COUNTY OF STANISLAUS SINGLE AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2006

Single Audit Report For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Table of Contents

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on	_ Page_
Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1-2
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each	
Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3-5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6-13
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	14-20
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	21-31
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	32-35



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Honorable Grand Jury and Board of Supervisors Stanislaus County Modesto, CA

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Stanislaus, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated September 28, 2006. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County of Stanislaus' internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we noted other matters involving the internal control over financial reporting that we have reported to management of the County of Stanislaus in a separate letter dated September 28, 2006.

The Honorable Grand Jury and Board of Supervisors Stanislaus County

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County of Stanislaus' financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, Board of Supervisors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BARTIG, BASLER & RAY, LLP A Gallina LLP Company

Bartig, Bash & Ray, LLP Roseville, California September 28, 2006



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

The Honorable Grand Jury and Board of Supervisors Stanislaus County Modesto, CA

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the County of Stanislaus, with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2006. The County of Stanislaus' major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulation, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County of Stanislaus' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County of Stanislaus' compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County of Stanislaus' compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County of Stanislaus' compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the County of Stanislaus complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2006. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with

The Honorable Grand Jury and Board of Supervisors Stanislaus County

OMB Circular A-133 and that are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 06-1 and 06-4. We also noted certain immaterial instances of noncompliance, which we have reported to management of the County of Stanislaus in a separate report dated September 28, 2006.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the County of Stanislaus is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County of Stanislaus' internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on the internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We noted certain matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over compliance that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the County of Stanislaus' ability to administer a major federal program in accordance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. Reportable conditions noted are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 06-1, 06-2, 06-3, 06-4, 06-5 and 05-1.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that the reportable conditions described above are not material weaknesses. We noted other matters involving internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs which we have reported to management in a separate letter dated September 28, 2006.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the

The Honorable Grand Jury and Board of Supervisors Stanislaus County

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (continued)

County of Stanislaus, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated September 28, 2006. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, Board of Supervisors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BARTIG, BASLER & RAY, LLP A Gallina LLP Company

Barting, Bushe & Ray, LLP Roseville, California

September 28, 2006

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA#	Pass-through Grantor's Number	Expenditures/ Disbursements
U.S. Office of National Drug Control Policies			
Direct Programs:			
High Intensity Drug Traffic Area (HIDTA) 2004	07.000 *	I4PVCP501	\$ 752,921
High Intensity Drug Traffic Area (HIDTA) 2005	07.000 *	I5PVCP501	2,246,286
High Intensity Drug Traffic Area (HIDTA) 2006	07.000 *	I6PCP501	171,018
Total U.S. Office of National Drug Control Policies			\$ 3,170,225
U.S. Department of Agriculture			
Passed through California Department of Food & Agriculture:			
Inspection, Grading and Standardization	10.162	05-0325	4,505
Passed through State Department of Education:			
Summer Food Service Program (SFSP)	10.559	5010	1,568
National School Lunch Program	10.555	N/A	214,493
Subtotal Pass-through			216,061
Passed through California Department of Social Services:		•	
Food Stamps	10.551		45,415,889
State Administrative Matching Grants for Food			• •
Stamp Program	10.561	-	3,164,875
SAWS C-IV Food Stamps	10.561		732,827
Subtotal Pass-through			49,313,591
Passed through California Department of Health Services:			
Special Supplemental Food Program for WIC	10.557	20-25720/03-75565	2,338,519
State Administrative Matching Grants for Food			_,,
Stamp Program	10.561	03-75378	15,782
Subtotal Pass-through			2,354,301
Passed through California Department of Aging:			
Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Programs	10.576	30-05-06	16,000
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			\$ 51,904,458

Fordered Country Dans Thomas I. Country Dans on Title	Federal	Pass-through Grantor's		penditures/
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA#	Number	. <u>Dist</u>	oursements
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Direct Programs:	·			,
Community Development Block Grants/State's Program:		**************************************		
HUD-CDBG Funds 04/05	14.228 *	B-02-UC-06-0010	\$	1,230,234
HUD-CDBG Funds 05/06	14.228 *	B-02-UC-06-0010	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	612,152
Subtotal Direct				1,842,386
Passed through California Department of Housing and Community Development:				
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants:				
HUD - Entitlement Funds 02/03	14.218 *	B-02-UC-06-0010		446,785
HUD - Entitlement Funds 03/04	14.218 *	B-02-UC-06-0010		17,579
Subtotal CFDA 14.218				464,364
		•		
HUD-ESG Funds 04/05	14.231	B-02-UC-06-0010		22,159
HUD-ESG Funds 05/06	14.231	B-02-UC-06-0010		61,230
Subtotal CFDA 14.231				83,389
Subtotal Pass-through				547,753
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			\$	2,390,139
U.S. Department of Justice				
Direct Programs:				•
Bureau of Justice Assistance (Meth grant)	16.000	2004DDBX1455		95,172
Bureau of Justice Assistance (JAG 2005)	16.738			40,575
CAIRE Grant	16.540	****		2,917
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710	2002SHWX0372		4,347
Subtotal Direct				143,011
D 14 10 10 10 1000 000 000 000 000 000 00				
Passed through California Office of Emergency Services:	16.555	T.11T.10.00 10.000		1 50 000
Victim Witness Program	16.575	VW05240500		152,089
Violence Against Women Vertical Prosecution	16.588	VV04060500		14,415
Elder Abuse Prosecution	16.575	EA05080500		52,861

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA#	Pass-through Grantor's Number	Expenditures/ Disbursements
U.S. Department of Justice (continued)		·	
Passed through California Office of Emergency			
Services (continued):			•
Stop Abuse and Violence	16.000	PU04030500	\$ 38,361
Subtotal Pass-Through			257,726
Passed through California Department of Corrections and		•	
Rehabilitation:	`	•	
Juvenile Accountability Grant 2005	16.523	CSA 148-05	32,927
	10.020	0511 1 10 03	32,727
Total U.S. Department of Justice			\$ 433,664
	•		
U.S. Department of Labor			
Passed through California Department of Aging:			
Senior Community Service Employment Program	17.235	30-05-06	94,233
Passed through California Employment Development			
Department:			
WIA - LVN Grant	17.258	R588761-693	395,076
WIA - Adult Program	17.258	R588761-202	153,340
WIA - Adult Program	17.258	R692512-201	820,691
WIA - Adult Program	17.258	R692512-202	2,341,769
Subtotal CFDA 17.258			3,710,876
WIA - Youth Activities	17.259	R588761-301	594,891
WIA - Youth Activities	17.259	R692512-301	751,507
WIA - Youth Activities	17.259	R692512-302	1,669,573
Subtotal CFDA 17.259			3,015,971
WIA - Adult Special Program - Veteran Connect	17.260	R588761-643	449,577
WIA - Incentive	17.260	R692512-113	2,918
WIA - Incentive	17.260	R588761-113	322
WIA - Dislocated Workers	17.260	R692512-504	73,727
WIA - Dislocated Workers	17.260	R588761-502	210,710
WIA - Dislocated Workers	17.260	R692512-501	541,331
			•

	Federal	Pass-through Grantor's	Expenditures/
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA#	Number	Disbursements
U.S. Department of Labor (continued)			
Passed through California Employment Development			
Department (continued):			
WIA - Dislocated Workers	17.260	R692512-502	\$ 1,100,728
WIA - Rapid Response	17.260	R692512-540	77,773
WIA - Rapid Response	17.260	R692512-541	77,773
WIA - 15% National Emergency Grant - BRAC	17.260	R692512-752	17,500
WIA - 15% Pilot Special Program - Katrina Evacuees	17.260	R692512-777	3,800
Subtotal CFDA 17.260			2,556,159
WIA - Other Program	17.207	R585761-836	44,000
WIA - 15% - Youth Special Program - Bridge	17.253	R692512-648	17,301
Subtotal			61,301
Subtotal Pass-Through			9,344,307
Total U.S. Department of Labor			\$ 9,438,540
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Passed through California Department of Transportation:			
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205		\$ 1,799,410
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		•	
Passed through California Water Resources Control Board:			
Local Groundwater Protection Pilot	66.606	X-98967901-3	39,765
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund Program	66.805	05-021-250-0	54,354
Total U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			\$ 94,119
U.S. Department of Education			
Passed through California Department of Alcohol and			
Drug Programs:			
TRACS Transitional Recovery	84.225	V255A000073-02A	114,981
Total U.S. Department of Education			\$ 114,981

^{*} Major Program

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA#	Pass-through Grantor's Number	Expenditures/ Disbursements
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Passed through California Department of Education:			
Child Care and Development Block Grant:			
Stage 2 Child Care (E)	93.575 *	C2AP-5073	\$ 4,307,217
Stage 3 Child Care (E)	93.575 *	C3AP-5074	832,205
Subtotal CFDA 93.575			5,139,422
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child			
Care and Development Fund:		•	
Stage 3 Child Care (D)	93.596 *	CAPP-5082	42,388
Subtotal Pass-Through			5,181,810
			<u> </u>
Passed through California Department of Social Services:			
Social Services Block Grants:			
CWS Title XX	93.667	- -	334,267
Foster Home Licensing Title XX	93.667		28,440
Subtotal CFDA 93.667			362,707
Foster Care - Title IV-E Grants:			
Foster Care - Title IV-E	93.658 *		5,963,320
Out-of-Home Placement Prevention - Title IV-E	93.658 *		2,048,900
SAWS C-IV KINGAP	93.658 *		9,228
SB933 Monthly Group Home Visits	93.658 *		28,683
Subtotal CFDA 93.658			8,050,131
	•	,	
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Grants:			
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558 *		40,265,310
SAWS C-IV TANF	93.558 *		967,876
Subtotal CFDA 93.658			41,233,186
Medical Assistance Programs:			
APS/CSBG Title XIX	93.778 *	date for	769,369
CWS Title XIX	93.778 *		965,383
Family Preservation Title XIX	93.778 *		107,970
In-Home Supportive Services - PCSP Title XIX	93.778 *		2,603,033
Public Authority Administration	93.778 *	·	326,610

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA#	Pass-through Grantor's Number	Expenditures/ Disbursements
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (continued)	• · · · ·		
Passed through California Department of Social			
Services (continued):			
Medical Assistance Programs (continued):			
Public Authority Benefits Administration	93.778 *		\$ 1,093,857
IHSS Waiver Title XIX	93.778 *		302,296
IHSS IP Title XIX	93.778 *		17,956,523
Medi-Cal Title XIX (F)	93.778 *		7,965,337
Subtotal CFDA 93.778			32,090,378
		63 ¹¹	
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556		626,732
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State Administered			
Programs	93.566	· ,	115,124
Child Welfare Services - State Grants	93.645		374,425
Adoption Assistance	93.659 *		4,883,374
Independent Living - ILP	93.674		215,366
SAWS C-IV Medi-Cal	93.778 *		1,307,501
Stop Abusive & Violent Environments (SAVE) Grant	93.461		74,753
Subtotal			7,597,275
Subtotal Pass-Through			89,333,677
Passed through California Department of Child Support Services:			
Child Support Enforcement	93.563 *	2708	10,609,871
Passed through California Department of Health Services: Medical Assistance Programs:			
California Children's Services	93.778 *	·	1,111,098
Medi-Cal Administration Activities	93.778 *	375094	260,302
Targeted Case Management	93.778 *	50-0407	3,711,582
Child Health & Disability Program	93.778 *		617,905
Subtotal CFDA 93.778			5,700,887
Tuberculosis Prevention	93.116	95117L-02-50	55,763
Childhood Lead Poisoning	93.197	05-45176	100,448
Immunization Project Subvention	93.268	05-45480	192,615
			•

^{*} Major Program

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA#	Pass-through Grantor's Number	Expenditures/ Disbursements
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (continued)			
Passed through California Department of Health	·		
Services (continued):		-	
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations			
and Technical Assistance	93.283	01-16578	\$ 555,474
Maternal & Child Health Services	93.994		481,119
Subtotal			1,385,419
			, * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Subtotal Pass-Through			7,086,306
Passed through California Department of Aging:			
Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 3 -			
Programs for Prevention of Elder Abuse, Neglect and	•		•
Exploitation	93.041	30-05-06	6,496
Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 2 -			•
Long-Term Care Ombudsman Services for Older Individuals	93.042	30-05-06	17,304
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part D - Disease			
Prevention and Health Promotion Services	93.043	30-05-06	26,389
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part B - Grants			
for Supportive Services and Senior Centers	93.044	30-05-06	376,114
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C1 -			
Congregate Nutrition	93.045	30-05-06	323,923
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C2 -	•		
Home Delivered Nutrition	93.045	30-05-06	323,249
Title III-E - Family Caregiver	93.052	30-05-06	171,972
Food Distribution-Nutrition Service	93.053	30-05-06	100,000
CBSP Health Insurance Advocacy Program	93.779	30-05-06	51,234
Multi-Purpose Senior Services (MSSP) Title XIX	93.778 *	MS-0506-14	348,542
Subtotal Pass-Through			1,745,223
Passed through California Department of Mental Health:			
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)	93.150		135,132
Block Grants for Community Mental Health			
Services-AB3015 SAMHSA/Dual Diagnosis SAMHSA	93.958		2,734,694
Subtotal Pass-Through			2,869,826

^{*} Major Program

	Pass-through			
	Federal	Grantor's	E	xpenditures/
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA#	Number	\mathbf{D}_{i}	isbursements
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (continued)				
Passed through California Department of Alcohol and				
Drug Programs:				
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - Discretionary Grants	93.576	02-50-9460-1	\$	54,449
HIV Prevention Activities - Health Department Based	93.940	04-35388		101,500
Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance				
Abuse	93.959	84.19		2,603,776
Subtotal Pass-Through				2,759,725
Total U.S. Dept. of Human & Health Services				119,586,438
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards		S. Carlotte and Ca	\$	188,931,974

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Note 1: Reporting Entity

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal awards programs of the County of Stanislaus. The County of Stanislaus' reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the County's basic financial statements. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies as well as federal awards passed through other government agencies are included in the schedule.

Note 2: Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is sometimes prepared on a basis other than that used to record transactions in the accounting records and as reported in the basic financial statements (such as cash basis). The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements.

Note 3: Relationship to Financial Statements

The amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards agree, in all material respects, to amounts reported within the County's financial statements. Federal award revenues are reported principally in the County's financial statements as intergovernmental revenues in the General and Special Revenue funds.

Note 4: Subrecipients

Of the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, the County of Stanislaus provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

<u>CFDA</u>	Program		Amount
14.228	City of Ceres	\$	377,307
14.228	City of Newman		377,307
14.228	City of Oakdale		377,307
14.228	City of Patterson		377,307
14.228	City of Waterford		377,307
14.228	Children's Crisis Center		20,000
14.228	Second Harvest Food Bank		20,000
14.228	Parents Resource Center		20,000
14.228	West Side Food Pantry		11,100
14.228	United Samaritans Foundation		20,000
14.228	DRAIL		20,000
14.228	Inter-Faith Ministries		10,000

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Note 4: <u>Subrecipients</u> (continued)

	<u>CFDA</u>	Program	Amount
	14.228	Salida Union School District	\$ 20,000
	14.228	Healthy Aging Association	20,000
	14.228	United Way of Stanislaus County	19,517
	14.228	Center for Human Services	39,826
	14.228	Newman Crows Landing School	26,770
	14.228	Haven Women's Center	19,243
	14.228	West Modesto King Kennedy	16,522
•	14.231	Inter-Faith Ministries	49,236
	14.231	Children's Crisis Center	19,240
	14.231	Community Housing Shelter	6,274
	17.258	Friends Outside	367,319
	17.260	Friends Outside	39,785
	17.259	Arbor	117,454
• .	17.259	Ceres Unified School District	407,992
	17.259	Center for Human Services	128,111
	17.259	Computer Tutor	229,434
	17.259	Central Valley Opportunity Center	75,354
	17.259	Excell Center	96,044
*	17.259	Modesto City Schools	464,047
•	17.259	Stanislaus Office of Education	260,331
	17.259	Stanislaus Office of Education	131,877
	17.259	Youth for Christ	19,616
	17.259	Henkels & McCoy	64,272
		Total	<u>\$24,645,899</u>
Note 5:	Program	n Clusters	•
	Federal followin	programs, which must be audited together as a program cl	uster, include the
	Federal		Federal
	CFDA	Program Title	<u>Expenditures</u>
			<u> DAPONATOS</u>
	Food Sta	amp Cluster:	
	10.551	Food Stamps	\$ 45,415,889
	10.561	State Administrative Matching Grants for Food	\$ 45,415,669
	10.501	Stamp Program	2 161 075
	10.561	Food Stamp Administration - SAWS CIV Food Stamps	3,164,875
	10.501	rood stamp Administration - SAWS CIV rood stamps	732,827
		Total	\$ 49,313,591
			$\Psi \rightarrow J, J + J, J / 1$

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Note 5: <u>Program Clusters</u> (continued)

Total

Child Nut	rition Cluster:		
10.555 10.559	Summer Food Service Program (SFSP) National School Lunch Program	\$	1,568 214,493
	Total	<u>\$</u>	216,061
WIA Clus	<u>ter</u>		
17.258 17.259 17.260 17.207 17.301	WIA – Adult WIA – Youth WIA - Dislocated Workers WIA – Other Program WIA - Youth Special Program – Bridge	\$	3,710,876 3,015,971 2,556,159 44,000 17,301
	Total	<u>\$</u>	9,344,307
Aging Clus	<u>ster</u>		
93.041	Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 3 - Programs for Prevention of Elder Abuse, Neglect and		
93.042	Exploitation Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 2 -	\$	6,496
93.043	Long-Term Care Ombudsman Services for Older Individuals Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part D - Disease		17,304
93.044	Prevention and Health Promotion Services Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part		26,389
93.045	B - Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C - Nutrition Services		376,114
93.052 93.053	Title III-E - Family Caregiver Food Distribution-Nutrition Service		647,172 171,972 100,000

\$ 1,345,447

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Note 5: **Program Clusters** (continued)

CCDF Cluster

93.575 93.596	Child Care and Development Block Grant Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the	\$	5,139,422
	Child Care and Development Fund	<u> </u>	42,388
	Total	\$	5,181,810

Note 6: Loans with Continuing Compliance Requirement

Loans funded through federal programs, for which the federal government is at risk, carried the following balances as of June 30, 2006:

Federal CFDA	Program Title		mount standing
93.558	Temporary Assistance For Needy Families – Housing Authority	\$	16,926

Note 7: Pass-Through Entities' Identifying Number

When federal awards were received from a pass-through entity, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards shows, if available, the identifying number assigned by the pass-through entity. When no identifying number is shown, the County has either determined that no identifying number is assigned for the program or the County was unable to obtain an identifying number from the pass-through entity.

Note 8: Department of Aging Federal/State Share

The California Department of Aging (CDA) requires agencies who receive CDA funding to display state-funded expenditures discretely along with federal expenditures. The County expended the following state and federal amounts under these grants:

<u>CFDA</u>	Contract No.	Federal E	expenditures	State Exp	penditures
10.576	30-05-06	\$	16,000	\$	
17.235	30-05-06		94,233		27,116
93.053	30-05-06		100,000		
93.778	MSSP SS-0506-14	 	348,542	3	<u>34,874</u>
	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	<u>558,775</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	61,990

Schedule of the Office of Emergency Services and the Board of Corrections Programs
For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Note 9: Office of Emergency Services Grants

The following represents expenditures for Office of Emergency Services programs for the year ended June 30, 2006. The amount reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is determined by calculating the federal portion of the current year expenditures.

Chara of Exmandituma

								Sha	are c	of Expenditu	ires	
		Ex	pen	ditures Clain	ned	·			Cι	irrent Year		
	For	the Period	F	or the Year	C	Cumulative						
	,	Through		Ended		As of		Federal		State	(County
Program	Jur	ne 30, 2005	Ju	ne 30, 2006	Ju	ne 30, 2006		Share		Share	٠	Share
MH05050500 - CalMet												
Personal services	\$		\$	815,560	\$	815,560	\$	·	\$	815,560	\$	
Operating expenses				245,633		245,633			•	245,633		
Equipment				6,875		6,875				6,875		
Totals	\$		\$	1,068,068	\$	1,068,068	\$		\$	1,068,068	\$	
•												
RU05070500 - Rural Crimes												•
Personal services	\$		\$	253,904	\$	253,904	\$		\$	253,904	\$	
Operating expenses				30,121		30,121				30,121		
Equipment										-		
Totals	\$		\$	284,025	\$	284,025	\$		\$	284,025	\$	
					_		_		=			
VB05030500 - Vertical Prosecu	tion I	<u>Block</u>				•						
Personal services	\$		\$	253,965	\$	253,965	\$		\$	253,965	\$	
Operating expenses								***				***
Equipment										٠ ــــ		
Totals	\$	·	\$	253,965	\$	253,965	\$		\$	253,965	\$	
					_		=		==		===	
VV04060500 - Violence Agains	t Wo	<u>men</u>										
Personal services	\$	172,978	\$	19,220	\$	192,198	\$	14,415	\$		\$	4,805
Operating expenses								нн				
Equipment												
Totals	\$	172,978	\$	19,220	\$	192,198	\$	14,415	\$		\$	4,805
							_					
VW05240500 - Victim Witness	Assis	tance										
Personal services	\$		\$	335,988	\$	335,988	\$	152,006	\$	183,982	\$	
Operating expenses				3,966		3,966		83		to en		3,883
Equipment	_		_									
Totals	\$		\$	339,954	\$	339,954	\$	152,089	\$	183,982	\$	3,883

Schedule of the Office of Emergency Services and the Board of Corrections Programs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Note 9: Office of Emergency Services Grants (continued)

								Sha	are o	f Expenditu	res		
		Ex	pend	ditures Clain	ned			Current Year					
	For	the Period	Fo	or the Year	С	umulative							
		Through		Ended		As of		Federal		State		County	
Program	Jur	ne 30, 2005	June 30, 2006		June 30, 2006			Share		Share	Share		
EA05080500 - Elder Abuse Pr	osecut	ion											
Personal services	\$		\$	29,114	\$	29,114	\$	12,890	\$		\$	16,224	
Operating expenses				39,971		39,971		39,971					
Equipment													
Totals	\$		\$	69,085	\$	69,085	\$	52,861	\$		\$	16,224	
PU04030500 - Stop Abuse and Personal services	Viole \$	nce 52,100	\$	51,148	\$	103,248	\$	38,361	\$		\$	12,787	
Operating expenses													
Equipment					<u>. </u>								
Totals	\$	52,100	\$	51,148	\$	103,248	\$	38,361	\$		\$	12,787	
DC04150500 - Stanislaus Anti-	Drug												
Personal services	\$	90,809	\$	163,253	\$	254,062	\$	163,253	\$		\$		
Operating expenses													
Equipment													
Totals	\$	90,809	\$	163,253	\$	254,062	\$	163,253	\$		\$		

Schedule of the Office of Emergency Services and the Board of Corrections Programs
For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Note 9: **Department of Corrections and Rehabiliation Grants**

The following represents expenditures for the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation programs for the year ended June 30, 2006. The amount reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is determined by calculating the federal portion of the current year expenditures.

	•							Sha	re of	Exp	enditu	res		
		Ex	pend	itures Clain	ned			Current Year						
	For the Period For the Year Through Ended		Cumulative As of		Federal		State			Count				
Program	June 3	0, 2005	Jun	e 30, 2006	6 June 30, 2006		Share		Share		е	Share		
Juvenile Accountability - Co	<u>SA 148-05</u> \$		\$	5,489	\$	5,489	\$	3,649	\$			\$	1,840	
Operating expenses				1,840		1,840							1,840	
Contracts				13,877		13,877		13,877						
Other				15,401		15,401		15,401						
Totals	S		\$	36,607	\$	21,206	\$	32,927	\$			\$	3,680	

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Section 1

Financial Statements	Summary of Auditor's Results
1. Type of auditor's report issued:	Unqualified
2. Internal controls over financial reporting:a. Material weaknesses identified?b. Reportable conditions identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	No None Reported
3. Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No
Federal Awards	
 Internal control over major programs: a. Material weaknesses identified? b. Reportable conditions identified not 	No
considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes
2. Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unqualified
3. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Circular OMB A-133, Section 510(a)?	Yes
4. Identification of major programs:	
CFDA Number	<u>Program</u>
14.218	Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants
14.228	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program
07.000	High Intensity Drug Traffic Area (HIDTA)
93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Federal Awards (continued)

4. Identification of major programs (continued):

	CFDA Number	<u>Program</u>
	93.563	Child Support Enforcement
	93.658	Foster Care – Title IV-E
	93.659	Adoption Assistance
	93.778	Medical Assistance Program
ı	CCDF Cluster:	
	93.575	Child Care and Development Block Grant
	93.596	Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and Development Fund
5.	Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs?	\$ 3,000,000
6.	Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee under OMB Circular A-133, Section 530?	No

Section 2

Financial Statement Findings

None Reported

Section 3

Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

CFDA 93.778	Finding 06-1
CFDA 93.778	Finding 06-2
CFDA 93.778	Finding 06-3
CFDA 93.558	Finding 06-4
CFDA 93.659	Finding 06-5

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 06-1

Medical Assistance Program CFDA 93.778

Award No. n/a Year: 05/06 Compliance Requirement: Eligibility (IEVS)

Reporting Requirement: Reportable Condition; Material

Noncompliance In Relation to Compliance Supplement Audit Objective.

Criteria

As required by § 1137 of the Social Security Act, income and benefit information from the Income Eligibility and Verification System (IEVS) database must be requested and used when making eligibility determinations. The County must review and compare the information obtained from IEVS against information contained in the case record to determine whether it affects the individual's eligibility or level of assistance, benefits or services. As well, as an internal control, the eligibility worker signs the IEVS report after reviewing the IEVS report and comparing it to the case record.

Condition

We tested twenty-four cases from the Medical Assistance Program and noted that nine cases did not contain an IEVS for one or more assisted members of the family. We also noted that in two other cases the IEVS was not signed by the eligibility worker.

Questioned Costs

None.

Effect of Condition

The IEVS system is an elaborate, federally-mandated system which compiles government information for the purpose of tracking federal program eligibility data. Not requesting and using IEVS in eligibility determination can result in individuals receiving benefits to which they are not entitled.

Recommendation

We recommend that the Department review this omission to request, review, and compare the IEVS to information in the case record and

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 06-1 (continued)

Recommendation (continued)

CFDA 93.778

attempt to determine why the current system of controls failed. We further recommend that the Department remind the eligibility workers that the IEVS must be signed after it is reviewed.

Corrective Action Plan

Stanislaus County agrees with the findings and will assign the Medi-Cal Tech Team to review our current process, identify any issues related to the findings, and make any necessary corrections. Staff received refresher training on processing IEVS in October 2006 just after the preliminary audit findings were discussed. The training was mandatory for all Family Services staff and supervisors.

The contact person is Sysvanh Kabkeo, Manager IV, (209) 558-2827.

Finding 06-2

Compliance Requirement: Eligibility (Assistance to Aliens) Reporting Requirement: Reportable Condition.

Medical Assistance Program CFDA 93.778

Criteria

Award No. n/a Year: 05/06 Documented aliens who entered the United States on or after August 22, 1996, are not eligible for Federal public benefits for a period of five years beginning on the date of the alien's entry into the United States, unless an exception under 8 USC 1612(b)(2) or 1613 applies.

Based on information the counties download to the State, the State determines citizen status and the date documented aliens entered the United States. Medi-Cal is paid by federal dollars for documented aliens only if the individual entered the United States before August 22, 1996, has been in the United States for five years, or an exception under 8 USC 1612(b)(2) or 1613 applies. However, for this system to work, the date aliens entered the United States must be correctly coded in the County eligibility system. As well, the Immigration Reform Control Act (IRCA) mandates that immigration status of each non-citizen must be verified with the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) as a condition of eligibility. All County Information Notice I-71-00, § 2.4.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 06-2 (continued)

<u>Condition</u>

CFDA 93.778

We tested twenty-four cases and located two cases where the date of entry into the United States had not been entered into the eligibility system. We also located one case where a client claimed legal permanent resident status but the County did not obtain the LPR card from the client.

Questioned Costs

We were unable to determine whether or not costs should be questioned. The state handles all medical payments on behalf of Medi-Cal clients.

Effect of Condition

The effect is that clients could receive full scope federal Medi-Cal benefits, when under the circumstances, federal law requires that only emergency Medi-Cal services be paid with federal funds.

Recommendation

We recommend that the Department review this incorrect alien coding and omission to obtain evidence of legal permanent resident status and determine whether (1) this is an isolated instance of noncompliance; or (2) procedures can be implemented to prevent recurrence. If necessary, we recommend that the County modify internals controls regarding alien status.

Corrective Action Plan

Stanislaus County agrees with the findings and will take the following actions: (1) To review the cases and take appropriate actions by March 2007. (2) To assign the Medi-Cal Tech Team to review our current process, identify any issues related to the findings, make any necessary corrections, and educate staff timely and appropriately by March 2007.

The contact person is Sysvanh Kabkeo, Manager IV, (209) 558-2827.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 06-3

Medical Assistance Program CFDA 93.778

Award No. n/a Year: 05/06 .

Compliance Requirement: Eligibility ("Linkage" for Medi-Cal

Eligibility)

Reporting Requirement: Reportable Condition.

Criteria

Regardless of income or property holdings, only persons who meet certain criteria are eligible for Medi-Cal. Persons meeting criteria for Medi-Cal eligibility include those persons who are age 65 or older, blind, disabled, under age 21, pregnant, in a skilled nursing or intermediate care facility, the parent or caretaker relative supporting a child under 21, receiving SSI/SSP, receiving Foster Care, receiving CalWorks, or receiving Adoptions Assistance.

Condition

In one case, the grandmother (age 62) was formerly the caregiver relative and supporting her grandchild. The mother moved back into the home. At that time the grandmother's Medi-Cal should have been terminated but it was not. In a second case, the father was eligible, as he was the parent, and supporting, his child under age 21. The father then moved out of the home leaving the child with the mother. At that time, the father's Medi-Cal should have been terminated but it was not.

Questioned Costs

We were unable to determine whether or not costs should be questioned. The state handles all medical payments on behalf of Medi-Cal clients.

Effect of Condition

In this case, two individuals received federally-funded Medi-Cal for a few months when they were not entitled to such benefits.

Recommendation

We recommend that the Department review and correct, if necessary, its process of making sure that individuals who become ineligible for

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Program	Findings/Noncompliance
Finding 06-3 (continued)	Recommendation (continued)
CFDA 93.778	Medi-Cal due to change in their circumstances do not continue to receive benefits.
	Corrective Action Plan
	Stanislaus County agrees with the findings and will assign the Medi-Cal Tech Team to review our current process, identify any issues related to the findings, make any necessary corrections, and educate staff timely and appropriately by March 2007.
	The contact person is Sysvanh Kabkeo, Manager IV, (209) 558-2827.
Finding 06-4	Compliance Requirement: Eligibility and Special Tests (Required Welfare to Work Participation)
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Reporting Requirement: Reportable Condition; Material Noncompliance In Relation to Compliance Supplement Audit Objective.
CFDA 93.558	<u>Criteria</u>
Award No. n/a Year: 05/06	Each adult receiving Federal assistance (unless the person is exempt by reason of their status or the person is granted an exemption) must participate in the Welfare to Work Program (WTW). If an individual refuses to engage in required work, the Department must reduce assistance to the family for the period of time during which the individual refuses to participate in the WTW program.
	Condition
	We tested eligibility in twenty-four TANF cases and noted the following three situations:
	First case: Repeated attempts to convince the client to attend

However, the client was not sanctioned.

WTW were unsuccessful between May and November of 2005.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Pro	gram

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 06-4 (continued)

<u>Condition</u> (continued)

CFDA 93.558

Second Case: This client was on assistance since May 2005 and had no WTW exemption nor exempt status. However, the Department did not require that the client register for Welfare-To-Work until February 2006.

Third Case: This client was sanctioned under the WTW program from August 31, 2005 through May 31, 2006. However, the client received assistance in the tested month of December 2005.

Questioned Costs

We did not question costs because the amount questioned would be under the \$10,000 threshold identified in OMB A133 §510(a)(4).

Effect of the Condition

The effect is TANF benefits were paid to adults who should have been sanctioned but were not.

Recommendation

We recommend that the County review and improve its internal control system of ensuring that each adult individual who does not participate in WTW is sanctioned, as required.

Corrective Action Plan

In response to AB 1808, Stanislaus County is in the process of amending the State Plan. Strategies for up-front engagement, a specialized unit to work with sanctions and specialization for exemptions will be implemented and are included in the plan. The plan amendment will be finalized by 1/05/07. Implementation will begin after the State certifies the plan.

The contact person is Nicole Pollack, Manager IV, (209) 558-3521.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 06-5
Adoption
Assistance

Compliance Requirement: Eligibility (Income Requirements)

Reporting Requirement: Reportable Condition.

CFDA 93.659

Criteria

Award No. n/a Year: 05/06 Federal Adoption Assistance may be claimed for an otherwise eligible child only when (1) the child would have been eligible for the former Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) program on July 16, 1996, except for his/her removal from the home of a relative; (2) the child is eligible for Supplemental Security Income; or (3) the child's costs in a foster family home are being paid with respect to his/her minor parent. 42 USC 673(a)(2)(A).

Condition

We tested twenty-four Adoptions Assistance cases and noted one case where the FC 9 stated that the child was ineligible for federal Foster Care, but Adoption Assistance was paid with federal funds. Upon further investigation, we learned that the child was never in Foster Care but instead came from a private adoption agency. Because of this, the County was not able to obtain income information about this child's family, and thus did not have sufficient information to determine if the child was eligible under the above-stated criteria for federal Adoption Assistance. The Department indicated that the new C-IV system could have erroneously changed the aid code.

Questioned Costs

No costs are questioned. We noted that \$2,621 per month was being paid on behalf of this child. However, the County amended the Adoptions Assistance payment reports (CA 800s) to correct the source of funding for this child to state, rather than federal, funding.

Effect of the Condition

The effect is Federal Adoptions Assistance benefits were paid on behalf of this child when assistance should have been paid with state funds.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Program

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 06-5 (continued)

CFDA 93.659

Recommendation

The circumstances in this case, that is, that the child came from a public adoptions agency, are highly unusual. However, the fact that the Department indicates that the new system could have changed the aid code is of concern. We recommend that the Department investigate whether or not the C-IV system changed the aid code, determine what types of cases might have been affected and what corrective action is necessary.

Corrective Action Plan

When this error was initially identified, it was thought it could have been an issue within the C-IV system. Once we reviewed the actual file, we determined the error was not a problem with C-IV, the error was the result of the worker choosing the wrong aid code. previously noted, the worker re-ran all of the aid payments using the correct aid code. In any determination of eligibility, there is the possibility of human error. To mitigate errors, we have a case review process for the Foster Care unit. We have added an additional category to our review list to ensure that the supervisor will review each case pulled for review for the correct aid code. A copy of the tool used to review cases is attached for your information. This process should address the concerns noted regarding a case receiving benefits under a wrong aid code, as this would be identified in the formal review process. If a trend is noted, that is the error is noted more frequently or on more cases, it will be a training issue and staff will be trained on how to determine the appropriate aid code for each case. review tool was updated in October 2006 and implemented for use in the monthly case review process beginning November 1, 2006.

Please note that the finding in the 2005 audit indicated that there was a problem with three cases being paid under the incorrect aid code. This was attributed to a systems issue with C-IV as well as a training issue on how to use the drop down menu. While this finding bears a resemblance to the current finding, there is no link between the two errors. There actually was a C-IV issue for the previous audit and to training issues associated with going live with a foster care program that had to be completely redesigned within 3 months of go live.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Program	Findings/Noncompliance					
Finding 06-5 (continued)	Corrective Action Plan (continued)					
CFDA 93.659	We believe that the monthly case review process will address this issue in a timely manner so that if there is an error of this type, it can be immediately corrected.					
	The contact person is Virginia Wilson, Manager III, (209) 558-3357.					

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Audit Reference Number

Status of Prior Year Audit Findings

Finding 05-1

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)

CFDA 93.558

Recommendation

The County should verify the eligibility of, and amount of assistance for, each applicant and recipient for aid through IEVS by: submitting applicant information to IEVS as specified in Sections 20-006.41 and .42 of the CDSS Manual and requesting on a quarterly basis income and eligibility information through IEVS for recipients in accordance with Section 20-006.22 of the CDSS Manual.

Status

Not implemented. During the current year, we tested twenty-four cases from the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families program and noted that four cases did not contain an IEVS for one or more assisted members of the family. We also noted that in one other case the IEVS was not signed by the eligibility worker.

Corrective Action Plan

Stanislaus County agrees with the finding. Staff have received refresher training in processing IEVs after the preliminary audit findings were discussed. The training was mandatory for all Family Services staff and supervisors. The training was given between October 24 and November 2, 2006.

The contact person is Nicole Pollack, Manager IV, (209) 558-3521.

Finding 05-2

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)

CFDA 93.558

Recommendation

We recommend that the County ensure that recipients are cooperating in providing routinely available documentation of school attendance of all applicable school-age children in the assistance unit when requested by the County, and failure to cooperate should result in payment reductions per the CDSS Manual.

Status

Implemented.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Audit Reference
Number

Status of Prior Year Audit Findings

Finding 05-3 Adoption Assistance

CFDA 93.558

Recommendation

In the process of testing internal controls over eligibility compliance requirements, we noted 20 cases out of the 40 tested in which the AAP-4 had not been signed by the Family Services Specialist.

We recommend that a formal training or notification should be given to all Adoption Assistance Program staff and all training materials reviewed and updated to include this as a necessary step during the case establishment process. Additionally, a review process should be added for all AAP-4 forms prior to data imaging to ensure all necessary areas are completed and signed prior to sending out the imaging packet. This review could be completed by administrative personnel and any incomplete forms would be returned to the appropriate worker for completion.

Status

Implemented.

Finding 05-4 Adoption Assistance

CFDA 93.559

Recommendation

In the process of testing internal controls over eligibility compliance requirements, we noted six files out of the 40 tested in which the AAP-1 was either missing (four) or not completed and signed by the adoptive parents (two).

In order to fix the deficiency identified and to prevent future occurrences, we recommend that management perform a formal training for all social workers who work in the Adoption unit expressing the necessary documentation and ensure that the staff size and competence level is adequate for making the required eligibility determinations and manual criteria checklists used in making sure that the file is assembled with all appropriate documentation. Written policies that provide direction for making and documenting how the social workers and eligibility workers should address situations in which forms are not returned by the adoptive parents and follow through on getting the proper documentation and during the random reviews that occur of files for

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Audit Reference Number	Status of Prior Year Audit Findings
Finding 05-4 Adoption Assistance	Recommendation (continued)
(continued)	quality control, a step should be added to check that all necessary documentation is included.
CFDA 93.559	<u>Status</u>
	Implemented.
Finding 05-5 Adoption Assistance	Recommendation
CFDA 93.558	To fix the deficiency identified and prevent future occurrences, we recommend that a review process for all Adoptions Assistance cases be implemented in which the eligibility aid type noted on the AAP-4 be verified against the aid coding in the C-IV system at intake and recertification of the case.
	Status
	Implemented.
Finding 05-6 Child Support	Recommendation
Enforcement	We recommend that the vendor invoices should go directly to the department head and/or responsible individual(s) who are
CFDA 93.563	knowledgeable of the requirements for determining activities allowed and allowable cost and approved for payment. The invoices should then go to the accounting department for matching with other supporting documentation prior to payment.
	<u>Status</u>
	Implemented.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

Audit Reference
Number

Status of Prior Year Audit Findings

Finding 04-1

Foster Care – Title

IV-E

CFDA 93.558

Recommendation

During our eligibility testing of Foster Care cases, we noted one instance where the original FC2 from was not signed by the social worker, and one instance where the FC2 could not be located. We recommend that County maintain complete and current files as well as check the accuracy of payments. By doing so, the County will be in compliance with the program guidelines. Management should re-emphasize the importance of maintaining complete and accurate files and perform periodic case reviews to determine that documented procedures are being followed.

Status

Implemented.