	64.00 Each	2006		\$67.00 Each	
Operating Without A Valid Permit	\$	309.00	2006	\$	326.00	
Sewage Treatment Operation Permit	\$	150.00	2003		No Change	
New Installation of Septic/Primary/Secondary Onsite						
Wastewater Treatment System (Building Permit)	\$	507.00	2006	\$	535.00	
Replacement of Septic/Primary/Secondary On-site						
Wastewater Treatment System	\$	507.00	2006	\$	535.00	
Septic/Primary/Secondary On-site Wastewater						
Treatemnt System Repair	\$	322.00	2006	\$	340.00	
Septic/Primary/Secondary Onsite Wastewater						
Treatment System Modification		New	New	\$	340.00	
Septic/Primary/Secondary Onsite Wastewater						
Treatment System Installation/Repair Without A Valid		Double Fee	2006		No Change	
Septic Tank Destruction Independent Of Installation	\$	216.00	2006	\$	228.00	
Septic Tank Destruction Without a Valid Permit	\$	326.00	2006	\$	344.00	
Call Back		WLR*	2003		No Change	
Justified Complaint		WLR	2003		No Change	
Site Evaluation/Consultation		WLR	2003		No Change	
Plan Check	W	LR (2 hr min)	2003		No Change	
*WLR is \$103.00						

Environmental Health Fees	
---------------------------	--

		Current Fee			roposed Fee
Fee Description		Fee	Fiscal Year		ee Amount
· · ·		Amount	Adopted		-ee Amount
Land Use Program					
California Health and Safety Code 101325; C	Califo	rnia Code of	Regulations, 1	itle 1	7, 1276
Land Use Fee Minor	\$	150.00	2006	\$	160.00
Land Use Fee Major	\$	390.00	2006	\$	410.00
Subdivision/ Project Road Improvement Plan Review		New	New	\$	50.00
Building Permit/Review Permit	\$	35.00	2006	\$	40.00
Subdivision Review	WLI	R* (8 hr min)	2003		No Change
*WLR is \$103.00					

Current Fe		nt Fee	Proposed Fee	
Fee Description	Fee Fiscal Year Amount Adopted		Fee Amount	
Housing Program				
Health and Safety Code 17910 et seq., 101325 t through 50022.8, 53069.4, 54895; Stanislaus Co	•		845, 50022.1	
Inspection/Enforcement	WLR*	2004	No Change	
*WLR is \$103.00				

Environment	al Health Fees		
	Curre	Proposed Fee	
Fee Description	Fee Amount	Fiscal Year Adopted	Fee Amount
Employee Camps Program			
Health and Safety Code 17910 et seq., 101325 throu	•		

through 50022.8, 53069.4, 54895; Stanislaus County Ordinance 2.92, (LEA = Local Enforcement Agency)

\$	45.00	2004	No Change
\$	12.00	2004	No Change
\$	20.00	2004	No Change
Dou	ble Normal		
Pe	rmit Fees	2006	No Change
10	X Normal		
Pe	rmit Fees	2006	No Change
\$	20.00	2004	No Change
\$	178.00	2006	No Change
\$	41.00	2006	No Change
\$	196.00	2006	No Change
\$	41.00	2006	No Change
	\$ Dou Pei 10 Pei \$ \$	\$ 12.00 \$ 20.00 Double Normal Permit Fees 10 X Normal Permit Fees \$ 20.00 \$ 178.00 \$ 41.00 \$ 196.00	\$ 12.00 2004 \$ 20.00 2004 Double Normal Permit Fees 2006 10 X Normal Permit Fees 2006 \$ 20.00 2004 \$ 20.00 2004 \$ 178.00 2006 \$ 41.00 2006 \$ 196.00 2006

		Curren	Pr	oposed Fee	
Fee Description		Fee	Fiscal Year	E. A.	
		Amount	Adopted		ee Amount
Administration			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
California Health and Safety Code 1013	25; Califo	rnia Code of	Regulations, 1	fitle 1	7, 1276
Application Fee	\$	50.00	2006	\$	53.00
Revoked Permit Reinstatement	D	ouble Fee	2003		No Change
Reinspection #2	\$	119.00	2006	\$	126.00
Reinspection #3	\$	299.00	2006	\$	315.00
Reinspection #4	\$	598.00	2006	\$	631.00
Permit Sticker Replacement	\$	50.00	2006	\$	53.00
Permit Amendment	\$	50.00	2006	\$	53.00
Change Of Ownership	\$	50.00	2006	\$	53.00
Consultation		WLR*	2005		No Change
After-Hours Inspection		WLR	2003		No Change
	WL	.R (6 hr min			
After-Hours Rush Plan Review	F	olus 50%)	2004		No Change
Administrative Office Hearing		WLR	2003		/LR (6 hr min)
Justified complaint		WLR	2003		No Change
*WLR is \$103.00				-	

Milk an	d Dairy F	ees			
		Current Fee			ed Fee
Fee Description		Fee	Fiscal Year	Fee Amount	
	A	mount	Adopted		nount
Milk and Dairy Program Fees					
Food and Agriculture Code, Division 15, Chapter	4, Article	8, 33251-33	3265		
	\$.00	8/Gal up to		\$.00875/G	al up to a
Grade A Inspection / per quarter	a ma	ax \$360.00	2005	max \$3	93.75
		8/Gal up to		\$.00875/G	al up to a
Grade B Inspection / per quarter	a ma	ax \$176.00	2005	max \$1	92.50
Grade A Goat Inspection / per quarter	\$	360.00	2005	\$	393.75
Grade B Goat Inspection / per quarter	\$	176.00	2005	\$	192.50
				WLR (6	hr min)
Ownership Change Fee	\$	507.00	2005	(\$618	min)
				WLR (10) hr min)
Plan Check Fee	\$	845.00	2003	(\$1030) mn)
				WLR (3	hr min)
Antibiotics	\$	254.25	2003	(\$309	mn)
*WLR is \$103.00					

Code Ei	nforcement Fees	t Eas	Proposed Fee	
Fee Description		Current Fee		
Fee Description	Fee	Fiscal Year	Fee Amount	
	Amount	Adopted	T CC Amount	
Code Enforcement Program	n			
Government Code 25845; Stanislaus County Or	dinance 2.92.070			
Nuisance Abatement Recovery	WLR*	2003	No Change	
Nuisance Abatement Hearing	WLR	2003	No Change	
Incidental Costs - Fees To Other Agencies	Actual Cost	2003	No Change	
Nuisance Abatement - 1st Violation Citation	\$ 100.00	2003	No Change	
Nuisance Abatement - 2nd Violation Citation	\$ 200.00	2003	No Change	
Nuisance Abatement - 3rd Violation Citation	\$ 400.00	2003	No Change	
Justified Complaint - Surfacing Sewage	WLR	2003	Delete	
Graffiti Abatement - Incidental Costs	Actual Cost	1994	No Change	
*WLR is \$103.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

	Current Fee				
Fee Description	Fee	Fiscal Year	Proposed Fee		
	Amount	Adopted	Fee Amount		
Mobilehome Park Program	44 W				
Health and Safety Code 18200 et seq., 18860 et seq.,					
50022.1 through 50022.8, 53069.4, 54895; CCR Title 2	25 1004.5, 1008,	1009, 1014, 1017	7, 2004.5, 2008,		
2009, 2014, 2017; SCC 2.92, 16.100			-		
MHP Permit to Operate State Fee (2-19)	\$ 40.0	2005	No Change		
MHP Permit to Operate State Fee (20-49)	\$ 75.0	0 2005	No Change		
MHP Permit to Operate State Fee (50-99)	\$ 175.0	0 2005	No Change		
MHP Permit to Operate State Fee (100-249)	\$ 400.0	0 2005	No Change		
MHP Permit to Operate State Fee (250-499)	\$ 800.0	0 2005	No Change		
MHP Permit to Operate State Fee (500 or more)	\$ 1,600.0	0 2005	No Change		
MHP Permit State Late Penalty Fee - 30 Days	10% x Fees	2005	No Change		
MHP Permit State Late Penalty Fee - 60 Days	100% x Fees	2005	No Change		
MHP MHP/SOP Per Lot Fee - All Lots	\$ 2.0	0 2005	No Change		
MHP MHP Per MH Lot Fee - MH Lots Only	\$ 4.0	0 2005	No Change		
MHP Amended Permit to Operate Fee	\$ 10.0	0 2005	No Change		
MHP State Complaint Investigation - 2nd Reinspection					
Fee - 1st hour or any part	\$ 196.0	0 2006	No Change		
MHP State Complaint Investigation - 2nd Reinspection					
Fee - additional 1/2 hour or part	\$ 41.0	0 2006	No Change		

Code Enforcement Fees

		Curren	Proposed Fee	
Fee Description	Fee		Fiscal Year	Fee Amount
		Amount	Adopted	ree Amount
Mobilehome Park Program - Continu				
MHP State Enforcement Agency Technical Service Fee				
- 1st hour or any part	\$	196.00	2006	No Change
MHP Permit to Operate County Fee (2-99)	\$	50.00	2004	No Change
MHP Permit to Operate County Fee (100-249)	\$	100.00	2004	No Change
MHP Permit to Operate County Fee (250+)	\$	200.00	2004	No Change
MHP Permite to Operate Late Penalty Fee - 30 Days	· ·	0% x Fees	2004	No Change
MHP Permite to Operate Late Penalty Fee - 60 Days	1	00% x Fees	2004	No Change
*WLR is \$103.00				

Solid Waste M	anagem	ent Fees		
		Current	t Fee	Proposed Fee
Fee Description		Fee	Fiscal Year	Fee Amount
		mount	Adopted	
Solid Waste Management Program	<u>n</u>			
Government Code 54985				
Franchise Hauler Annual Permit Fee	\$	118.00	1991	No Change
	8%	of Gross		
Franchise Hauler Quarterly Gross Receipts	R	eciepts	1989	No Change
Industrial Hauler Annual Permit Fee	\$	118.00	1991	No Change
	8%	of Gross		
Industrial Hauler Quarterly Gross Receipts	R	eciepts	1989	No Change
Small Hauler Annual Permit Fee	\$	40.00	1992	No Change
Small Hauler Annual Truck Fee	\$25	per truck	1992	No Change
Food Processors Residue Use Site - Annual Permit	\$97.6	65 per hour	1985	No Change
Food Processors Monitoring Fee	\$33.2	9 per hour	1985	No Change
Food Processors By-Product Research Project -				
Tonnage	10 ce	nts per ton	2006	No Change
Oakdale Drop-Off (Bulky Items)	\$10	per load	2003	No Change
Miscellaneous				
Change of Ownership/Transfer	\$97.6	5 per hour	n/a	No Change
Inert Disposal/Land Reclamation Permit	\$	177.00	1991	No Change
Farm/Ranch Disposal Permit	\$	30.00	1991	No Change

	Current	Current Fee			
Fee Description	Fee	Fiscal Year	Fee Amount		
	Amount	Adopted	ree Amount		
Landfill			-		
Price by load					
		Adopted			
		11/6/01;			
Passenger cars, Station wagons, Blazers and Mini		Effective			
Vans	\$5.00 per load	1/1/02	No Change		
		Adopted			
		11/6/01;			
		Effective			
Pickups (without sideboards) and Full Size Vans	\$10.00 per load	1/1/02	No Change		
		Adopted			
		11/6/01;			
Two-wheel trailers (without sideboards - 8' Maximum		Effective			
lengh, 3' Maximum height	\$10.00 per load	1/1/02	No Change		

Landfil		· F	
	Curren		Proposed Fee
Fee Description	Fee Amount	Fiscal Year Adopted	Fee Amount
Landfill			
Price by load - Continued			
		Adopted	
		11/6/01;	
Four-wheel trailers (without sideboards - 8' Maximum		Effective	No Ohenne
length, 3' Maximum height Tires	15.00 per load	1/1/02	No Change
lires		Adopted	
		Adopted 11/6/01;	
		Effective	
Cor/pick up (9 or loss with or without rime)	\$1.00 each	1/1/02	No Chango
Car/pick-up (9 or less with or without rims)	\$1.00 each	Adopted	No Change
		11/6/01;	
		Effective	
Truck (9 or less with or without rims)	\$5.00 each	1/1/02	No Change
	\$3.00 Each	Adopted	No Change
		11/6/01;	
		Effective	
Tractor (with or without rims) - Smaller than 20.5 x 25	\$7.00 each	1/1/02	No Change
Tractor (with or without tims) - Smaller than 20.5 X 25	φ1.00 each	Adopted	No Change
		11/6/01;	
		Effective	
Earthmover Tires - 20.5 x 25 or larger	\$45.00 each	1/1/02	No Change
*Hauling 10 or more tires requires a Waste Tire Hauler's			
	r ennic issued by		
Price by the ton		Adopted	
Normal Refuse: Garbage, paper, lumber, bottles,	\$30.00 per ton	11/6/01;	
cans, small dead animals, household trash, garden	(See minimum	Effective	
refuse, demolition, construction debris	charge below)	1/1/02	No Change
		Adopted	\$100 per ton as
Non-Friable Asbestos: Taken only with approval	\$30.00 per ton	11/6/01;	noted under Hard-to-
letter from the Stanislaus County Department of	(with minimum	Effective	Handle (with a
Environmental Resources (see below)	fee of \$20.00)	1/1/02	minimum fee of \$20)
Hard-to-Handle (Bulk Material): RV trailers,			
mobilehomes, large loads of small dead animals, large dead animals, large plastic sheets, wire, bailing twine, tree stumps/logs & whole trees, treated wood waste, non-friable asbestos, large tanks, long/large pipe (over 4 feet), large chunks of concrete, boats, pontoons,			
large sheets of foam or aluminum siding, sensitive records, spoiled/damaged finished food products, and all other material in pieces over 4X4. Must call ahead to arrange an appointment.	\$30.00 per ton (with minimum fee of \$10.00)	Adopted 11/6/01; Effective 1/1/02	\$100.00 per ton or \$10.00 each for 5 or fewer small dead animals
Special Handling: Any material that has to have a	\$30.00 per ton	Adopted 11/6/01;	\$100.00 per ton* (*Loads of large dead animals require a written request from the Director of the Office of Emergency Services) or \$30.00 each** for 5 or fewer Lg. Dead animals (**Must be pre-
special hole dug and buried immediately. Must call to arrange an appointment.	(with minimum fee of \$20.00)	Effective 1/1/02	approved by landfill staff)

Landfill Fees Current Fee Proposed Fe				
		Current Fee		
Fee Description	Fee	Fiscal Year	Fee Amount	
	Amount	Adopted		
Landfill		·	<u></u>	
Price by the ton - Continued				
		Adopted		
	\$5.00 per ton	11/6/01;		
Solid Material (Inert): Dirt, rock, gravel, concrete,	(See minimum	Effective		
asphalt, plaster, brick, roofing, tile and sheetrock	charge below)	1/1/02	No Change	
		Adopted		
		11/6/01;		
Tires: Car/pick-up and Truck Only (10 or more - with		Effective		
or without rims)	\$100.00 per ton	1/1/02	No Change	
		Adopted		
		5/1/01;		
		Effective		
Waste-to-Energy Ash	\$16.00 per ton	6/1/01	No Change	
Minimum Charge:				
		Adopted		
		11/6/01;		
		Effective		
Trucks or Two-wheel trailers	\$10.00 per load	1/1/02	No Change	
		Adopted		
		11/6/01;		
		Effective		
Four -wheel trailers	\$15.00 per load	1/1/02	No Change	
		Adopted		
		11/6/01;		
		Effective		
Truck and Two-wheel trailer*	\$20.00 per load	1/1/02	No Change	
		Adopted	¥	
		11/6/01;		
		Effective		
Truck and Four-wheel trailer*	\$25.00 per load	1/1/02	No Change	
		Adopted		
		11/6/01;		
		Effective		
Tires	Actual Cost	1/1/02	No Change	

SMALL WATER SYSTEM REDUCED FEE INCENTIVE PROGRAMS

In an effort to accurately place our resources where they will benefit both the citizens and water system owners in an efficient cost-effective manner, we will be offering two fee incentive options that would reward compliance. The water systems will be reviewed annually to determine if they qualify for either of the Reduced Fee Incentive Programs (A or B).

Qualification Requirements for Small Water System Reduced Fee Incentive Program "A"

Upon acceptance into this program, the water system owner/operator will receive a \$250 reduction in the annual base line fees.

- No violations reported to the California Department of Public Health within the last twelve months.
- No permit violations within the last twelve months.
- No maximum contaminant level (MCL) notification failures within the last twelve months.
- Possession of an active distribution operator (for CWS and NTNCWS only).
- Bacteriological lab sample results submittal by a laboratory utilizing the Stanislaus County WaterLabs Online, a web-based reporting system.

Qualification Requirements for Small Water System Reduced Fee Incentive Program "B"

Upon acceptance into this program, the water system owner/operator will receive a \$150 reduction in the annual base line fees.

- No violations reported to the California Department of Public Health within the last twelve months.
- No permit violations within the last twelve months.
- No maximum contaminant level (MCL) notification failures within the last twelve months.
- Possession of an active distribution operator (for CWS and NTNSWS only).

Prior to billing, the annual review will determine if the water system is eligible for the Reduced Fee Incentive Program.

ORDINANCE NO. C.S. _____

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING NEW FEES AND INCREASING EXISTING FEES COLLECTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Fees to be collected by the Department of Environmental Resources are adopted and amended as set forth in the Department of Environmental Resources Fee Schedule attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated by reference, copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and is available for public inspection and copying in that office in accordance with the California Public Records Act.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect 30 days from and after the date of its passage and before the expiration of 15 days after its passage it shall be published once, with the members voting for and against the same, in the Modesto Bee, a newspaper published in the County of Stanislaus, State of California.

Upon motion of Supervisor	seconded
by Supervisor	_, the foregoing Ordinance was
passed and adopted at a regular meeting of the	Board of Supervisors of the
County of Stanislaus, State of California, this	day of,
2008, by the following-called vote:	

- AYES: Supervisors:
- NOES: Supervisors:

ABSENT: Supervisors:

Thomas Mayfield, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California ATTEST:

CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California

By

Liz King, Deputy Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

JOHN P. DOERING County Counsel By: Thomas E. Boze

Deputy County Counsel

H:\PROJECTS\DER\Fees\Draft Fee Ordinance #2.doc

ORDINANCE C.S. 1036

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on May 6, 2008, at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th St., Modesto, CA, to consider the adoption and the waiving of the second reading of Ordinance C.S. 1036. This ordinance establishes new fees and amends existing fees for the Stanislaus County Department of Environmental Resources.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that a full copy of the proposed ordinance is available for review in the Clerk of the Board Office, 1010 10th Street, Suite 6700, Modesto, CA. For further information, contact Denise Wood at (209) 525-6700, erweb@envres.org, or at 3800 Cornucopia Way, Suite C, Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

- DATED: April 22, 2008
- ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California

ElyabethofKing

BY:

Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board

DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION (C.C.P. S2015.5)

COUNTY OF STANISLAUS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident Of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of Eighteen years, and not a party to or interested In the above entitle matter. I am a printer and Principal clerk of the publisher of **THE MODESTO BEE**, printed in the City of **MODESTO**, County of **STANISLAUS**, State of California, daily, for which said newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of **STANISLAUS**, State of California, Under the date of **February 25**, **1951**, **Action No. 46453**; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each issue there of on the following dates, to wit:

APRIL 29, 2008

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury That the foregoing is true and correct and that This declaration was executed at **MODESTO, California** on

APRIL 29, 2008

Costner

ORDINANCE C.S. 1036 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on May 6, 2008, at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 loth St., Modesto, CA, to consider the adoption and the waiving of the second reading of Ordinance C.S. 1036. This ordinance establishes new fees and amends existing fees for the Stanislaus County Department of Environmental Resources. NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that a full copy of the proposed ordinance is available for review in the Clerk of the Board Office, 1010 10th Street, Suite 5700, Modesto, CA. For further information, contact Denise Wood at (209) 525-6700, erweb@envres.org, or at 3800 Cornucopia Way, Suite C, Modesto, CA. BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DATED: April 22, 2008

DATED: April 22, 2008 ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerkof the Board of Supervisorsof the County of Stanislaus,State of California BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board

APRIL 29, 2008